electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to http://www.epa.gov/edocket.

Title: NSPS for Primary and Secondary Emissions from Basic Oxygen Furnaces (Renewal).

Abstract: The New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for the regulations published at 40 CFR part 60, subparts N and Na were proposed on were proposed on June 11, 1973, and promulgated on March 8, 1974. These regulations apply to each basic oxygen process furnace (BOPF) in an iron and steel plant commencing construction, modification or reconstruction after the date of a proposal. An opacity limit was promulgated on April 13, 1978, as a supplement to the mass standard. On January 20, 1983, amendments to the Standards of Performance for Primary Emissions from Basic Oxygen Process Furnaces, merged with Standards of Performance for Secondary Emissions from Basic Oxygen Process Steelmaking Facilities (Subpart Na). Subpart Na is applicable to any top-blown BOPF, hot metal transfer station or skimming station for which construction, reconstruction, or modification commenced after January 20, 1983.

The monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements outlined in the rule are similar to those required for other NSPS regulations. Consistent with the NSPS General Provisions (40 CFR part 60, subpart A), respondents would submit initial notifications, conduct performance test and report test results for the primary emission control devices, and submit periodic reports. Sources also must develop and implement a Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan (SSMP) and submit semiannual reports of any event where the procedures in the plan were not followed. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all sources subject to NSPS. Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least two years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15, and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 158 hours

(rounded) per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Basic oxygen process furnace shops at iron and steel plants with furnaces, skimming stations and/or hot metal transfer stations.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 5.

Frequency of Response: Initial, semiannual and on occasion.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 1.896 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: \$179,440, which includes \$18,000 annualized capital/startup costs, \$8,397 annual O&M costs, and \$153,043 annual

Changes in the Estimates: There is an increase of 884 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This increase is due to an increase in the number of modified/ reconstructed sources. There is also a small increase on the annualized cost on the renewal of this ICR (from \$25,794 to 26.397 or \$603) associated with an increase in the operation and maintenance costs resulting from the increase in the number of sources. However, this increase is not reflected in the OMB 83-I form due to rounding of the values.

Dated: August 9, 2005.

### Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. 05–16482 Filed 8–18–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6666-5]

# **Environmental Impacts Statements;** Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202)

564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 8/08/2005 through 8/12/2005 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20050333, Final EIS, FRC, 00,
Piceance Basin Expansion Project,
Construction and Operation of a New
Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline
System, Wamsutter Compressor
Station to Interconnections
Greasewood Compressor Station, Rio
Blanco County, CO and Sweetwater
County, WY, Wait Period Ends: 09/
19/2005, Contact: Thomas Russo 1–
866–208–3372.

EIS No. 20050334, Final EIS, DOE, MT, South Fork Flathead Watershed Westslope Cuttroat Trout Conservation Program, Preserve the Genetic Purity of the Westslope Cuttroat Trout Population, Flathead National Forest, Flathead River, Flathead, Powell and Missoula Counties, MT, Wait Period Ends: 09/19/2005, Contact: Colleen Spiering 503–230–5756.

EIS No. 20050335, Final Supplement, AFS, MT, Gallatin National Forest, Updated Information, Replaces the Effects Analysis for the Northern Goshawk in the Main Boulder Fuels Reduction Project (FEIS), Implementation, Gallatin National Forest, Big Timber Ranger District, Sweet Grass and Park Counties, MT, Wait Period Ends: 09/19/2005, Contact: Barbara Ping 406–522–2558.

EIS No. 20050336, Final EIS, FAA, VA, Washington Dulles International Airport Project, Acquisition of Land, Construction and Operation, IAD 2004 Airport Layout Plan (ALP), Dulles, VA, Wait Period Ends: 09/19/2005, Contact: Joseph Delia 703–661–1358.

EIS No. 20050337, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Empire Vegetation Management Project, Reducing Fire Hazards, Harvesting of Trees Using Group-Selection (GS) and Individual Trees Selection (ITS) Methods, Mt. Hough Ranger District, Plumas National Forest, Plumas County, CA, Wait Period Ends: 09/19/2005, Contact: Gary Rotta 530–283–0555.

EIS No. 20050338, Final EIS, FHW, OH, OH–823, Portsmouth Bypass Project, Transportation Improvements, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Appalachian Development Highway, Scioto County, OH, Wait Period Ends: 09/19/2005, Contact: Dennis A. Decker 614–280–6896.

EIS No. 20050339, Draft EIS, DOA, SD, Deerfield Project Area, Proposes to Implement Multiple Resource Management Actions, Mystic Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, Pennington County, South Dakota, Comment Period Ends: 10/03/2005, Contact: Robert Thompson 605–343– 1567.

EIS No. 20050340, Draft EIS, AFS, UT, West Fork Blacks Fork Allotment Management Plan, Proposes to Authorize Continued Livestock Grazing, Township 1 North, Range 11 East, Salt Lake Principle Merida, Evanston Ranger District, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Summit County, UT, Comment Period Ends: 10/03/2005, Contact: Richard Zobell 307–782–6555.

EIS No. 20050341, Final EIS, COE, 00,
Arkansas River Navigation Study, To
Maintain and Improve the Navigation
Channel in Order to Enhance
Commercial Navigation on the
McCellan Kerr Arkansas River
Navigation System (MKARNS),
Several Counties, AR and Several
Counties, OK, Wait Period Ends: 09/
19/2005, Contact: Renee Wright 501–
324–6139.

EIS No. 20050342, Draft EIS, NOA, 00, Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan for Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish, and Shark and the Atlantic Billfish Fishery Management Plan, Implementation, Atlantic Coast, Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico, Comment Period Ends: 10/03/2005, Contact: Karyl Brewster Geisz 301– 713–2347.

#### Amended Notices

EIS No. 20050044, Draft EIS, BLM, WY, Jonah Infill Drilling Project, Propose to Expand Development of Natural Gas Drilling, Sublette County, WY, Comment Period Ends: 10/07/2005, Contact: Carol Kruse 307–367–5352. Revision of Notice Published in Federal Register 02/11/2005: This Comment Period is Only for the Supplement Air Quality Information Portion; the Comment Period will end on 10/07/2005.

EIS No. 20050216, Draft EIS, IBR, CA,
San Luis Drainage Feature Reevaluation Project, Provide
Agricultural Drainage Service to the
San Luis Unit, Several Counties, CA,
Comment Period Ends: 9/01/2005,
Contact: Gerald Robbins 916–978–
5061. Revision of Notice Published in
Federal Register 6/03/2005:
Extending the Comment Period from
8/03/2005 to 9/01/2005.

EIS No. 20050231, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Gallatin National Forest, Proposed Travel Management Plan, Implementation, Forest Land and Resource Management, Madison, Gallatin, Park, Meagher, Sweetgrass and Carbon Counties, MT, Comment Period Ends: 9/02/2005, Contact: Steve Christiansen 406–587–6750 Revision of Notice Published in **Federal Register** 6/17/2005: Extending Comment Period from 8/ 01/2005 to 9/02/2005.

EIS No. 20050325, Draft EIS, AFS, WV, Programmatic—Monongahela
National Forest Plan Revision, Proposes to Revise Land and Resource
Management Plan, Barbour, Grant, Greebrier, Nicholas, Pendleton,
Pocahontab, Preston, Randolph, Tucker, Webster Counties, WV, Comment Period Ends: 11/14/2005, Contact: Clyde
Thompson 304–636–1800.

Revision of Notice Published in **Federal Register:** 8/12/2005: Correction to Comment Period from 9/26/2005 to 11/14/2005.

Dated: August 16, 2005.

#### Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05–16473 Filed 8–18–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6666-6]

#### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in **Federal Register** dated April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16815).

#### **Draft EISs**

EIS No. 20050229, ERP No. D-AFS-L65487-0R, Blue Mountain Land Exchange—Oregon Project, Proposed Exchange of Federal and Non-Federal Lands, Malheur, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests, Baker, Grant, Morrow, Umatilla, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to water quality and forest habitat as well as on the loss of dedicated old growth and net loss of Late and Old Structure habitat. EPA supports the identified prioritization of road restoration efforts proposed for acquired lands, but is concerned about uncertain funding to implement restoration efforts.

Rating EC2.

#### **Final EISs**

EIS No. 20050267, ERP No. F–NRC– G09804–NM, National Enrichment Facility (NEF), Construction, Operation, and Decommission of a Gas Centrifuge Uranium Enrichment Facility, License Application, NUREG–1790, near Eunice, Lea County, NM.

Summary: No formal comment letter was to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20050275, ERP No. F-FHW-F40389-WI, WI-26 State Trunk Highway (STH) Improvements, Janesville at IH-90 to STH-60-East north of Watertown Road, Funding, (Project ID 1390-04-00), Rock, Jefferson, and Dodge Counties, WI

Summary: Many of EPA's earlier objections to the project have been satisfactory addressed; however, EPA continues to have concerns about the proposed project because of the need for additional refinement on compensatory mitigation for wetlands impacts.

EIS No. 20050279, ERP No. F–NPS– E65070–AL, Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Dallas, Lowndes, and Montgomery Counties, AL.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the preferred alternative.

EIS No. 20050302, ERP No. FC-IBW-K24017-00, South Bay International Wastewater Treatment Plan (SBIWTP), To Address Treatment Alternatives from Tijuana, Mexico that cross into United States/Mexico Border in San Diego County, CA.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: August 16, 2005.

#### Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05–16474 Filed 8–18–05; 8:45 am]

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7956-6]

### Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Notification of an Upcoming Science Advisory Board Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).