academic standards and of dropping out of high school.

Adequacy of Resources

In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, we consider the following factors:

(a) The extent of the cash or in-kind support the SEA will provide.

(b) The extent of the cash or in-kind support other public and private agencies will contribute to the implementation of the proposed project.

Quality of the Management Plan

In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, we consider the adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including the extent to which the plan clearly defines the roles and responsibilities of each agency and its key personnel and establishes detailed timelines and milestones for accomplishing each of the project tasks.

Quality of the SDP Project Evaluation

In determining the quality of the evaluation, we consider the following factors:

(a) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will yield accurate and reliable data for each of the required performance indicators.

(b) The extent to which the evaluation will produce reports or other documents at appropriate intervals to enable the agencies, organizations, or institutions participating in the project to use the data for planning and decisionmaking for continuous program improvement.

(c) Whether the independent thirdparty evaluator identified in the application has the necessary background and expertise to carry out the evaluation.

Executive Order 12866

This NFP and selection criteria has been reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12866. Under the terms of the order, we have assessed the potential costs and benefits of this regulatory action.

The potential costs associated with the NFP are those resulting from statutory requirements and those we have determined as necessary for administering this program effectively and efficiently.

In assessing the potential costs and benefits—both quantitative and qualitative—of this NFP, we have determined that the benefits of the NFP justify the costs.

We also have determined that this regulatory action does not unduly

interfere with State, local, and tribal governments in the exercise of their governmental functions.

Intergovernmental Review

This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

This document provides early notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: *http://www.ed.gov/ news/fedregister*.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1– 888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: *http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/ index/html.*

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6551, et seq.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 84.360A School Dropout Prevention Program)

Dated: July 5, 2005.

Susan Sclafani,

Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education.

[FR Doc. 05–13579 Filed 7–7–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP05-130-000]

Dominion Cove Point LNG, L.P.; Notice of Technical Conference

July 1, 2005.

On Wednesday, July 27, 2005, at 8:30 a.m. (EDT), staff of the Office of Energy Projects will convene a cryogenic design and technical conference regarding the proposed Cove Point Expansion Project. The cryogenic conference will be held in the Holiday Inn Select, located at 155 Holiday Drive, Solomons, MD 20688. For hotel details call (410) 326–6311.

In view of the nature of critical energy infrastructure information and security issues to be explored, the cryogenic conference will not be open to the public. Attendance at this conference will be limited to existing parties to the proceeding (anyone who has specifically requested to intervene as a party) and to representatives of interested Federal, State, and local agencies. Any person planning to attend the July 27th cryogenic conference must register by close of business on Monday, July 25, 2005. Registrations may be submitted either online at http:// www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/ *cryo-conf-form.asp* or by faxing a copy of the form (found at the referenced online link) to (202) 208-0353. All attendees must sign a non-disclosure statement prior to entering the conference. Upon arrival at the hotel, check the reader board in the hotel lobby for venue. For additional information regarding the cryogenic conference, please contact Ghanshyam Patel at (202) 502-6431.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–3605 Filed 7–7–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2009-048]

Virginia Electric and Power Company, dba Dominion Virginia Power/ Dominion North Carolina Power; Notice Rejecting Request for Rehearing

July 1, 2005.

By order issued May 17, 2005,¹ Commission staff approved and modified a dissolved oxygen monitoring plan filed by the licensee for the Roanoke Rapids and Gaston Project No. 2009, located on the Roanoke River in Brunswick and Mecklenburg Counties, Virginia, and in Halifax, Northampton, and Warren Counties, North Carolina. On June 16, 2005, the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (North Carolina DENR) filed a request for rehearing of the order, without an accompanying notice or motion to intervene.

^{1 111} FERC ¶ 62,170.