36470

requires no additional forms. The information will be recorded by the inspector on the inspection certificate.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), AMS has received OMB approval for the information collection requirements for this marketing order program. These requirements are approved under the Fruit Crops collection package, OMB No. 0581–0189 OMB. The reporting modifications made by this rule are small and will have no impact on the overall total burden hours approved by OMB.

As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies. In addition, USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap or conflict with this rule.

Further, the Committee's meetings were widely publicized throughout the avocado industry and all interested persons were invited to attend and participate in Committee deliberations. Like all Committee meetings, the September 8, 2004, and November 10, 2004, meetings were public meetings and all entities, both large and small, were able to express their views on these issues. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/ fv/moab.html. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

This rule invites comments on changes to the container and reporting requirements currently prescribed under the Florida avocado marketing order. Any comments received will be considered prior to finalization of this rule.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the Committee's recommendations, and other information, it is found that this interim final rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because: (1) The Committee unanimously recommended these changes at public meetings and interested parties had an opportunity to provide input; (2) growers and handlers are aware of these changes; (3) the Florida avocado shipping season started May 16, 2005; and (4) this rule provides a 60-day comment period and any comments received will be considered prior to finalization of this rule.

## List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 915

Avocados, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 915 is amended as follows:

## PART 915—AVOCADOS GROWN IN SOUTH FLORIDA

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 915 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

■ 2. Section 915.150 is amended by adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

#### §915.150 Reports.

(e) At the time of inspection, each handler shall provide to the Federal-State Inspection Service the quantity and size of containers being packed and inspected for the fresh avocado market. In addition, each handler shall provide the number of avocados packed per container (count per container).

■ 3. Section 915.305 is amended by adding paragraph (c) to read as follows:

# § 915.305 Florida Avocado Container Regulation 5.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) No handler shall handle any avocados for the fresh market in 20 bushel plastic field bins to destinations inside the production area.

Dated: June 20, 2005.

#### Kenneth C. Clayton,

Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 05–12616 Filed 6–21–05; 3:37 pm] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2005-21053; Directorate Identifier 2005-NM-053-AD; Amendment 39-14161; AD 2005-13-24]

## RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; AvCraft Dornier Model 328–100 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all AvCraft Dornier Model 328-100 airplanes. This AD requires modifying the electrical wiring of the fuel pumps; installing insulation at the hand flow control and shut-off valves, and other components of the environmental control system; and installing markings at fuel wiring harnesses. This AD also requires revising the Airworthiness Limitations section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness to incorporate new inspections of the fuel tank system. This AD is prompted by the results of fuel system reviews conducted by the airplane manufacturer. We are issuing this AD to reduce the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in fuel tank explosions and consequent loss of the airplane.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective July 29, 2005.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the AD is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 29, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact AvCraft Aerospace GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D–82230 Wessling, Germany.

Docket: The AD docket contains the proposed AD, comments, and any final disposition. You can examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// dms.dot.gov, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The **Docket Management Facility office** (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., room PL-401, Washington, DC. This docket number is FAA-2005-21053; the directorate identifier for this docket is 2005-NM-053-AD.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan

Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2125; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with an AD for all AvCraft Dornier Model 328-100 airplanes. That action, published in the Federal Register on April 26, 2005 (70 FR 21344), proposed to require modifying the electrical wiring of the fuel pumps; installing insulation at the hand flow control and shut-off valves, and other components of the environmental control system; and installing markings at fuel wiring harnesses. That action also proposed to require revising the Airworthiness Limitations section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness to incorporate new inspections of the fuel tank system.

#### Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. No comments have been submitted on the proposed AD or on the determination of the cost to the public.

## Explanation of Changes to Applicability and Reference Service Bulletin

We have revised the applicability of the proposed AD to identify model designations as published in the most recent type certificate data sheet for the affected models. We also have revised the airplane manufacturer's name of the referenced service bulletin, Dornier Service Bulletin SB-328-00-445, dated August 23, 2004, to "AvCraft."

## Conclusion

We have carefully reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD with the changes described previously. We have determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

## Costs of Compliance

This AD will affect about 6 airplanes of U.S. registry. The required actions

will take about 70 work hours per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Required parts will cost about \$14,118 per airplane. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the AD for U.S. operators is \$112,008, or \$18,668 per airplane.

#### Authority for this Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

## **Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

(2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

(3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

## TABLE 1.—REQUIREMENTS

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

## Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## §39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

2005–13–24 AvCraft Aerospace GmbH (Formerly Fairchild Dornier GmbH): Amendment 39–14161. Docket No. FAA–2005–21053; Directorate Identifier 2005–NM–053–AD.

#### **Effective Date**

(a) This AD becomes effective July 29, 2005.

#### Affected ADs

(b) None.

#### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to all AvCraft Dornier Model 328–100 airplanes, certificated in any category.

## **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD was prompted by the results of fuel system reviews conducted by the airplane manufacturer. We are issuing this AD to reduce the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in fuel tank explosions and consequent loss of the airplane.

#### Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### **Modification and Installations**

(f) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, do the actions in Table 1 of this AD in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of AvCraft Service Bulletin SB-328-00-445, dated August 23, 2004.

Do the following actions—	By accomplishing all the actions specified in-
<ul> <li>(1) Modify the electrical wiring of the left-hand and right-hand fuel pumps</li> <li>(2) Install insulation at the left-hand and right-hand flow control and shut-off valves, and other components of the environmental control system.</li> </ul>	Paragraph 2.B(1) of the service bulletin. Paragraph 2.B(2) of the service bulletin.
(3) Install markings at fuel wiring harnesses	Paragraph 2.B(3) of the service bulletin.

36472

#### **Revision to Airworthiness Limitations**

(g) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, revise the Airworthiness Limitations section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness by inserting a copy of Dornier Temporary Revision ALD-080, dated October 15, 2003, into the Dornier 328 Airworthiness Limitations Document. Thereafter, except as provided in paragraph (h) of this AD, no alternative inspection intervals may be approved for this fuel tank system.

## Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h) The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

#### **Related Information**

(i) German airworthiness directive D– 2005–001, dated January 26, 2005, also addresses the subject of this AD.

#### Material Incorporated by Reference

(j) You must use the service information specified in Table 2 of this AD to perform the actions that are required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise. The Director of the Federal Register approves the incorporation by reference of these documents in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. To get copies of the service information, contact AvCraft Aerospace GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D–82230 Wessling, Germany. To view the AD docket, go to the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW, room PL–401, Nassif Building, Washington, DC. To review copies of the service information, go to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at the NARA, call (202) 741– 6030, or go to http://www.archives.gov/ federal\_register/code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ ibr locations.html.

#### TABLE 2.—MATERIAL INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Service information	Date
AvCraft Service Bulletin SB-328-00-445, including Price Information Sheet	August 23, 2004.
Dornier Temporary Revision ALD-080	October 15, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 15, 2005.

#### Kevin Mullin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 05–12304 Filed 6–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002–NM–332–AD; Amendment 39–14158; AD 2005–13–21]

## RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Cessna Model 650 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Cessna Model 650 airplanes, that requires inspecting to determine the part number of the actuator control unit (ACU) and replacing the ACU with a new, improved ACU if necessary. This AD also requires revising the Limitations section of the airplane flight manual. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent uncommanded movement of the horizontal stabilizer. which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition. DATES: Effective July 29, 2005.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 29, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Cessna Aircraft Co., P.O. Box 7706, Wichita, Kansas 67277. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert P. Busto, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Propulsion Branch, ACE– 116W, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone (316) 946–4157; fax (316) 946–4107.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Cessna Model 650 airplanes was published as a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register on April 22, 2005 (70 FR 20844). That action proposed to require inspecting to determine the part number of the actuator control unit (ACU) and replacing the ACU with a new, improved ACU if necessary. That action also proposed to require revising the Limitations section of the airplane flight manual.

## Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. We did not receive any comments on the proposed AD.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

#### **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 357 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 285 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD.

We estimate that it will take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to replace the ACU, and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$3,000 per airplane, if the ACU is exchanged. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$892,050, or \$3,130 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by