

school” as defined in section 2304(d)(3) of the Act.

(ii) Those who agree to obtain certification to teach another subject or subjects and who agree to teach in a “high-need school” as defined in section 2304(d)(3) of the Act.

(iii) Those who agree to obtain certification to teach science, mathematics, or special education or obtain certification to teach at the elementary school level.

(iv) All other eligible applicants.

(2) After all eligible first-priority participants are selected, second priority is given to eligible service members who are employed as an elementary or secondary school teacher at the time that they enter into a new participation agreement with the Secretary under section 2304(a) of the Act, which requires participants to teach in a high-need local educational agency or public charter school for at least three years, who will be selected in the following order:

(i) Those who agree to obtain certification to teach science, mathematics or special education rather than the subjects they currently teach and who agree to teach in a “high-need school” as defined in section 2304(d)(3) of the Act.

(ii) Those who agree to obtain certification to teach another subject or subjects and who agree to teach in a “high-need school” as defined in section 2304(d)(3) of the Act.

(iii) Those who agree to obtain certification to teach science, mathematics, or special education rather than the subjects they currently teach.

(iv) All others seeking assistance necessary to be deemed “highly qualified” by their State within the meaning of section 9101(23) of the Act.

(b) [Reserved].

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3, 3474, and 6672(c)(1)).

[FR Doc. 05-13077 Filed 6-30-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Office

#### 37 CFR Parts 201 and 251

[Docket No. RM 2005-8]

#### Copyright Rules and Regulations: Statements of Account

**AGENCY:** Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Final rule; Technical amendments.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Office has conducted the annual review of its regulations and found non-substantive errors. This document makes technical amendments to correct those errors.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 1, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Tanya Sandros, Associate General Counsel, Copyright GC/I&R, PO Box 70400, Southwest Station, Washington DC 20024. Telephone: (202) 707-8380. Fax: (202) 707-8366.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Copyright Office has completed its annual review of Copyright Office regulations, and by this document, adopts amendments to correct non-substantive errors in the text of the regulations.

#### List of Subjects

37 CFR Part 201

Copyright.

37 CFR Part 251

Administrative practice and procedure, Hearing and appeal procedures.

#### Final Regulations

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Copyright Office is amending part 201 of 37 CFR as follows:

#### PART 201—GENERAL PROVISIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 201 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 17 U.S.C. 702.

#### § 201.4 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 201.4 (a)(1)(ii) is amended as follows:

- a. By removing “,” after “account”;
- b. By adding “and satellite carriers and for digital audio recording devices and media” after “systems”;
- c. By adding “,119(b) and 1003(c)” after “111(d)”.
- d. By adding “; 201.28” after “201.17”.

#### § 201.11 [Amended]

■ 3. In § 201.11, newly redesignated paragraph (h), published at 70 FR 30366, May 26, 2005, and which becomes effective on July 1, 2005, is amended by removing “paragraph (g)” and adding “paragraph (h)” in its place each place it appears.

#### § 201.17 [Amended]

■ 4. In § 201.17, newly redesignated paragraph (k), published at 70 FR 30367, May 26, 2005, and which becomes effective on July 1, 2005, is amended by removing “paragraph (j)” and adding “paragraph (k)” in its place each place it appears.

#### § 201.28 [Amended]

■ 5. In § 201.28, newly redesignated paragraph (i), published at 70 FR 30367, May 26, 2005, and which becomes effective on July 1, 2005, is amended by removing “paragraph (h)” and adding “paragraph (i)” in its place each place it appears.

#### PART 251—COPYRIGHT ARBITRATION ROYALTY PANEL RULES OF PROCEDURE

#### § 251.22 [Amended]

■ 6. In § 251.22(b), add “appropriate” after “Office at the”.

Dated: June 27, 2005.

David O. Carson,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 05-12955 Filed 6-30-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-33-S

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Office

#### 37 CFR Parts 252, 257, and 259

[Docket No. RM 2005-7 CARP]

#### Filing of Claims for Cable, Satellite and DART Royalties

**AGENCY:** Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Technical amendment.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Office of the Library of Congress is removing its regulations governing the filing of claims to cable, satellite, and DART royalty funds. These claims now are to be filed with the Copyright Royalty Judges pursuant to the Copyright Royalty and Distribution Reform Act of 2004, which became effective on May 31, 2005.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 1, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

David O. Carson, General Counsel, or Gina Giuffreda, Attorney-Advisor, Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (CARP), P.O. Box 70977, Southwest Station, Washington, DC 20024. Telephone: (202) 707-8380. Telefax: (202) 252-3423.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 30, 2004, the President signed into law the Copyright Royalty and Distribution Reform Act of 2004 (“CRDRA”), Pub. L. No. 108-419, 118 Stat. 2341. This Act, which became effective on May 31, 2005, amends the Copyright Act, title 17 of the United States Code, by phasing out the Copyright Royalty Arbitration Panel (“CARP”) system and replacing it with

three permanent Copyright Royalty Judges (“CRJs”). Consequently, the CRJs will carry out functions heretofore performed by the CARPs—namely, conducting proceedings to adjust rates paid under certain statutory licenses and to distribute royalties collected under sections 111, 119, and chapter 10, as well as taking in and processing claims to those royalty fees. 17 U.S.C. 801.

On May 31, 2005, the Copyright Royalty Board (“CRB”), the institutional entity within the Library of Congress that houses the CRJs, published procedural regulations which govern, in pertinent part, the filing of claims. See 70 FR 30901 (May 31, 2005). Therefore, since jurisdiction over the claims to royalty fees collected under sections 111, 119, and chapter 10, now lies with the CRJs, the corresponding CARP regulations are no longer needed and therefore are being removed.

#### Authority

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to 17 U.S.C. 702, the Copyright Office amends chapter II of title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations by removing parts 252, 257, and 259.

Dated: June 27, 2005.

Marybeth Peters,

Register of Copyrights.

[FR Doc. 05–12948 Filed 6–30–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410–33–S

---

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[R09–OAR–2005–CA–0004; FRL–7932–3]

#### Correction to the California State Implementation Plan, South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to delete a provision from the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) that was approved into the SIP in error. This provision is part of a rule concerning emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) from solvent cleaning operations. EPA has determined that the continued presence of this provision in the SIP is potentially confusing and thus harmful to affected sources, local agencies and to EPA. The intended effect of this action is to delete this provision and make the federally enforceable SIP consistent with the SIP

as adopted and submitted by the State of California.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on August 30, 2005 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by August 1, 2005. If we receive such comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** to notify the public that this direct final rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by docket number [DOCKET NUMBER], by one of the following methods:

1. Agency Web site: <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/>. EPA prefers receiving comments through this electronic public docket and comment system. Follow the on-line instructions to submit comments.

2. Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions.

3. E-mail: [steckel.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:steckel.andrew@epa.gov).

4. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

**Instructions:** All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through the agency Web site, eRulemaking portal or e-mail. The agency Web site and eRulemaking portal are “anonymous access” systems, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

**Docket:** The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at <http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/> and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an

appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Francisco Dóñez, EPA Region IX, (415) 972–3956, [Donez.Francisco@epa.gov](mailto:Donez.Francisco@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. Why Is EPA Correcting the SIP?
- II. What Provision Is Being Deleted?
- III. Final Action
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Why Is EPA Correcting the SIP?

On August 13, 1999, EPA approved South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD or District) Rule 1171, Solvent Cleaning Operations, as a revision to the South Coast portion of the California SIP. See 64 FR 44134 (August 13, 1999). On October 8, 1999, SCAQMD adopted revisions to Rule 1171. In adopting the revisions to Rule 1171 on October 8, 1999, SCAQMD intended the revised rule, excluding the July 1, 2005 VOC limits specified in subsection (c)(1) of the rule, to be submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA for approval as a SIP revision, and SCAQMD also committed to submitting a future SIP revision containing the July 1, 2005 VOC limits after the District has reviewed the Technology Assessments pursuant to section (d) of the rule unless SCAQMD by majority vote schedules a hearing to consider amending such limits.

On January 21, 2000, CARB submitted the revised SCAQMD Rule 1171, as adopted by SCAQMD on October 8, 1999, to EPA for approval as a revision to the South Coast portion of the California SIP. This submittal specified that the entire revised Rule 1171 was to be included in the SIP, except for the July 1, 2005 VOC limits specified in subsection (c)(1) of the rule. EPA did not take action on this submittal and considered the January 21, 2000 submittal of Rule 1171 superseded when EPA acted on subsequent submittals of further revised versions of the rule.

When SCAQMD subsequently revised Rule 1171 on August 2, 2002 and November 7, 2003, the District again intended that the 2005 limits not be included into the federally enforceable SIP. However, the corresponding submissions of these revisions from CARB on December 23, 2002 and January 15, 2004, respectively, did not clearly repeat the stipulation that the July 1, 2005 VOC limits were not to be included in the SIP. Therefore, when