

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available

From: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filing and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Extension: Rule 30b1-5; SEC File No. 270-520; OMB Control No. 3235-0577.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for extension and approval.

The title for the collection of information is "Rule 30b1-5 under the Investment Company Act of 1940, Quarterly Filing of Schedule of Portfolio Holdings of Registered Management Investment Companies."

Rule 30b1-5 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 requires registered management investment companies, other than small business investment companies registered on Form N-5, to file a quarterly report via the Commission's EDGAR system on Form N-Q, not more than sixty calendar days after the close of each first and third fiscal quarter, containing their complete portfolio holdings.

The Commission estimates that there are 9,850 management investment companies and series that are governed by the rule. The Commission estimates that the annual burden associated with the rule is 1 hour per affected investment company or series. The total burden hours for rule 30b1-5 is 9,850 per year in the aggregate (9,850 responses × 1 hour per response). Estimates of average burden hours are made solely for the purposes of the Act, and are not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms.

The collection of information under rule 30b1-5 is mandatory. The information provided under rule 30b1-5 is not kept confidential. The Commission may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper

performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F. Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: August 22, 2005.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary,

[FR Doc. E5-4713 Filed 8-26-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**Sunshine Act Meeting**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meeting during the week of August 29, 2005:

A Closed Meeting will be held on Tuesday, August 30, 2005 at 2 p.m.

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the Closed Meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters may also be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(3), (5), (7), (9)(B), and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (7), 9(ii) and (10) permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meeting.

Commissioner Atkins, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the closed meeting in closed session.

The subject matters of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Tuesday, August 30, 2005, will be:

Formal orders of investigations; Institution and settlement of injunctive actions; and

Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature; and an Adjudicatory matter.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 551-5400.

Dated: August 23, 2005.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-17165 Filed 8-24-05; 4:48 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-52319; File No. SR-CBOE-2005-28]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Change Relating to DPM Obligations for Maintaining Backup Autoquote Systems

August 23, 2005.

On April 1, 2005, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend Rules 8.85(a)(xi) and (xii) to remove the requirement that Designated Primary Market-Makers ("DPMs") maintain a back-up quoting system for Hybrid and non-Hybrid option classes. The Exchange proposes a corresponding amendment to its Minor Rule Plan to remove references to Rules 8.85(a)(xi) and 8.85(a)(xii). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 22, 2005.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6 of the Act,⁴ applicable to a national securities exchange.⁵ In particular, the

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 52044 (July 15, 2005), 70 FR 42397 ("Notice").

⁴ See 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁵ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered its impact on

Commission believes that the proposal is consistent with Sections 6(b)(5) and 6(b)(7) of the Act,⁶ which require, among other things, that an exchange have rules designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, protect investors and the public interest, and enhance the effectiveness and fairness of the Exchange's disciplinary procedures. The Commission believes that CBOE's proposed rule changes should help to improve the efficiency of CBOE's market by eliminating unnecessary costs now borne by the Exchange's DPMs relating to the maintenance of back-up quotation systems.

As set forth in the Notice, CBOE Rules 8.85(a)(xi) and (xii) both impose an obligation on DPMs to maintain independent backup autoquote systems that can be employed in the event that a DPM's proprietary autoquote system should fail or be otherwise unavailable. Rule 8.85(a)(xi) governs non-CBOE Hybrid System ("non-Hybrid") classes, while Rule 8.85(a)(xii) governs CBOE Hybrid System ("Hybrid") classes.

With regard to CBOE Rule 8.85(a)(xi), the Commission notes that the Exchange has converted all of its DPM option classes to the CBOE Hybrid System. Thus, because non-Hybrid option classes no longer exist, CBOE Rule 8.85(a)(xi) has no applicability. Its repeal will have no impact on market participants.

As regards CBOE Rule 8.85(a)(xii), which requires DPMs to maintain an independent backup autoquote system that it may employ in the event its proprietary autoquote system fails, the Commission believes that the CBOE has made a reasonable determination that the backup obligation is no longer necessary. The Commission has no basis at this time to disagree with the CBOE's assessment that the recent adoption and implementation of the electronic DPM ("e-DPM") program⁷ on the Exchange should provide a more appropriate and cost effective safeguard against a DPM's inability to generate quotes in such option classes. Pursuant to the Exchange's rules governing the program, CBOE may allocate an option class that is already allocated to a DPM to one or more e-DPMs.⁸ Such e-DPMs provide

efficiency, competition and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) and 78f(b)(7).

⁷ See Exchange Act Release Nos. 49577 (April 19, 2004), 69 FR 22576 (April 26, 2004) (order approving the process for approving e-DPMs on the Exchange); 50003 (July 12, 2004), 69 FR 25647 (July 19, 2004) (order approving e-DPM trading rules).

⁸ See CBOE Rules 8.92 and 8.93.

competing quotations accessible by CBOE market participants.

Thus, the Commission believes that, given the CBOE's current trading environment, the exchange has made a reasonable determination that the requirement to maintain a backup quotation system is unnecessary and unduly burdensome on DPMs. The proposed rule changes appear to be reasonably designed to help to put DPMs on a more equal competitive footing other market participants, including electronic DPMs, which do not have a backup quotation system maintenance requirement. Moreover, the Commission notes that deletion of the backup autoquote rules would not affect a DPM's separate obligation to provide continuous market quotations for each of its allocated classes and respective series.⁹

Finally, the Commission approves CBOE's proposal to remove references to Rules 8.85(a)(xi) and 8.85(a)(xii) in its Minor Rule Violations Plan.¹⁰

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹¹ that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2005-28) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-4712 Filed 8-26-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-52316; File No. SR-NYSE-2005-56]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Amendments to Exchange Rule 629 ("Schedule of Fees") To Establish Processing Fees for Members, Member Organizations, and Allied Members That Are Parties to Arbitration Proceedings

August 22, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), and Rule 19b-4² thereunder, notice is hereby given that on August 10, 2005, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or the "Exchange") filed

⁹ See CBOE Rule 8.85(a)(i).

¹⁰ See CBOE Rule 17.50(g)(10).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. For purposes of Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,⁴ NYSE has designated the proposed rule change as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-regulatory organization on its members, which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of amendments to Rule 629 to impose processing fees on members, member organizations, and allied members in connection with arbitration proceedings in which more than \$25,000 is in dispute. Below is the text of the proposed rule change to Rule 629. Proposed new language is in *italics*; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

Rule 629 Schedule of fees

(a) through (j) No Change.

* * * * *

(k) *Arbitrator Selection and Hearing Scheduling Processing Fees*

(1) *Each member, member firm, member corporation or allied member (hereinafter referred to as any "entity") that is a party to an arbitration proceeding in which more than \$25,000 is in dispute will pay the following non-refundable processing fees:*

(a) *An arbitrator selection fee of \$750, due at the time the parties are sent the names of proposed arbitrators; and,*

(b) *A hearing scheduling fee in the applicable amount set forth in the schedule below, due when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session.*

| <i>Amount of dispute</i> | <i>Hearing scheduling fee</i> |
|---|-------------------------------|
| <i>\$1-\$25,000</i> | <i>\$0</i> |
| <i>\$25,000.01-\$50,000</i> | <i>\$1,000</i> |
| <i>\$50,000.01-\$100,000</i> | <i>\$1,700</i> |
| <i>\$100,000.01-\$500,000</i> | <i>\$2,750</i> |
| <i>\$500,000.01-\$1,000,000</i> | <i>\$4,000</i> |
| <i>\$1,000,000.01-\$5,000,000</i> | <i>\$5,000</i> |
| <i>More than \$5,000,000</i> | <i>\$5,500</i> |
| <i>Unspecified</i> | <i>\$2,200</i> |

(2) *If an associated person of an entity is a party, the entity or entities that*

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).