

and meeting facilitators. The primary purpose of these meetings will be to bring together interested parties to discuss the scope of the proposed action, reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, and other significant issues relating to the EIS preparation. We will consider other reasonable alternatives that may be suggested in the scoping process. The other issues include the identification of impact topics, data needs, and national, State, and local concerns that need to be considered. If meetings are held, the format will be structured to promote interaction among the participants to determine what issues and concerns should be addressed by the EIS.

We have identified five potential locations below where we are prepared to conduct public meetings if we receive sufficient interest. Please call, write, or email the person listed under the section **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** if you are interested in participating in a meeting at the location listed. For logistical reasons and for the benefit of the participants, we need to know approximately how many participants we can expect at each of the meetings.

- Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Knoxville, Tennessee.
- Alton, Illinois.
- Denver, Colorado.
- Washington, DC.

If a meeting is held, we will have some means available to make a formal record, which will be made part of the administrative record for the EIS. If you have written suggestions regarding issues, alternatives, and sources of additional information, we encourage you to give us a copy at the meeting. We will consider these written comments and also make them part of the record.

Any disabled individual who needs special accommodation to attend a public meeting is encouraged to contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

If you wish to speak to an OSM representative to discuss the scope of the EIS or if you would like to request an additional meeting at a location and date that is more convenient to you, please contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. We will exercise our discretion as to whether additional meetings will be held and the form of such meetings. We will announce the details of any future meeting in the **Federal Register**, the OSM Web site (<http://www.osmre.gov>) and local newspapers as the meetings take form.

Dated: May 2, 2005.

**Sterling J. Rideout**,

*Assistant Director, Program Support.*

[FR Doc. 05-11926 Filed 6-15-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-P**

## **INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Investigation No. 337-TA-529]**

### **In the Matter of Certain Digital Processors, Digital Processing Systems, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Motion To Amend Complaint and Notice of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in the above-captioned investigation to add claims 5 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,517,628.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on January 6, 2005 (70 FR 1277) based on a complaint filed on behalf of BIAAX Corporation ("BIAAX"), of Boulder, Colorado. The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, sale

for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain digital processors, digital processing systems, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of five U.S. patents, US Patent Nos. 4,487,755; 5,021,954; 5,517,628 ("the '628 patent"); 6,253,313; and 5,765,037. The notice of investigation named Texas Instruments, Inc., of Dallas, Texas; iBiquit Digital Corporation, of Columbia, Maryland; Kenwood Corporation, of Japan; and Kenwood U.S.A. Corporation, of Long Beach, California as respondents.

On May 17, 2005, the ALJ issued the subject ID, Order No. 10, granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of the investigation to add claims 5 and 6 of the 628 patent. No party filed a petition to review the subject ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and section 210.42 of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 10, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott**,

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-11868 Filed 6-15-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-M**

## **INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Investigation No. 731-TA-287 (Review)]**

### **Raw in-Shell Pistachios From Iran**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on raw in-shell pistachios from Iran.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on raw in-shell pistachios from Iran would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207,