Volume IV
Illinois
IL20030053 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IL20030055 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IL20030065 (Jun. 13, 2003) Indiana
IN20030001 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030002 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030003 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030004 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030005 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030006 (Jun. 13, 2003) IN20030008 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030008 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030010 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030019 (Jun. 13, 2003)
IN20030021 (Jun. 13, 2003)
Michigan
MI20030001 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030002 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030003 (Jun. 13, 2003) MI20030004 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030004 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030007 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030008 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030010 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030011 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030012 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030013 (Jun. 13, 2003) MI20030015 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030013 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030019 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030020 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030065 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030066 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030099 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030100 (Jun. 13, 2003)
MI20030101 (Jun. 13, 2003) MI20030105 (Jun. 13, 2003)
Ohio
OH20030001 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030002 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030003 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030004 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030005 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030006 (Jun. 13, 2003) OH20030008 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030009 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030012 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030013 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030014 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030018 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030020 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030023 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030026 (Jun. 13, 2003) OH20030027 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030027 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030029 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030032 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030033 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030034 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030035 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030036 (Jun. 13, 2003)
OH20030037 (Jun. 13, 2003) OH20030038 (Jun. 13, 2003)
Wisconsin
WI20030011 (Jun. 13, 2003)
Volume V
Iowa
IA20030003 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030005 (Jun. 13, 2003)

Mai IA20030003 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030005 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030006 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030007 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030008 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030009 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030010 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030013 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030014 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030020 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030029 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030029 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030032 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030034 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030054 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030056 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030059 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030060 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030060 (Jun. 13, 2003) IA20030067 (Jun. 13, 2003)

Volume VI

Volume VII

California

CA20030001 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030002 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030004 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030009 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030013 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030019 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030023 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030025 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030027 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030028 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030029 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030030 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030031 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030032 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030033 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030035 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030036 (Jun. 13, 2003) CA20030037 (Jun. 13, 2003)

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon And Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically at no cost on the Government Printing Office site at http://www.access.gpo.gov/davisbacon. They are also available electronically by subscription to the Davis-Bacon Online Service (http://

davisbacon.fedworld.gov) of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at 1–800–363–2068. This subscription offers value-added features such as electronic delivery of modified wage decisions directly to the user's desktop, the ability to access prior wage decisions issued during the year, extensive Help desk Support, etc.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402. (202) 512–1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since sbuscritions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates will be distributed to subscribers.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 14th day of July, 2005.

Shirley Ebbesen,

Chief, Branch of Construction Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 05–14180 Filed 7–18–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Mediation Board (NMB).

SUMMARY: The Director, Office of Administration, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments within 30 days from the date of this publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director, Office of Administration, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection

contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Record keeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Currently, the National Mediation Board is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the Application for Mediation Services and is interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the agency; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the agency enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the agency minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: July 14, 2005.

June D. W. King,

Director, Office of Administration, National Mediation Board.

Application for Mediation Services

Type of Review: Extension.
Title: Application for Mediation
Services, OMB Number: 3140–0002.
Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Carrier and Union Officials, and employees of railroads and airlines.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 70 annually. Burden Hours: 17.50.

Abstract: Section 5, First of the Railway Labor Act, 45 U.S.C., 155, First, provides that both, or either, of the parties to the labor-management dispute may invoke the mediation services of the National Mediation Board. Congress has determined that it is in the nation's best interest to provide for governmental mediation as the primary dispute resolution mechanism to resolve labormanagement disputes in the railroad and airline industries. The Railway Labor Act is silent as to how the invocation of mediation is to be accomplished and the Board has not promulgated regulations requiring any specific vehicle. Nonetheless, 29 CFR 1203.1 provides that applications for mediation services be made on printed forms which may be secured from the National Mediation Board. This section of the regulations provides that applications should be submitted in duplicate, show the exact nature of the

dispute, the number of employees involved, name of the carrier and name of the labor organization, date of agreement between the parties, date and copy of notice served by the invoking party to the other and date of final conference between the parties. The application should be signed by the highest officer of the carrier who has been designated to handle disputes under the Railway Labor Act or by the chief executive of the labor organization, whichever party files the application.

The extension of this form is necessary considering the information provided by the parties is used by the Board to structure a mediation process that will be productive to the parties and result in a settlement without resort to strike or lockout. The Board has been very successful in resolving labor disputes in the railroad and airline industries. Historically, some 97 percent of all NMB mediation cases have been successfully resolved without interruptions to public service. Since 1980, only slightly more than 1 percent of cases have involved a disruption of service. This success ratio would possibly be reduced if the Board was unable to collect the brief information that it does in the application for

mediation services.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from http://www.nmb.gov or should be addressed to Denise Murdock, NMB, 1301 K Street NW., Suite 250 E, Washington, DC 20005 or addressed to the e-mail address murdock@nmb.gov or faxed to 202–692–5081. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to June D. W. King at 202–692–5010 or via Internet address king@nmb.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD/TDY) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. 05–14146 Filed 7–18–05; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-271]

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering

modifying previous approvals, granted pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 20.2002 (previously 10 CFR 20.302(a)), for onsite disposal of slightly contaminated material at Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (Vermont Yankee), as requested by Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee). Vermont Yankee is located in Windham County, Vermont. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would modify the previously-granted approvals for onsite disposal of slightly contaminated material to increase the current approved annual volume limit of 28.3 cubic meters of soil/sand to a new annual volume limit of 150 cubic meters of soil/sand. In addition, the licensee has requested a one-time approval for on-site disposal of the current backlog inventory of approximately 528 cubic meters of soil/sand.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated October 4, 2004, as supplemented on January 17, 2005.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to dispose of slightly contaminated soil/ sand on-site. Current restrictions on the annual volume of slightly contaminated soil/sand that can be disposed on-site, coupled with several plant facility projects in recent years, have resulted in the accumulation of a backlog of slightly contaminated earthen material that is awaiting disposal by land spreading on previously-approved on-site disposal areas. The current approved annual volume limit of 28.3 cubic meters of soil/sand for disposal was based on licensee estimates of soil and sand collected from road and walkway sweepings inside the Protected Area following each year's winter cleanup (i.e., the current annual limit does not account for future site excavation and construction activities).

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its safety evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed action will be bounded by the conditions for the on-site disposals previously reviewed and approved by the NRC. The staff's safety evaluation will be provided as an enclosure to the letter to the licensee approving the proposed action.