

**ACTION:** Notice of document availability.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announces the availability of the Final Recovery Plan for the Pecos sunflower (*Helianthus paradoxus*). The Pecos sunflower is a wetland annual plant that grows on wet, alkaline soils at spring seeps, wet meadows and pond margins. It occurs in seven widely spaced populations in west-central and eastern New Mexico and west Texas. Loss and/or alteration of wetland habitat is the primary threat to Pecos sunflower, primarily by surface water diversion and wetland filling for agriculture and recreational uses, and groundwater pumping and aquifer depletion for municipal uses. The Recovery Plan outlines the necessary criteria, objectives, and actions to reduce these threats and accomplish the goal of delisting the Pecos sunflower.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the Recovery Plan may be requested by contacting the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office, 2105 Osuna NE., Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87113. The Recovery Plan can also be obtained from the Internet at <http://www.fws.gov/endangered/recovery/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Field Supervisor, New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office, at the above address; telephone 505/346-2525, facsimile 505/346-2542.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

Pecos sunflower was listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Act), as amended, on October 20, 1999 (64 FR 56582–56590). The threats facing the survival and recovery of this species are the loss and alteration of its wetland habitat due to aquifer depletions, diversions of surface water, and filling wetlands for conversion to dry land; competition from non-native plant species, including Russian olive and tamarisk; excessive livestock grazing; and highway maintenance and mowing. The Final Recovery Plan includes scientific information about the species and provides the objectives, criteria, and actions needed to delist the species. Recovery actions designed to achieve the objectives and criteria include identifying and securing core conservation habitats essential for the long-term survival of this species, continuing life history, population, and habitat studies, ensuring compliance with existing regulations, and promoting opportunities for voluntary conservation of the species.

Restoring an endangered or threatened animal or plant to the point

where it is again a secure, self-sustaining member of its ecosystem is a primary goal of the Service's endangered species program. To help guide the recovery effort, the Service is working to prepare recovery plans for most of the listed species native to the United States. Recovery plans describe actions considered necessary for conservation of listed species, establish criteria for downlisting or delisting those species, and estimate time and costs for implementing the recovery measures needed.

The Act requires the development of recovery plans for listed species unless such a plan would not promote the conservation of a particular species. Section 4(f) of the Act, as amended in 1988, requires that public notice and an opportunity for public review and comment be provided during recovery plan development. The Service considers all information presented during a public comment period prior to approval of each new or revised recovery plan. The Service and others also take these comments into account in the course of implementing recovery plans.

A Draft Recovery Plan for Pecos sunflower was available for a 30-day public comment period beginning July 2, 2004 (69 FR 40409). The Service also requested and received peer review from two independent specialists with expertise regarding Pecos sunflower and closely related species. During the comment period, we received letters from seven individuals and organizations, including both peer reviewers. In response to two requests to extend the public comment period, we re-opened the comment period for an additional 30 days on September 14, 2004 (69 FR 55447). No additional comments were received during that time. The recovery plan was modified to address many of the comments and specific responses for substantive comments are summarized in appendix A of the Final Recovery Plan.

**Authority**

This document is published under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: August 17, 2005.

**H. Dale Hall,**

*Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 05-18324 Filed 9-14-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Inv. Nos. 731-TA-344, 391A, 392A, 392C, 393A, 394A, 396, and 399A (Second Review)]

**Certain Bearings From China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission determinations to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on certain bearings from China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain bearings from China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Debra Baker (202-205-3180), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 7, 2005, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of

the Act.<sup>1</sup> The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (70 FR 31531, June 1, 2005) were adequate.<sup>2</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 9, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-18338 Filed 9-14-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-05-029]

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** September 20 , 2005 at 11 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** 1. Agenda for future meetings: None.

2. Minutes.

3. Ratification List.

4. Inv. No. 731-TA-459 (Second Review) (Polyethylene Terephthalate Film from Korea)—briefing and vote.

(The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before September 29, 2005.)

5. Inv. Nos. 731-TA-376, 563, and 564 (Second Review) (Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Japan, Korea, and Taiwan)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the

<sup>1</sup> Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun and Commissioner Shara L. Aranoff did not participate.

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Jennifer A. Hillman found that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to ball bearings from Germany and spherical plain bearings from France were inadequate. Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson found that the respondent interested party group response with respect to spherical plain bearings from France was inadequate.

Secretary of Commerce on or before September 29, 2005.)

6. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 12, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-18439 Filed 9-13-05; 12:47 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-05-028]

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** September 19 , 2005 at 2 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Agenda for future meetings:

None.

2. Minutes.

3. Ratification List.

4. Inv. No. 731-TA-841 (Second Review)(Non-Frozen Concentrated Apple Juice from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before September 28, 2005.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 12, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-18440 Filed 9-13-05; 12:47 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Executive Office for Immigration Review; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 60-day emergency notice of information collection under review:

Request for Recognition of a Non-profit Religious, Charitable, Social Service, or Similar Organization (Form EOIR-31).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with emergency review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. OMB approval has been requested by September 23, 2005. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. If granted, the emergency approval is only valid for 180 days. Comments should be directed to OMB, Office of Information and Regulation Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until November 14, 2005.

During the first 60 days of this same review period, a regular review of this information collection is also being undertaken. All comments and suggestions, or questions regarding additional information, to include obtaining a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, should be directed to MaryBeth Keller, General Counsel, Executive Office for Immigration Review, U.S. Department of Justice, Suite 2600, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia 22041; telephone: (703) 305-0470.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.