Bias uncertainty: a measure of both the accuracy and precision of the calculations and the uncertainty in the experimental data.

Calculational method: includes the hardware platform, operating system, computer algorithms and methods, nuclear reaction data, and methods used to construct computer models.

Critical experiment: a fissionable system that has been experimentally determined to be critical (with  $k_{eff} \approx 1$ ).

Margin of safety: the difference between the actual value of a parameter and the value of the parameter at which the system is expected to be critical with critical defined as  $k_{\rm eff}=1=$  bias = bias uncertainty.

Margin of subcriticality (MoS): the difference between the actual value of  $k_{\rm eff}$  and the value of  $k_{\rm eff}$  at which the system is expected to be critical with critical defined as  $k_{\rm eff} = 1$  = bias = bias uncertainty.

Minimum margin of subcriticality (MMS): a minimum allowed margin of subcriticality, which is an allowance for any unknown uncertainties in calculating k<sub>eff</sub>.

Subcritical limit: the bounding value of a controlled parameter under normal case conditions.

Upper subcritical limit (USL): the maximum allowed value of  $k_{\rm eff}$  (including uncertainty in  $k_{\rm eff}$ ), under both normal and credible abnormal conditions, including allowance for the bias, the bias uncertainty, and a minimum margin of subcriticality.

[FR Doc. 05–20785 Filed 10–17–05; 8:45 am]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Regulation BTR; OMB Control No. 3235–0579; SEC File No. 270–521.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval

Regulation Blackout Trade Restriction ("Regulation BTR") clarifies the scope and application of Section 306(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Act"). Section 306(a)(6) of the Act requires an issuer to provide timely notice to its directors and executive officers and to the Commission of the imposition of a blackout period that would trigger the

statutory trading prohibition of Section 306(a)(1). Approximately 1,230 issuers file Regulation BTR notices annually. We estimate that it takes 2 hours per response for an issuer to draft a notice to directors and executive officers for a total annual burden of 2,460 hours. The issuer prepares 75% of the 2,460 annual burden hours for a total reporting burden of  $(1,230 \times 2 \times .75)$  1,845 hours. In addition, we estimate that an issuer distributes a notice to five directors and executive officers at an estimated 5 minutes per notice (1,230 blackout period  $\times 5$  notices  $\times 5$  minutes) for a total reporting burden of 512 hours. The combined annual reporting burden is (1,845 hours + 512 hours) 2,357 hours.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collections of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: October 7, 2005.

#### Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–5722 Filed 10–17–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# **Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Regulation G; OMB Control No. 3235– 0576; SEC File No. 270–518.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission

("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Regulation G under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") requires registrants that publicly disclose material information that includes a non-GAAP financial measure to provide a reconciliation to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure. Regulation G implemented the requirements of Section 401 of the Sarbanes-Oxlev Act of 2002. We estimate that approximately 14,000 public companies must comply with Regulation G approximately six times a vear for a total of 84,000 responses annually. We estimated that it takes approximately .5 hours per response  $(84,000 \times .5 \text{ hours})$  for a total reporting burden of 42,000 hours annually.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collections of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: October 7, 2005.

#### Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–5724 Filed 10–17–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# **Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: