

[FR Doc. 05-16905 Filed 8-22-05; 12:07 pm]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-C

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Reclamation****Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Criteria for Evaluating Water Conservation Plans****AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria). **Note:** For the purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans (Plans). The CVPIA requires Reclamation to evaluate, and revise if necessary, the Criteria every 3 years. Reclamation is publishing this notice to allow the public to comment on the revised 2005 draft Criteria. Public comment on the revised Criteria is invited at this time. The draft revision is available for review and comment. A copy of the draft revision can be found at the following Web site: <http://www.usbr.gov/mp/watershare/documents/2005DraftCriteria.pdf>.

A copy of the draft revision can be obtained by contacting persons at the address below. After the review period, if no significant changes are made based on comments from the public, the Criteria will be final. After the Criteria is final, it will be used to evaluate Plans.

DATES: All public comments must be received by September 30, 2005.**ADDRESSES:** Please mail comments to Jerry Townsend, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825, or contact at 916-978-5223 (TDD 978-5608), or e-mail at gtownsend@mp.usbr.gov.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Leslie Barbre or Jerry Townsend at the e-mail address or telephone number above.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are inviting the public to comment on the revision of the Criteria. Section 3405(e) of the CVPIA (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575), requires the "Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices

that shall * * * develop Criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those Plans required by Section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982." Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these Criteria must be developed " * * * with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices." The Criteria have the following applicability statements:

Who Must Use These Criteria. These Criteria apply to Plans submitted to Reclamation as required by applicable Central Valley Project water delivery contract or any contract that specifically invokes these Criteria.

Exceptions. The following are excepted from the requirement to prepare a Plan using these Criteria:

- All Contractors that receive only irrigation water from any Federal Reclamation project, and deliver said water to less than 2,000 acres of land.
- All Contractors that receive only municipal and industrial (urban) water from any Federal Reclamation project, and provide said water to less than 3,300 people.
- All Contractors that receive a combination of irrigation and urban water amounting to less than an annual average of 2,000 acre-feet from any Federal Reclamation project.

Reclamation will evaluate Plans based on these Criteria. The CVPIA requires Reclamation to evaluate, and revise if necessary, the Criteria every 3 years. The Criteria were previously revised in 1996, 1999, and 2002.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety. For copies contact Leslie Barbre, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825, or contact at 916-978-5232 (TDD 978-5608), or e-mail at lbarbre@mp.usbr.gov.

Dated: July 25, 2005.

Donna E. Tegelman,*Regional Resources Manager.*

[FR Doc. 05-16818 Filed 8-23-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Reclamation****San Luis Drainage Feature Re-evaluation Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Alameda, Contra Costa, Fresno, Kern, Kings, Merced, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, and Stanislaus Counties, CA****AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.**ACTION:** Extension of public review and comment period for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation is extending the public review and comment period for the Draft EIS to Thursday, September 1, 2005. The notice of availability of the Draft EIS and notice of public hearings was published in the **Federal Register** on June 2, 2005, (70 FR 32370). The public review period was originally scheduled to end on August 1, 2005.

DATES: Submit comments on the Draft EIS by close of business Thursday, September 1, 2005.**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the Draft EIS to Ms. Claire Jacquemin, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-700, Sacramento, CA 95825.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jerry Robbins, Project Manager, at 916-978-5061, TDD 916-978-5608. The Draft EIS is also available online at http://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/nepa_projdetails.cfm?Project_ID=61. To request a copy of the Draft EIS please contact Ms. Jacquemin at 916-978-5119.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There may also be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves

as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: July 29, 2005.

Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 05-16821 Filed 8-23-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Announcement to Extend Comment Period on the Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement on Excess Spoil Generation and Disposal and Stream Buffer Zone Rulemaking

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: We, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), are allowing additional time for the public to submit suggestions on significant issues and specific alternatives that we should consider in the planning and preparation on an environmental impact statement on the excess spoil generation and disposal and stream buffer zone rulemaking. We received multiple requests to extend the comment period by a week beyond the last public scoping meeting in order for the meeting participants to fully consider discussions within the meeting. We believe that this is reasonable request and are granting an extension of public comment period.

DATES: *Electronic or written comments:* We must receive your written comments by 4 p.m. eastern standard time on September 1, 2005, to ensure consideration in the preparation of the draft EIS.

ADDRESSES: You may mail or hand carry comments to: "EIS Scoping SBZ Rulemaking Comments" c/o OSM Appalachian Region, 3 Parkway Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15220, or you may send comments via electronic mail to: SBZ-EIS@osmre.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David G. Hartos, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, U.S. Department of the Interior, 3 Parkway Center, Pittsburgh, PA 15220; Telephone: 412-937-2909. E-mail address: DHARTOS@OSMRE.GOV.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 16, 2005 (70 FR 35112), we published a notice of our intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) to

analyze the effects of possibly revising our regulations pertaining to excess spoil generation and disposal, and stream buffer zones. We determined that the preparation of an EIS would be an appropriate mechanism to fully access alternative approaches and potential impacts of the changes proposed in the **Federal Register** on January 7, 2004 (69 FR 1036). We asked for the public's assistance in identifying significant issues and specific alternatives related to the proposed action. The original comment period was scheduled to close on August 15, 2005, but we are extending the comment period to the time and date list under **DATES**.

Dated: August 16, 2005.

Michael K. Robinson,

Acting Regional Director, Appalachian Region, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 05-16802 Filed 8-23-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-846-850 (Review)]

Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from Czech Republic, Japan, Mexico, Romania, and South Africa

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe from Czech Republic, Japan, Mexico, Romania, and South Africa.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe from Czech Republic, Japan, Mexico, Romania, and South Africa would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 5, 2005, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act.¹ The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (70 FR 22688, May 2, 2005) was adequate, and that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to the Czech Republic, Mexico, Romania, and South Africa were adequate, but found that the respondent interested party group response with respect to Japan was inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct a full review concerning subject imports from Japan to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct full reviews with respect to subject imports from the Czech Republic, Mexico, Romania, and South Africa. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 18, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-16836 Filed 8-23-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

¹ Commissioner Marcia E. Miller did not participate in these determinations.