academic standards and of dropping out of high school.

Adequacy of Resources

In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, we consider the following factors:

(a) The extent of the cash or in-kind support the SEA will provide.

(b) The extent of the cash or in-kind support other public and private agencies will contribute to the implementation of the proposed project.

Quality of the Management Plan

In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, we consider the adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including the extent to which the plan clearly defines the roles and responsibilities of each agency and its key personnel and establishes detailed timelines and milestones for accomplishing each of the project tasks.

Quality of the SDP Project Evaluation

In determining the quality of the evaluation, we consider the following factors:

- (a) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will yield accurate and reliable data for each of the required performance indicators.
- (b) The extent to which the evaluation will produce reports or other documents at appropriate intervals to enable the agencies, organizations, or institutions participating in the project to use the data for planning and decisionmaking for continuous program improvement.
- (c) Whether the independent thirdparty evaluator identified in the application has the necessary background and expertise to carry out the evaluation.

Executive Order 12866

This NFP and selection criteria has been reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12866. Under the terms of the order, we have assessed the potential costs and benefits of this regulatory action.

The potential costs associated with the NFP are those resulting from statutory requirements and those we have determined as necessary for administering this program effectively and efficiently.

In assessing the potential costs and benefits—both quantitative and qualitative—of this NFP, we have determined that the benefits of the NFP justify the costs.

We also have determined that this regulatory action does not unduly

interfere with State, local, and tribal governments in the exercise of their governmental functions.

Intergovernmental Review

This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

This document provides early notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index/html.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6551, et seq.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 84.360A School Dropout Prevention Program)

Dated: July 5, 2005.

Susan Sclafani,

Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education.

[FR Doc. 05–13579 Filed 7–7–05; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP05-130-000]

Dominion Cove Point LNG, L.P.; Notice of Technical Conference

July 1, 2005.

On Wednesday, July 27, 2005, at 8:30 a.m. (EDT), staff of the Office of Energy Projects will convene a cryogenic design and technical conference regarding the proposed Cove Point Expansion Project.

The cryogenic conference will be held in the Holiday Inn Select, located at 155 Holiday Drive, Solomons, MD 20688. For hotel details call (410) 326–6311.

In view of the nature of critical energy infrastructure information and security issues to be explored, the cryogenic conference will not be open to the public. Attendance at this conference will be limited to existing parties to the proceeding (anyone who has specifically requested to intervene as a party) and to representatives of interested Federal, State, and local agencies. Any person planning to attend the July 27th cryogenic conference must register by close of business on Monday, July 25, 2005. Registrations may be submitted either online at http:// www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/ cryo-conf-form.asp or by faxing a copy of the form (found at the referenced online link) to (202) 208-0353. All attendees must sign a non-disclosure statement prior to entering the conference. Upon arrival at the hotel, check the reader board in the hotel lobby for venue. For additional information regarding the cryogenic conference, please contact Ghanshyam Patel at (202) 502-6431.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–3605 Filed 7–7–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2009-048]

Virginia Electric and Power Company, dba Dominion Virginia Power/ Dominion North Carolina Power; Notice Rejecting Request for Rehearing

July 1, 2005.

By order issued May 17, 2005,¹
Commission staff approved and
modified a dissolved oxygen monitoring
plan filed by the licensee for the
Roanoke Rapids and Gaston Project No.
2009, located on the Roanoke River in
Brunswick and Mecklenburg Counties,
Virginia, and in Halifax, Northampton,
and Warren Counties, North Carolina.
On June 16, 2005, the North Carolina
Department of Environment and Natural
Resources (North Carolina DENR) filed
a request for rehearing of the order,
without an accompanying notice or
motion to intervene.

¹ 111 FERC ¶ 62,170.

Under section 313(a) of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 825l(a), a request for rehearing may be filed only by a party to the proceeding. While North Carolina DENR was an intervenor in the licensing proceedings for the Roanoke Rapids and Gaston Project, party status is not carried over to post-licensing proceedings.2 Accordingly, in order for North Carolina DENR, whose water quality division was a consulted agency on the dissolved oxygen monitoring plan, to be a party to this proceeding, it must have filed a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.214, not later than 30 days after issuance of the May 17, 2005 order (June 16, 2005).3 As noted above, North Carolina DENR did not file a notice or motion to intervene and therefore, the request for rehearing is hereby rejected.

This notice constitutes final agency action. Request for rehearing by the Commission of this rejection notice must be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this notice, pursuant to 18 CFR 385.713.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-3604 Filed 7-7-05; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER05-713-000, ER05-713-001, and ER05-713-002]

KRK Energy; Notice of Issuance of Order

July 1, 2005.

KRK Energy (KRK) filed an application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate tariff. The proposed rate tariff provides for the sales of capacity and energy at market-based rates. KRK also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, KRK requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by KRK.

On June 29, 2005, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development—South, granted the request for blanket approval under part 34. The Director's order also stated that the Commission would publish a separate notice in the Federal Register

establishing a period of time for the filing of protests. Accordingly, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by KRK should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. 18 CFR 385.211, 385.214 (2004).

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protest is July 29, 2005.

Absent a request to be heard in opposition by the deadline above, KRK is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of KRK, compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of KRK's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Copies of the full text of the Director's Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Room, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http:/ /www.ferc.gov, using the eLibrary link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–3607 Filed 7–7–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER05-6-023, EL04-135-025, EL02-111-043, and EL03-212-039]

Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.; Ameren Services Co., et al.; Notice of Filing

July 1, 2005.

Take notice that on June 24, 2005, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) amended its May 17, 2005 filing in the above-captioned dockets. The May 17, 2005 filing revised Schedule 12 of the PJM open access transmission tariff. Specifically, on May 17 PJM filed two tariff sheets designated as "Fourth Revised Sheet No. 270A." By the June 24 amendment, PJM seeks to designate the second "Fourth Revised Sheet No. 270A," as "Original Sheet No. 270A." PJM requests an effective date of June 1, 2005.

PJM states that copies of this filing have been served on all PJM members and the utility regulatory commissions in the PJM region.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at http://www.ferc.gov. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the

 $^{^2\,\}mathrm{See}$ Joseph M. Keating, 40 FERC $\P\,61,\!254$ (1987).

 $^{^3}$ See Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 40 FERC \P 61,035 (1987).