hydroxypyrimidine metabolite, 6-(2-chlorophenoxy)-5-fluoro-4-pyrimidinol, in or on the following raw agricultural commodities:

Commodity	Parts per million
Cattle, fat	0.10 0.05 0.10 0.05 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.05 0.10 0.02 0.50 0.10

- (b) Section 18 emergency exemptions. [Reserved]
- (c) Tolerances with regional registrations. [Reserved]
- (d) Indirect or inadvertent residues. Tolerances are established for the indirect or inadvertent combined residues of fluoxastrobin, (1E)-[2-[[6-(2chlorophenoxy)-5-fluoro-4pyrimydinyl]oxy]phenyl](5,6-dihydro-1,4,2-dioxazin-3-yl)methanone Omethyloxime, and its Z isomer, (1Z)-[2-[[6-(2-chlorophenoxy)-5-fluoro-4pyrimydinyl]oxy]phenyl](5,6-dihydro-1,4,2-dioxazin-3-yl)methanone Omethyloxime, in or on the following raw agricultural commodities when present therein as a result of the application of fluoxastrobin to the growing crops listed in paragraph (a)(1) of this section:

Commodity	Parts per million
Alfalfa, forageAlfalfa, hay	0.050 0.10
Cotton, gin byproducts	0.10
Grain, cereal, forage, fodder,	0.020
and straw, group 16	0.10
Grass, forage	0.10
Grass, hay	0.50
Vegetable, foliage of legume,	
group 7	0.050

[FR Doc. 05–18421 Filed 9–15–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 205

[DFARS Case 2004-D025]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Provision of Information to Cooperative Agreement Holders

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has adopted as final, without change, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 816 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005. Section 816 increased, from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000, the threshold at which a DoD contract must include a requirement for the contractor to provide to cooperative agreement holders, upon their request, a list of the contractor's employees who are responsible for entering into subcontracts.

DATES: Effective September 16, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Robin Schulze, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0326; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2004–D025.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

DoD published an interim rule at 70 FR 8536 on February 22, 2005, to implement Section 816 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Pub. L. 108-375), Section 816 amended 10 U.S.C. 2416(d) to increase, from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000, the threshold at which a DoD contract must include a requirement for the contractor to provide to cooperative agreement holders, upon their request, a list of the contractor's employees who are responsible for entering into subcontracts. The interim rule amended the prescription for use of the clause at DFARS 252.205-7000, Provision of Information to Cooperative Agreement Holders, to reflect the new dollar threshold.

DoD received no comments on the interim rule. Therefore, DoD has adopted the interim rule as a final rule without change.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. While the rule reduces administrative burdens for contractors, the economic impact is not expected to be substantial.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements of the clause at DFARS 252.205–7000, Provision of Information to Cooperative Agreement Holders, have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget, under Control Number 0704–0286, for use through September 30, 2007.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 205

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

Interim Rule Adopted as Final Without Change

■ Accordingly, the interim rule amending 48 CFR Part 205, which was published at 70 FR 8536 on February 22, 2005, is adopted as a final rule without change.

[FR Doc. 05–18476 Filed 9–15–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 217

[DFARS Case 2004-D024]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Multiyear Contracting

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has adopted as final, without change, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 8008 of the Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2005 and Section 814 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005. Sections 8008 and 814 contain requirements related to the funding of multiyear contracts.

DATES: Effective September 16, 2005.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms.
Robin Schulze, Defense Acquisition
Regulations Council,
OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132,
3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC
20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0326;
facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite
DFARS Case 2004–D024.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

DoD published an interim rule at 70 FR 24323 on May 9, 2005, to implement Section 8008 of the Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Pub. L. 108–287) and Section 814 of the

National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Pub. L. 108–375). Section 814 requires DoD to provide notice and supporting rationale to Congress before awarding a multiyear contract containing a cancellation ceiling exceeding \$100 million that is not fully funded. Section 8008 places additional restrictions on the award of multiyear contracts for supplies using fiscal year 2005 appropriated funds.

DoD received no comments on the interim rule. Therefore, DoD has adopted the interim rule as a final rule without change.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule addresses internal DoD planning, budgeting, and reporting requirements related to the award of multiyear contracts.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 217

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

Interim Rule Adopted as Final Without Change

■ Accordingly, the interim rule amending 48 CFR Part 217, which was published at 70 FR 24323 on May 9, 2005, is adopted as a final rule without change.

[FR Doc. 05–18475 Filed 9–15–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 600

[Docket No. 050520139-5239-02; I.D. 030305A]

RIN 0648-AS46

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fishing Capacity Reduction Program; Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands King and Tanner Crabs; Industry Fee System for Fishing Capacity Reduction Loan

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: NMFS establishes regulations to implement an industry fee system for repaying a \$97,399,357.11 Federal loan financing a fishing capacity reduction program in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands King and Tanner Crab fishery. This action implements the fee system. DATES: This final rule is effective, and crab program fee payment collection will begin, on October 17, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Environmental Assessment, Regulatory Impact Review, and Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (EA/RIR/FRFA) for the program may be obtained from Michael L. Grable, Chief, Financial Services Division, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910–3282.

Written comments involving the burden-hour estimates or other aspects of the collection-of-information requirements contained in this final rule should be submitted in writing to Michael L. Grable, at the above address, and to David Rostker, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), by email at <code>David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov</code> or by fax to 202–395–7285.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael L. Grable, (301) 713–2390.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Sections 312(b)-(e) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1861a(b) through (e)) generally authorized fishing capacity reduction programs. In particular, section 312(d) authorized industry fee systems for repaying the reduction loans which finance reduction program costs.

Subpart L of 50 CFR part 600 is the framework rule generally implementing sections 312(b)-(e).

Sections 1111 and 1112 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936 (46 App. U.S.C. 1279f and 1279g) generally authorized reduction loans.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2001 (Public Law 106–554) directed the Secretary of Commerce to establish a \$100 million fishing capacity reduction program in the Bering Sea/ Aleutian Islands king and Tanner crab fishery. Congress amended the authorizing Act twice (Public Law 107–20 and Public Law 107–117), once to change the crab reduction program's funding from a \$50 million appropriation and a \$50 million loan to a \$100 million loan and once to clarify provisions about crab fishery vessels.

NMFS published the crab reduction program's proposed implementation rule on December 12, 2002 (67 FR 76329) and its final rule on December 12, 2003 (68 FR 69331). Anyone interested in the program's full implementation details should refer to these two documents. NMFS initially proposed and adopted the program's implementation rule as section 600.1018 of Subpart L of 50 CFR part 600, but NMFS has since, without other change, re-designated the rule as section 600.1103 in a new subpart M of part 600.

To avoid confusion, the following table identifies the various part 600 rules involved in or affecting the crab reduction program:

DESCRIPTION	SUBPART	SECTION
Reduction Framework Rule Program Implementation Rule's Initial Designation Program Implementation Rule's Re-designation Fee Rule	L L M M	600.1000–600.1017 600.1018 600.1103 600.1104

The crab reduction program's maximum cost was \$100 million consisting of a 30-year loan to be repaid by fees on future crab landings. Each of six of the crab fishery's seven former

crab area/species endorsement fisheries were to pay fees at different rates. In return for reduction payments equaling their bid amounts, voluntary program participants relinquished, among other

things, their crab fishing license limitation program (LLP) licenses and other permits, their catch histories associated with those licenses and