

Prairie, TX, and San Diego, CA. Under the terms of the proposed settlement, Cosmed will pay a civil penalty of \$500,000 and fund Supplemental Environmental Projects providing environmental and public health benefits in and around Camden, NJ, Lake County, IL, Dallas, TX, and San Diego, CA at a cost of \$1 million.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Cosmed Group, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-08115.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, District of Rhode Island, 50 Kennedy Plaza, 8th Floor, Providence, Rhode Island 02903, and at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1 (New England Region), One Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02114. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547.

In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$23.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 05-17376 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on August 18, 2005, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Novelis Corporation*, Civil No. 05-1046, was lodged with the United

States District Court for the Northern District of New York.

This action concerns the Pollution Abatement Services, Inc. Superfund Site in Oswego, New York and the Fulton Terminals Sites in Fulton, New York (Sites). In this action, the United States asserted claims against Novelis Corporation under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for recovery of response costs incurred regarding the Sites. The proposed consent decree embodies an agreement with Novelis to pay \$572,000 of EPA's past response costs. The decree provides Alcan with a covenant not to sue under Section 107(a) of CERCLA.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Novelis Corporation*, D.J. No. 90-11-2-2/4.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of New York, 445 Broadway, Albany, NY 12207, and at the Region II Office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Records Center, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10007-1866. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree also may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the Consent Decree also may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax No. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$3.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 05-17374 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4411-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—World Council of Optometry Global Commission on Ophthalmic Standards

Notice is hereby given that, on July 20, 2005, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), World Council of Optometry Global Commission on Ophthalmic Standards ("WCO GCOS") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is: World Council of Optometry Global Commission on Ophthalmic Standards, Elkins Park, PA. The nature and scope of WCO GCOS's standards development activities are: the WCO GCOS provides for voluntary, impartial ophthalmic product evaluation resulting in the issuance of a seal of acceptance for those ophthalmic products that meet published standards specifications developed by the WCO GCOS, including biological, laboratory, and/or clinical evaluations, or the issuance of a seal of certification for those ophthalmic products that meet standards already approved by accepted standards organizations and which are designated for use by the WCO GCOS. The WCO GCOS selects the categories of products to be evaluated and develops evaluation specifications/standards for those ophthalmic products using the American National Standard Institute's Third Party Certification Program Principles (ANSI Z34. 1-1993). Product categories for which the WCO GCOS currently has approved standards are: ultraviolet absorbers and blockers. Additional categories are always under review. The WCO GCOS abides by a strict Code of Conduct for reviewing any applications for seals of acceptance or certification and for developing

approved evaluation specifications/standards.

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 05-17420 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,579]

Acme Gear Company, Englewood, NJ; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on July 19, 2005 in response to a worker petition filed by a New Jersey State official on behalf of workers at Acme Gear Company, Englewood, New Jersey.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of August 2005.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-4780 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,518]

Boone International, Inc., Corona, CA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on July 8, 2005 in response to a petition filed by Company official on behalf of workers at Boone International, Inc., Corona, California.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 18th day of August, 2005.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-4779 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,145]

Columbia Lighting, Hubbell Lighting, Inc. Division, Spokane, WA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of July 14, 2005, an International Brotherhood Electrical Workers, Local Union No. 73 requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination signed on June 20, 2005 was based on the finding that there were no company imports of fluorescent lighting fixtures and no shift of production to a foreign source during the relevant period. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 20, 2005 (70 FR 41792).

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information regarding the subject firm's foreign facilities which manufacture like or directly competitive products with those produced at the subject firm. Upon further contact with the subject firm's company official, it was revealed that the subject firm significantly increased its import purchases of fluorescent lighting fixtures from January through April of 2005 when compared with the same period in 2004.

In accordance with Section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of Section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I

conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Columbia Lighting, Hubbell Lighting, Inc. Division, Spokane, Washington, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Columbia Lighting, Hubbell Lighting, Inc. Division, Spokane, Washington who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 9, 2004 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 19th day of August, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-4775 Filed 8-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,209]

Computer Sciences Corporation, Financial Services Group, East Hartford, CT; Notice of Negative Determination on Remand

On April 14, 2005, the U.S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) issued a second remand order directing the Department of Labor (Labor) to further investigate workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) in the matter of *Former Employees of Computer Sciences Corporation v. United States Secretary of Labor* (Court No. 04-00149).

The Department's initial negative determination for the workers of Computer Sciences Corporation, Financial Services Group, East Hartford, Connecticut (hereafter "CSC") was issued on October 24, 2003 and published in the **Federal Register** on November 28, 2003 (68 FR 66878). The Department's determination was based on the finding that workers did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. It was determined that the subject worker group provided business and information consulting, specialized application software, and technology