

environmental impacts of the proposed determination for the subject corn event. The petition and the environmental assessment and any comments received are available for public review, and copies of the petitions and the environmental assessment are available as indicated in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice.

After the comment period closes, APHIS will review the data submitted by the petitioner, all written comments received during the comment period, and any other relevant information. After reviewing and evaluating the comments on the petition and the environmental assessment and other data and information, APHIS will furnish a response to the petitioner, either approving the petition in whole or in part, or denying the petition. APHIS will then publish a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing the regulatory status of Monsanto's high lysine corn event LY038 and the availability of APHIS' written decision.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1622n and 7701-7772; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Done in Washington, DC, this 21st day of September 2005.

Elizabeth E. Gaston,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. E5-5178 Filed 9-26-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Mendocino Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Mendocino County Resource Advisory Committee will meet October 21, 2005, (RAC) in Willits, California. Agenda items to be covered included: (1) Approval of minutes, (2) Public Comment, (3) Sub-committees (4) Discussion—items of interest (5) Next agenda and meeting date.

DATES: The meeting will be held on October 21, 2005, from 9 a.m. until 12 noon.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Mendocino County Museum, located at 400 E. Commercial St. Willits, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roberta Hurt, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Covelo Ranger District, 78150 Covelo Road, Covelo CA 95428. (707) 983-8503; e-mail rhurt@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff by October 13, 2005. Public comment will have the opportunity to address the committee at the meeting.

Dated: September 21, 2005.

Blaine Baker,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 05-19240 Filed 9-26-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-601, A-583-603]

Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware from the Republic of Korea and Taiwan; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on top-of-the-stove stainless steel cooking ware ("cooking ware") from the Republic of Korea ("Korea") and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The Department conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of these orders. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins are identified in the *Final Results of Reviews* section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 27, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Zev Primor, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4114.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 1, 2005, the Department published the notice of initiation of the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on cooking ware from Korea and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 9919 (March 1, 2005). The Department received the Notice of

Intent to Participate from Regal Ware, Inc.; Vita Craft Corporation; and Paper Allied Industrial Chemical & Energy Workers (Local 7-0850) (collectively "the domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) ("Sunset Regulations"). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic-like product in the United States, and unions whose workers are engaged in the production of a domestic-like product in the United States.

We received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from respondent interested parties with respect to any of the orders covered by these sunset reviews. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(4)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of these orders for Korea and Taiwan.

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to these antidumping orders is cooking ware from Korea and Taiwan. The subject merchandise is all non-electric cooking ware of stainless steel which may have one or more layers of aluminum, copper or carbon steel for more even heat distribution. The subject merchandise includes skillets, frying pans, omelette pans, saucepans, double boilers, stock pots, dutch ovens, casseroles, steamers, and other stainless steel vessels, all for cooking on stove top burners, except tea kettles and fish poachers. Excluded from the scope of the orders are stainless steel oven ware and stainless steel kitchen ware. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 7323.93.00 and 9604.00.00. The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description remains dispositive.

The Department has issued several scope clarifications for these orders. The Department found that "universal pan lids" are not within the scope of the orders (57 FR 57420 (December 4, 1992)) and Max Burton's StoveTop Smoker is within the scope of the orders (60 FR 36782 (July 18, 1995)). Certain stainless steel pasta and steamer inserts (63 FR 41545 (August 4, 1998)), certain stainless steel eight-cup coffee percolators (58 FR 11209, February 24, 1993), and certain stainless steel stock pots and covers are within the scope of

the orders (57 FR 57420 (December 4, 1992)). Moreover, as a result of a changed circumstances review, the Department revoked the orders in part with respect to certain stainless steel camping ware: (1) made of single-ply stainless steel having a thickness no greater than 6.0 millimeters; and (2) consisting of 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 quart saucapans without handles and with lids that also serve as fry pans (62 FR 3662 (January 24, 1997)).

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware from the Republic of Korea and Taiwan ("Decision Memorandum") from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 27, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on cooking ware from Korea and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (percent)
Korea.	
Bum Koo Industrial Co., Ltd.	*31.23
Dae Sung Industrial Co., Ltd.	6.11
Hai Dong Stainless Industries, Co.	12.14
Kyung Dong Industrial Co., Ltd.	8.36
Namil Metal Co. Ltd.	0.75
All Others	8.10
Taiwan.	

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (percent)
Golden Lion Metal Industry Co., Ltd.	15.08
Lyi Mean Industrial Co., Ltd.	26.10
Song Far Industry Co., Ltd.	25.90
All Others	22.61

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 20, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 05-19275 Filed 9-26-05; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Notice of Availability (NOA) for the Record of Decision (ROD) for Activities Associated With Future Programs at the U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground (DPG), Utah

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD.

ACTION: Record of decision.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army announces the availability of its Record of Decision (ROD) for Activities Associated with Future Programs at the U.S. Army DPG. The ROD describes the Army's decisions with respect to the Proposed Action (implementation of DPG's planned mission for a 7-year time frame) and alternatives considered in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and its rationale for the decision. Based on the EIS and other relevant factors, the Army has decided to implement its Proposed Action. The Proposed Action is the alternative that best fulfills DPG's statutory mission and responsibilities, giving consideration to economic, environmental, technical, and other factors. DPG will continue to implement its existing mitigation

measures as well as measures described in the ROD to mitigate potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts caused by the Proposed Action.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the ROD may be submitted to: U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, ATTN: CSTE-DTC-DP-PA (Paula Nicholson), Dugway, UT 84022-5000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Paula Nicholson at (435) 831-3409 or by e-mail at nicholsn@dpg.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EIS was prepared to address the planned mission at DPG, the reasonable alternatives to the planned mission, and potential environmental impacts of DPG's future operations.

DPG is one of the few Army installations large and remote enough to permit comprehensive and realistic testing of biological and chemical defense systems, munitions and smokes, obscurants, and illuminants with a commitment to environmental protection and personal and public safety. Both DoD and non-DOD customers are posing challenges for DPG to support greater numbers of test and training events related to new enemy threats, next generation materiel, advanced conventional weapon systems, environmental concern, and demilitarization technologies.

The Proposed Action described and evaluated in the EIS is the implementation of DPG's planned mission. It includes continuation of existing DPG activities (including, but not limited to, chemical and biological defense testing, other testing programs, training, real property management, and environmental management) with future increases in most testing and training operating areas. Additionally, the Proposed Action includes diversification of DPG's operations and implementation of a Summary Development Plan identifying real property planning recommendations for DPG. The Proposed Action will enable DPG to effectively respond to the challenges of a growing and diversified mission.

In making its decision, the Army considered the results of the analysis in the EIS, including the evaluation of the other alternatives. The EIS considered the following two alternatives to the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative: (1) Decreased mission with a major reduction in operations at DPG and (2) a maximum expanded mission with major increases in most operating areas compared to current operations. The No Action Alternative represents the status quo and assumes that existing DPG operations would continue at