

executed in similar ways as dividend spread transactions, the Exchange believes adopting this fee cap would attract additional liquidity and should permit the Exchange to remain competitive.

Similar to the dividend spread fee cap program, the merger spread fee cap would be in effect as a pilot program that would expire on September 1, 2005. The Exchange represents that the proposed fee cap is similar to merger spread fee caps adopted by other exchanges.⁸

As is done under the current dividend spread fee cap program, the Exchange would rebate transaction fees for qualifying merger spread transactions. To qualify transactions for the cap, a rebate request form, along with supporting documentation (e.g., clearing firm transaction data), must be submitted to the Exchange within 30 days of the transactions.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁹ in general, and Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,¹⁰ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among CBOE members and other persons using its facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹¹ and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder¹² because it establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 51596 (April 21, 2005), 70 FR 22381 (April 29, 2005) (SR-PHLX-2005-19) and 51787 (June 6, 2005), 70 FR 34174 (June 13, 2005) (SR-PCX-2005-65).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.¹³

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2005-42 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-9303.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2005-42. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be

¹³ The effective date of the original proposed rule change is May 23, 2005, the date of the original filing, and the effective date of the amendment is May 31, 2005, the date of filing of Amendment No. 1. For purposes of calculating the 60-day period within which the Commission may summarily abrogate the proposed rule change, as amended, under Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act, the Commission considers the period to commence on May 31, 2005, the date on which the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1. See 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2005-42 and should be submitted on or before July 11, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-3158 Filed 6-17-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51824; File No. SR-CBOE-2005-45]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Modify the Designated Primary Market-Maker Participation Entitlement for Orders Specifying a Preferred DPM

June 10, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 6, 2005, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the CBOE. The CBOE filed the proposal pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission.⁵ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

⁵ The Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intention to file the proposed rule change on June 3, 2005. The Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay. 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The CBOE proposes to modify the Designated Primary Market-Maker ("DPM") participation entitlement for orders specifying a Preferred DPM. Proposed new language is in *italics*; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

Rule 8.87 Participation Entitlements of DPMs and e-DPMs

(a) Subject to the review of the Board of Directors, the MTS Committee may establish from time to time a participation entitlement formula that is applicable to all DPMs.

(b) The participation entitlement for DPMs and e-DPMs (as defined in Rule 8.92) shall operate as follows:

(1) Generally.

(i) To be entitled to a participation entitlement, the DPM/e-DPM must be quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange.

(ii) A DPM/e-DPM may not be allocated a total quantity greater than the quantity that the DPM/e-DPM is quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange.

(iii) The participation entitlement is based on the number of contracts remaining after all public customer orders in the book at the best bid/offer on the Exchange have been satisfied.

(2) Participation Rates applicable to DPM Complex. The collective DPM/e-DPM participation entitlement shall be: 50% when there is one Market-Maker also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; 40% when there are two Market-Makers also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; and, 30% when there are three or more Market-Makers also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange.

(3) Allocation of Participation Entitlement Between DPMs and e-DPMs. The participation entitlement shall be as follows: If the DPM and one or more e-DPMs are quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange, the e-DPM participation entitlement shall be one-half (50%) of the total DPM/e-DPM entitlement and shall be divided equally by the number of e-DPMs quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange. The remaining half shall be allocated to the DPM. If the DPM is not quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange and one or more e-DPMs are quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange, then the e-DPMs shall be allocated the entire participation entitlement (divided equally between them). If no e-DPMs are quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange and the DPM is quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange, then the

DPM shall be allocated the entire participation entitlement. If only the DPM and/or e-DPMs are quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange (with no Market-Makers at that price), the participation entitlement shall not be applicable and the allocation procedures under Rule 6.45A shall apply.

(4) Allocation of Participation Entitlement Between DPMs and e-DPMs for Orders Specifying a Preferred DPM. Notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraph (b)(3) above, the Exchange may allow, on a class-by-class basis, for the receipt of marketable orders, through the Exchange's Order Routing System when the Exchange's disseminated quote is the NBBO, that carry a designation from the member transmitting the order that specifies a DPM or e-DPM in that class as the "Preferred DPM" for that order. In such cases and after the provisions of subparagraph (b)(1)(i) and (iii) above have been met, then the *Preferred DPM participation entitlement shall be 50% when there is one Market-Maker also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; 40% when there are two Market-Makers also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; and, 30% when there are three or more Market-Makers also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange*, [participation entitlement applicable to the DPM Complex (as set forth in subparagraph (b)(2) above) shall be allocated to the Preferred DPM] subject to the following:

[(i) if the Preferred DPM is an e-DPM and the DPM is also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange, then $\frac{2}{3}$ of the participation entitlement shall be allocated to the Preferred DPM and the balance of the participation entitlement shall be allocated to the DPM;

(ii) if the Preferred DPM is an e-DPM and the DPM is not quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange but one or more e-DPMs are also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange, then $\frac{2}{3}$ of the participation entitlement shall be allocated to the Preferred DPM and the balance of the participation entitlement shall be divided equally between the remaining e-DPMs also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange;

(iii) if the Preferred DPM is the DPM and one or more e-DPMs are also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange, then $\frac{2}{3}$ of the participation entitlement shall be allocated to the Preferred DPM and the balance of the participation entitlement shall be divided equally between the e-DPMs quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange;]

[(iv)] (i) if the Preferred DPM is not quoting at the best bid/offer on the

Exchange then the participation entitlement set forth in subparagraph (b)(3) above shall apply; and

[(v) if only members of the DPM Complex are quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange then the participation entitlement applicable to the Preferred DPM shall be: 50% when there is one other member of the DPM Complex also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; 40% when there are two other members of the DPM Complex quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; and, 30% when there are three or more members of the DPM Complex also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange. The other members of the DPM Complex shall not receive a participation entitlement and the allocation procedures under Rule 6.45A shall apply; and]

[(vi)] (ii) in no case shall the Preferred DPM [a DPM/e-DPM] be allocated, pursuant to this participation right, a total quantity greater than the quantity that the Preferred DPM [DPM/e-DPM] is quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange.

The Preferred DPM participation entitlement set forth in subparagraph (b)(4) of this Rule shall be in effect until June 2, 2006 on a pilot basis.

* * * Interpretations and Policies

.01 Notwithstanding subparagraph (b)(2) above, the Exchange may establish a lower DPM Complex Participation Rate on a product-by-product basis for newly-listed products or products that are being allocated to a DPM trading crowd for the first time. Notification of such lower participation rate shall be provided to members through a Regulatory Circular.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

CBOE Rule 8.87 governs the participation entitlement of DPMs and e-DPMs (the "DPM Complex"). CBOE Rule 8.87(b)(2) states the actual participation entitlement percentages applicable to the DPM Complex, which are tiered to take into account the number of non-DPM Market-Makers also quoting at the best price. The participation entitlement percentages are as follows: 50% when there is one Market-Maker also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; 40% when there are two Market-Makers also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; and, 30% when there are three or more Market-Makers also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange.

The CBOE recently obtained approval of a filing adopting a Preferred DPM Program.⁶ Under that program, order providers can send an order to the Exchange designating a "Preferred DPM" from among the DPM Complex. If the Preferred DPM is quoting at the National Best Bid or Offer ("NBBO") at the time the order is received on the CBOE, the Preferred DPM is entitled to $\frac{2}{3}$ of the participation entitlement described above. The Philadelphia Stock Exchange ("Phlx") recently obtained approval of a directed order program that allows the directed order recipient to receive a 40% participation entitlement on designated orders received while that entity is quoting at the NBBO.⁷ The purpose of this filing is to remain competitive with the Phlx directed order program.

This proposal increases the participation entitlement applicable to Preferred DPMs from $\frac{2}{3}$ of the "regular" participation entitlement to the entire participation entitlement. Thus, the Preferred DPM participation entitlement shall be 50% when there is one Market-Maker also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; 40% when there are two Market-Makers also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange; and, 30% when there are three or more Market-Makers also quoting at the best bid/offer on the Exchange. The proposal does not in any way modify the percentage of an order that is available to non-DPM quoters while allowing the

Exchange's program to be more competitive with the Phlx directed order program. The CBOE notes that other exchanges have rules that provide specialist entitlements as high as 40% (with three or more market-makers also quoting at the same price),⁸ and that the Preferred DPM Program is operating as a one-year pilot program.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁹ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5),¹⁰ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in the furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change: (1) Does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (2) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (3) by its terms does not become operative for 30 days after the date of this filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹² At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for

the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

The CBOE has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay. The Commission believes it is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest for the CBOE to implement the proposed rule change without delay. For this reason, the Commission designates the proposal to be effective and operative upon filing with the Commission.¹³

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-CBOE-2005-45 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-9303.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-CBOE-2005-45. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the CBOE. All

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51779 (June 2, 2005) (order approving SR-CBOE-2004-71).

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51759 (May 27, 2005), 70 FR 32860 (June 6, 2005) (order approving SR-Phlx-2004-91).

⁸ See Phlx Rule 1014(g)(viii), Pacific Exchange Rule 6.82(d)(2), and American Stock Exchange Rule 935-ANTE(a)(4).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹³ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-CBOE-2005-45 and should be submitted on or before July 11, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-3163 Filed 6-17-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51835; File No. SR-ISE-2004-16]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto Establishing a Directed Order Process

June 13, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 20, 2004, the International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the ISE. On April 26, 2005, the ISE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹⁵ U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

¹⁷ CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Amendment No. 1 replaced and superseded the original filing in its entirety. Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change: (i) added a provision to the proposed rule change related to the processing of Directed Orders when the market maker to which it is directed is the primary market maker in the option and the ISE's bid/offer is inferior to the national best bid/offer; (ii) revised the purpose section of the filing and made certain non-substantive changes to the text of the proposed rule change; and (iii) removed a proposed amendment to ISE Rule 810 related to information barriers to allow market maker to handle directed order because the Exchange has received approval of a separate proposed rule change to ISE Rule 810 in this respect (*see* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50433 (September 23, 2004), 69 FR 58563 (September 30, 2004) (SR-ISE-2004-18)).

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The ISE proposes to adopt new ISE Rule 811 to allow Exchange market makers to receive Public Customer Orders directed to them from Electronic Access Members ("EAMs") through the Exchange's system ("Directed Orders"). Proposed new language is in *italics*.

Rule 811. Directed Orders

(a) Definitions.

(1) A "Directed Order" is a Public Customer Order routed from an Electronic Access Member to an Exchange market maker through the Exchange's System.

(2) A "Directed Market Maker" is a market maker that receives a Directed Order.

(3) The "NBBO" is defined in Rule 1900.

(b) Exchange market makers may only receive and handle orders on an agency basis if they are Directed Orders and only in the manner prescribed in this Rule 811. A market maker can elect whether or not to accept Directed Orders on a daily basis. If a market maker elects to be a Directed Market Maker, it must accept Directed Orders from all Electronic Access Members. A Directed Market Maker cannot reject a Directed Order.

(c) Obligations of Directed Market Makers.

(1) Directed Market Makers must hold the interests of orders entrusted to them above their own interests and fulfill in a professional manner all other duties of an agent, including, but not limited to, ensuring that each such order, regardless of its size or source, receives proper representation and timely, best possible execution in accordance with the terms of the order and the rules and policies of the Exchange.

(2) Directed Market Makers must ensure that their acceptance and execution of Directed Orders as agent are in compliance with applicable Federal and Exchange rules and policies.

(3) Within three (3) seconds of receipt of a Directed Order, Directed Market Makers must either enter the Directed Order into the PIM pursuant to Rule 723 or release the Directed Order to the Exchange's limit order book pursuant to paragraph (e) of this Rule.

(i) If the Directed Market Maker is quoting at the NBBO on the opposite side of the Directed Order, the Directed Market Maker is prohibited from adjusting the price of its quote to a price that is less favorable than the price available at the NBBO or reducing the

size of its quote prior to submitting the Directed Order to the PIM, unless such quote change is the result of an automated quotation system that operates independently from the existence or non-existence of a pending Directed Order. Otherwise changing a quote on the opposite side of the Directed Order except as specifically permitted herein will be a violation of Rule 400 (Just and Equitable Principles of Trade).

(ii) If a Directed Market Maker fails to either enter a Directed Order into the PIM or release the order within three (3) seconds of its receipt, the Directed Order will be automatically released by the System and processed according to paragraph (e) of this Rule.

(d) Directed Market Maker Guarantee. If the Directed Market Maker is quoting at the NBBO on the opposite side of the market from a Directed Order at the time the Directed Order is received by the Directed Market Maker, and the Directed Order is marketable, the System will automatically guarantee execution of the Directed Order against the Directed Market Maker at the price and the size of its quote (the "Guarantee"). The Directed Market Maker cannot alter the Guarantee.

(e) Except as provided in this paragraph (e), when a Directed Order is released, the System processes the order in the same manner as any other order received by the Exchange. Directed Orders will not be automatically executed at a price that is inferior to the NBBO and, except as provided in subparagraph (e)(3), will be handled pursuant to Rule 803(c)(2) when the ISE best bid or offer is inferior to the NBBO.

(1) A marketable Directed Order will be matched against orders and quotes according to Rule 713 except that, at any given price level, the Directed Market Maker will be last in priority.

(i) If, after all other interest at the NBBO is executed in full, there is any remaining unexecuted quantity of the Directed Order and the Directed Market Maker is quoting at the NBBO or a Guarantee exists, a broadcast message will be sent to all Members. After three (3) seconds, any additional interest at the same or better price will be executed according to Rule 713.

(ii) If there continues to be any remaining unexecuted quantity of the Directed Order, it will be executed against any interest at the same price from the Directed Market Maker. If a Guarantee exists at that price, an execution will occur for at least the size of the Guarantee.

(iii) If there continues to be any remaining unexecuted quantity of the Directed Order and the Directed Order