Research, Evaluation, and Technical Assistance (RETAs), or a universe of approximately 65 discrete projects.

The evaluation's data collection and analysis activities will be conducted by COSMOS Corporation, Bethesda in partnership with Brown University, George Mason University, and The McKenzie Group via a contract administered by the NSF's Division of Research, Evaluation and Communication (REC). This evaluation involves both quantitative and qualitative data, collected from multiple sources using multiple methods, including secondary analyses of projectrelated materials such as existing databases (MSP Management Information System—OMB 3145-0199), annual reports, Web sites, and relevant policy and methodological documents and original data collection through one-on-one interviews with key stakeholders conducted during site visits. For the MSP Management Information System, the contract team will analyze these data using quantitative statistical models. A second data source consists of annual project reports and other reports submitted by the MSP grantees to the NSF in accordance with Federal research project reporting requirements established at NSF under OMB 3145-0058. A third source is U.S. Department of Education's public use files on student achievement and school systems' demographic characteristics.

The fourth source for data is the proposed evaluation's original data collection activities. In particular and principally a series of site visits will be conducted during 2006, 2007, and 2008. The evaluation plan selects a random sample of sites to be the subject of the 2006 and 2007 site visits. In this manner, data and lessons derived form the earlier site visits can be the basis for generalizing to the entire MSP Program portfolio during 2006 and 2007. By 2008, with the entire census of study projects covered, such a sampling logic will no longer be relevant. The initial random sample will be stratified so that every grant site visit occurs before the grant expires.

The evaluation's overall framework consists of several substudies each focusing on a different, but essential part of the MSP grantee's work (e.g., partnerships, the role of disciplinary faculty, student achievement). The relevant evaluation design under these conditions might be considered a metaanalytic rather than singular design e.g., providing a rationale for the selection of substudies as well as some guidance for conducting the substudies. Consultations have occurred with a team of external experts on the research design during the evaluation's design phase and will continue to take place throughout the evaluation. The team of external experts represents the nation's leading researchers and scholars on methodology and content in the field of evaluation and representatives are from top-tier university schools of education and departments of mathematics or science; an education advocacy group; and an education research council.

The data collection instruments include face-to-face interviews, such as focus groups, and telephone or electronic surveys. An interview protocol based on the evaluation framework will be administered during the site visits. Expected respondents at site visits are Principal Investigators, co-Principal Investigators, administrators, teams of external experts, and other stakeholders who participated in MSP. There are not costs to respondents other than the time involved in the interview or survey process.

Information from the evaluation's data collections and analysis will be used to improve the NSF's program processes and outcomes. It will enable NSF to prepare and publish reports, and to respond to requests from Committees of Visitors, Congress, and the Office of Management and Budget, particularly as related to the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the Program Effectiveness Rating Tool (PART).

The primary evaluation questions include but are not limited to:

(1) How has the MSP Program affected or influenced the expertise, numbers, and diversity of the mathematics and science teaching force, K–12 student achievement in mathematics and science, and other presumed program outcomes? (2) What factors or attributes have accelerated or constrained progress in the MSP Program's achievements? and (3) How have institutions of higher education (IHEs) disciplinary faculty (mathematics, science, and engineering) participated in the MSP Program, and what has been their role in the Program's achievements?

*Respondents:* Individuals and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 1,200.

Burden on the Public: 3,000 hours.

Dated: August 19, 2005.

#### Suzanne H. Plimpton,

*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.* 

[FR Doc. 05–16825 Filed 8–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection: Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 30—Rules of General Applicability to Domestic Licensing of Byproduct Material.

2. Current OMB approval number: 3150–0017.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur. There is a one-time submittal of information to receive a license. Renewal applications are submitted every 10 years. Information submitted in previous applications may be referenced without being resubmitted. In addition, recordkeeping must be performed on an on-going basis.

4. Who is required or asked to report: All persons applying for or holding a license to manufacture, produce, transfer, receive, acquire, own, possess, or use radioactive byproduct material.

5. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 20,631 (4,485 NRC licensees and 16,146 Agreement State licensees).

6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 248,034 (NRC licensees 53,948 hours [25,983 reporting + 27,965 recordkeeping] and Agreement State licensees 194,086 hours [93,431 reporting + 100,655 recordkeeping] or 8.2 hours per response and 6.2 hours her recordkeeper).

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 30 establishes requirements that are applicable to all persons in the United States governing domestic licensing of radioactive byproduct material. The application, reporting and recordkeeping requirements are necessary to permit the NRC to make a determination whether the possession, use, and transfer of byproduct material is in conformance with the Commission's regulations for protection of the public health and safety. Submit, by October 24, 2005, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC World Wide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/publicinvolve/ doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–5 F52, Washington, DC 20555–0001, by telephone at 301–415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail to INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of August, 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

## Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. E5-4618 Filed 8-23-05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-305]

#### Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc.; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Dominion Energy Kewaunee, Inc., (the licensee) to withdraw its June 1, 2004, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR–43 for the Kewaunee Nuclear Plant, located in Kewaunee County, Wisconsin.

The proposed amendment would have modified the Technical Specifications (TS) to revise TS 1.0,

"Definitions," Table 3.5–2, "Instrument Operation Conditions for Reactor Trip," and Table 4.1-1, "Minimum Frequencies for Checks, Calibrations, and Test of Instrument Channels,' proposed to change the requirement to perform the channel test and channel calibration "once per operating cycle." The proposed changes would have added a definition for "staggered test basis," increase surveillance test intervals for the analog channels and logic cabinets of the reactor protection system and engineered safety featured actuation system, and would have added a completion time for the reactor trip breakers. Subsequently, by letter date August 4, 2005, you withdrew the amendment request. The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the Federal Register on July 6, 2004 (69 FR 40676). However, by letter dated August 4, 2005, the licensee withdrew the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated June 1, 2004, and the licensee's letter dated August 4, 2005, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) located at One White Flint North. Public File Area 01 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams/html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of August, 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

## L. Raghavan,

Chief, Section 1, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. E5–4617 Filed 8–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-8006]

Notice of Termination of Release of Kerr McGee Corporation, Technical Center, in Oklahoma City, OK for Unrestricted Use

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission. ACTION: Notice of license termination and site release for unrestricted use.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel S. Browder, M.S., Health Physicist, Nuclear Materials Licensing Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region IV, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011; Telephone: (817) 276–6552; fax number: (817) 860–8122; e-mail: rsb3@nrc.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Introduction

Pursuant to 10 CFR Part 2.106, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is providing notice of termination of Source Material License No. SUB– 986, and authorizing the release of Kerr McGee Corporation Technical Center (Licensee) located at 3301 NW 150th Street, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, for unrestricted use. The Licensee's request for an amendment to authorize decommissioning of its Technical Center was previously noticed in the **Federal Register** on July 12, 2001 (66 FR 36605) with an opportunity for hearing.

Kerr McGee Corporation provided a final radiological status survey and performed an indoor and outdoor dose analysis to demonstrate the site meets the license termination criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20. In addition, NRC staff conducted independent measurements of soils and surfaces at the site. The NRC staff has evaluated Kerr McGee Corporation's request, reviewed the results of the final radiological survey, and determined that the site meets the unrestricted use dose criteria in 10 CFR 20.1402. The Commission has concluded that the site is suitable for release for unrestricted use and has terminated the license for Kerr McGee Corporation Technical Center, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, property. The NRC staff issued a Final Safety Evaluation Report (SER) on August 1, 2005, to support the proposed action.

#### **II. Further Information**

The NRC has prepared a Final SER that documents the information that was