

Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Central Records Unit, Room 1870, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lawrence Norton or Shauna Lee-Alaia, Office of Policy, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC, 20230, 202-482-1579 or 202-482-2793, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments--Deadline, Format, and Number of Copies

The Department is extending the deadline for submission of comments by thirty days, making the new deadline July 11, 2005. Rebuttal comments may be submitted up to 30 days after the date by which initial comments are due. Each person submitting comments should include his or her name and address, and give reasons for any recommendation. To facilitate their consideration by the Department, comments should be submitted in the following format: (1) begin each comment on a separate page; (2) concisely state the issue identified and discussed in the comment and include any supporting documentation in exhibits or appendices; (3) provide a brief summary of the comment (a maximum of three sentences) and label this section "summary of comment"; (4) provide an index or table of contents; and (5) include the case number, A-823-812, in the top right hand corner of the submission.

Persons wishing to comment should file a signed original and six copies of each set of comments by the dates specified above. All comments responding to this notice will be a matter of public record and will be available for public inspection and copying at Import Administration's Central Records Unit, Room B-099, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. on business days. The Department requires that comments be submitted in written form. The Department recommends submission of comments in electronic media, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), to accompany the required paper copies. Comments filed in electronic form should be submitted on CD-ROM as comments submitted on diskettes are likely to be damaged by postal radiation treatment.

Comments received in electronic form will be made available to the public on the Internet at the Import Administration Web site at the following address: <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/>.

Any questions concerning file formatting, document conversion, access on the Internet, or other electronic filing issues should be addressed to Andrew Lee Beller, Import Administration Webmaster, at (202) 482-0866, email: webmaster-support@ita.doc.gov.

Dated: June 9, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-3081 Filed 6-14-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory et al., Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications, for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 05-016. Applicant: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai G² F20 S-TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 25016, May 12, 2005. Order Date: January 30, 2005.

Docket Number: 05-019 Applicant: The University of Texas at Austin, Texas Materials Institute, Austin, TX 78712. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai G² F20 X-TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 25016, May 12, 2005. Order Date: November 8, 2004.

Docket Number: 05-022. Applicant: The Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai G² 12 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 25016, May 12, 2005. Order Date: August 2, 2004.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign

instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument OR at the time of receipt of application by U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. E5-3082 Filed 6-14-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Office of Manufacturing and Services, Interagency Working Group on Manufacturing; Notice of Request for Written Comments

The "Manufacturing in America Report" (<http://www.manufacturing.gov>) was released in January 2004, and included 57 recommendations aimed at unleashing the full potential of American manufacturers. Of the 57 recommendations, one of the most significant to the success of U.S. manufacturing is the "Creation of an Interagency Working Group on Manufacturing." On June 22, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce and the Office of Manufacturing and Services will host the first meeting to convene the Interagency Working Group on Manufacturing. The purpose of the meeting is to bring representatives from the Federal Agencies together to discuss the state of manufacturing in the United States. The Interagency Working Group will be responsible for coordination and implementation of the recommendations, as well as developing new initiatives that will carry the Manufacturing Initiative forward. Interagency coordination within the Federal Government is vital to creating a favorable environment and a level playing field for U.S. manufacturers.

Written Comments: Industry input is essential to this process; therefore, we would like to solicit written comments from all interested stakeholders including: representatives of manufacturers, retailers, trade and industry associations, Advisory Committee's, NGO's, (non-governmental organizations) and all organizations.

Written comments or input may be submitted to Sarah.Aker@mail.doc.gov

no later than 12 p.m. on Monday, June 20, 2005. Please include your name, phone number, and organization affiliation.

For Further Information Contact: Sarah E. Aker, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Manufacturing and Services, Department of Commerce, Room 3832, 1401 Constitution Ave., Washington, DC 20230 (phone: 202-482-1112).

Dated: June 10, 2005.

Sarah E. Aker,

Deputy Chief of Staff.

[FR Doc. 05-11841 Filed 6-14-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement, Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Notice of Panel Decision

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of panel decision.

SUMMARY: On June 9, 2005, the binational panel issued its decision in the review of the final results of the affirmative antidumping duty re-determination on remand made by the International Trade Administration (ITA) respecting Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-02) affirmed in part and remanded in part the determination of the Department of Commerce. The Department will return the re-determination on remand not later than July 11, 2005. A copy of the complete panel decision is available from the NAFTA Secretariat.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from the other country with review by independent binational panels. When a request for panel review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or

countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

Panel Decision: On June 9, 2005, the Binational Panel affirmed in part and remanded in part the Department of Commerce's final antidumping duty determination on remand. The following issues were remanded to the Department:

1. To render a negative less than fair value (LTFV) determination with respect to exports by respondent West Fraser Mills, and to revoke the antidumping duty order on Softwood Lumber from Canada with respect to exports by West Fraser Mills; and it is further ordered that

2. The Panel remands this case to the Department, with instructions for the Department to recalculate the final LTFV margins for respondents other than West Fraser without regard to "zeroing".

The Panel affirmed Commerce's amended final LTFV determination with respect to all other issues.

Commerce was directed to issue its determination on remand within 30 days of the issuance of the panel decision or not later than July 11, 2005.

Dated: June 9, 2005.

Caratina L. Alston,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. E5-3070 Filed 6-14-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Knowledge, Attitudes, and Perceptions of Management Strategies/Regulations in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), DOC.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to

take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before August 15, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Dr. Vernon R. (Bob) Leeworthy, 301-713-3000 ext. 138 or Bob.Leeworthy@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The purpose of this effort is to do an approximate 10-year replication of a 1995-96 study that established baseline information on the knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of management strategies and regulations of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS). The baseline was conducted for three user groups: (1) Commercial fishermen, (2) Dive Shop Owners/ Operators, and (3) members of three local environmental groups (Last Stand, Reef Relief, and Sanctuary Friends). In 1998, the Socioeconomic Research and Monitoring Program for the FKNMS was established and the 1995-96 study results were incorporated as baseline measures.

The National Marine Sanctuaries Act (16 U.S.C. 1431, *et seq.*) authorizes the use of monitoring within National Marine Sanctuaries (NMS). The Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary and Protection Act (Public Law 101-605, Sec 7 (5)) also authorizes monitoring. The Management Plan and regulations for the FKNMS were not implemented until July 1997, which established 22 Sanctuary Preservation Areas (SPAs) and one Ecological Reserve (ER) that are "no take" zones. Another ER, the Tortugas, was established as part of a two-year public process and its regulations went into effect in July 2002. All consumptive or take activities were displaced from these zones. Eighteen (18) of the SPAs were also created to resolve user conflicts, while four were set aside for "Research Only." In creating these special zones, socioeconomic impact analyses were done as required under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). In addition, a Regulatory Impact Review and an Initial and Final Regulatory