Seventh Street SW., room PL–401, Nassif Building, Washington, DC.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 27, 2005.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 05–11712 Filed 6–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2004–19082; Directorate Identifier 2004–NM–79–AD; Amendment 39– 14126; AD 2005–12–10]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747–200F and –400 Series Airplanes; Model 767–400ER Series Airplanes; and Model 777 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 747-200F and -400 series airplanes: Model 767-400ER series airplanes; and Model 777 series airplanes. This AD requires replacing the frequency converter(s) used to supply electrical power for utility outlets (for the galley, medical equipment, or personal computers) with modified frequency converter(s). This AD also requires any specified action and related concurrent actions, as necessary. This AD is prompted by a report that a hard short condition between the frequency converter's output and its downstream circuit breakers will produce a continuous current that could cause the undersized output wiring to overheat. We are issuing this AD to prevent the overheating of the frequency converter's undersized output wiring, which could lead to the failure of a wire bundle, and consequent adverse effects on other systems sharing the affected wire bundle.

DATES: This AD becomes effective July 20, 2005.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the AD is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 20, 2005.

ADDRESSES: For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing

Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.

Docket: The AD docket contains the proposed AD, comments, and any final disposition. You can examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// dms.dot.gov, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL-401, Washington, DC. This docket number is FAA-2004-19082; the directorate identifier for this docket is 2004-NM-79-AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Binh Tran, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM–130S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 917–6485; fax (425) 917–6590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with an AD for certain Boeing Model 747-200F and -400 series airplanes; Model 767–400ER series airplanes; and Model 777 series airplanes. That action, published in the Federal Register on September 13, 2004 (69 FR 55120), proposed to require replacing the frequency converter(s) used to supply power for utility outlets (for the galley, medical equipment, or personal computers) with modified frequency converter(s); and any other specified action and related concurrent actions, as necessary.

Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comments that have been submitted on the proposed AD.

Request To Revise Applicability To List Frequency Converters

One commenter asks "* * why not write the AD against the part instead of the aircraft?" and suggests that listing the frequency converter by manufacturer and part number may allow detection of similar problems on other aircraft and possible parts manufacturer approved (PMA) alternative units.

We disagree with revising the applicability. PMA parts frequently have a part numbering scheme different from that of the original manufacturer. For this reason, writing the AD against the part number may not accurately identify the PMA parts. Should we become aware of PMA parts that have similar characteristics as those addressed in this AD, we would consider further rulemaking.

The FAA's practice regarding unsafe conditions that result from the installation of a particular part in specific makes and models of airplanes is to issue an AD that applies to the affected airplane models. In doing so, U.S. operators of those airplanes will be notified directly of the unsafe condition and the action required to correct it. While we assume that operators can identify the airplane models they operate, they may not be aware of specific items installed on those airplanes. Therefore, specifying the airplane models in the applicability as the subject of the AD prevents an operator's "unknowing failure to comply" with the AD. We have not changed the final rule regarding this issue.

Request To Add Airplane Models to the Applicability of the AD

One commenter requests that certain Boeing Model 767–300 series airplanes be added to the applicability of this AD. Boeing has published Boeing Service Bulletin 767–25–0334, Revision 1, dated June 19, 2003, which addresses the same unsafe condition on some Model 767–300 series airplanes that were also delivered with affected frequency converters.

We agree that the Model 767-300 series airplanes are affected by the unsafe condition. We inadvertently omitted the service bulletin in the proposed AD. However, we disagree with revising the applicability of this AD, because we are considering a separate rulemaking action for the Model 767-300 series airplanes. A notice of proposed rulemaking for the Model 767–300 series airplanes was published in the Federal Register on March 17, 2005 (70 FR 12986). If we revise the applicability of this AD to add Model 767-300 series airplanes, we would need to reissue this AD as a revised notice. In light of the time that would be needed to reissue the proposed AD, and in consideration of the amount of time that has already elapsed since we issued the original notice, we have determined that further delay of this AD is not appropriate.

Request for Change of Terminology

One commenter requests that the phrase "continuous circuit" in the Summary section of the proposed AD be changed to "continuous current." The commenter provides no reason/ justification. We agree that the word should be changed, because the word "circuit" is incorrectly used in the phrase. We have revised the final rule to use the word "current."

Request To Revise the Description of the Unsafe Condition in the Discussion Section

One commenter requests that we change "55 amps" to "180% rated current" in the Discussion section of the proposed AD. The commenter states that the value of 55 amps is accurate only for installations that use a specific output (a 3.5 KVA, 115VAC rated output). For the series of converters used on Boeing airplanes, a hard short circuit fault on the output of the converter will produce a fault current that is approximately 180% of the nominal rated output current. Since Boeing installations use multiple converter part numbers with different rated outputs, the short circuit fault current will vary depending on the converter used.

We partially agree with the commenter's request. The hard short circuit fault condition will produce a continuous output current of approximately 170% to 200% of nominal current. However, since that section of the preamble does not reappear in the final rule, no change to the final rule is necessary regarding this issue.

Correction in Estimated Costs for Cost of Compliance

We provided a cost estimate in the proposed AD that used the cost of replacing converters under warranty, not the cost of replacing parts without a warranty. The cost impact information provided in the proposed AD is correct for parts that are still under warranty. However, we strive to provide a cost estimate that uses cost information for parts not under warranty. The cost of a replacement converter without a warranty is \$1,800. We have revised the cost impact information in this final rule to include the revised part cost.

Conclusion

We have carefully reviewed the available data, including the comments that have been submitted, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD with the changes described previously. We have determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

Costs of Compliance

This AD will affect about 147 airplanes worldwide. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this AD.

ESTIMATED COSTS

Boeing model	Work hours hours	Average labor rate per hour	Parts	Cost per airplane	Number of U.Sreg- istered air- planes	Fleet cost
747–200F and –400 series air- planes.	5 per converter (1 converter on each airplane).	\$65	\$1,800	\$2,125	0	\$0
	5 per converter (2 converters on each airplane).	65	3,600	4,250	0	0
767–400ER series airplanes	2 per airplane	65	3,600	3,730	21	78,330
777 series airplanes	4 per airplane	65	7,200	7,460	8	59,680
Additional concurrent action for 777 series airplanes.	1 per airplane	65	1,800	1,865	6	11,190

Currently, there are no affected Model 747–200F or -400 series airplanes on the U.S. Register. However, an affected airplane that is imported and placed on the U.S. Register in the future would be subject to the costs specified above for those airplanes.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

(2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

(3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

2005–12–10 Boeing: Amendment 39–14126. Docket No. FAA–2004–19082; Directorate Identifier 2004–NM–79–AD.

Effective Date

Affected ADs (b) None.

(a) This AD becomes effective July 20, 2005.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to the airplanes listed in Table 1 of this AD, certificated in any category:

TABLE 1.—APPLICABILITY					
Boeing model—	As listed in Boeing service bulletin—				
747–200F and –400 series airplanes 767–400ER series airplanes 777 series airplanes	747–25–3313, Revision 1, dated May 15, 2003. 767–25–0335, dated November 7, 2002. 777–25–0210, dated October 17, 2002.				

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD was prompted by a report that a hard short condition between the frequency converter's output and its downstream circuit breakers will produce a continuous current, that could cause the undersized output wiring to overheat. We are issuing this AD to prevent the overheating of the frequency converter's output wiring, which could lead to the failure of a wire bundle, and consequent adverse effects on other systems sharing the affected wire bundle.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Replacement

(f) Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the frequency converter(s) used to supply electrical power to utility outlets (for the galley, medical equipment, or personal computers) with modified frequency converter(s); and do other applicable specified actions; by doing all of the actions in the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin listed in Table 2 of this AD.

TABLE 2.—APPLICABILITY SERVICE BULLETINS

For model—	Use Boeing service bulletin-
747–200F and –400 series airplanes 767–400ER series airplanes	747–25–3313, Revision 1, dated May 15, 2003. 767–25–0335, dated November 7, 2002. 777–25–0210, dated October 17, 2002.

Note 1: Boeing Service Bulletin 747–25– 3313, Revision 1, dated May 15, 2003, refers to JAMCO Service Bulletin CAW74–25–1697, dated June 7, 2002, as an additional source of information for procedures to remove and install certain galley frequency converters.

Concurrent Service Bulletin

(g) For airplanes listed as Group 3 in the Effectivity of Boeing Service Bulletin 777– 25–0210, dated October 17, 2002: Prior to or concurrently with the actions in Boeing Service Bulletin 777–25–0210, dated October 17, 2002, deactivate the galley frequency converter in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Monogram Systems Service Bulletin 872869–25–2098, dated May 1, 2002.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(i) You must use the service information that is specified in Table 3 of this AD to perform the actions that are required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise. The Director of the Federal Register approves the incorporation by reference of those documents in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. To get copies of the service information, go to Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. To view the AD docket, go to the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL–401, Nassif Building, Washington, DC. To review copies of the service information, go to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741– 6030, or go to http://www.archives.gov/ federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ ibr_locations.html.

TABLE 3.—MATERIAL INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Service bulletin	Revision level	Date
Boeing Service Bulletin 747–25–3313 Boeing Service Bulletin 767–25–0335 Boeing Service Bulletin 777–25–0210 Monogram Systems Service Bulletin 872869–25–2098	1 Original Original Original	October 17, 2002.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 27, 2005.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 05–11711 Filed 6–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2005-20246; Airspace Docket No. 04-ASO-15]

RIN 2120-AA66

Establishment of Area Navigation Instrument Flight Rules Terminal Transition Routes (RITTR); Charlotte, NC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action establishes four Area Navigation (RNAV) Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) Terminal Transition Routes (RITTR) in the Charlotte, NC, terminal area. RITTR's are low altitude Air Traffic Service (ATS) routes, based on RNAV, for use by aircraft having IFRapproved Global Positioning System (GPS)/Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) equipment. The purpose of RITTR is to expedite the handling of IFR overflight traffic through busy terminal airspace areas. The FAA is taking this action to enhance safety and the efficient use of the navigable airspace in the Charlotte, NC, terminal area.

DATES: Effective 0901 UTC, September 1, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Gallant, Airspace and Rules, Office of System Operations and Safety, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On March 3, 2005, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking to establish four RITTR's in the Charlotte, NC, terminal area (70 FR 10346). Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on this proposal to the FAA. Two comments were received in response to the NPRM. With the exception of editorial changes, this amendment is the same as that proposed in the notice.

Discussion of Comments

One commenter wrote in support of the proposal and suggested that, as more routes are developed at additional terminal areas, there will be a need for pilot training on this subject. The FAA is preparing information for publication in the Aeronautical Information Manual to explain RITTRs and their use by pilots.

A second commenter also wrote in support of the proposal but added that the FAA should publish guidance to allow aircraft operating under visual flight rules (VFR) to use these routes when transitioning through terminal airspace. The FAA does not agree and does not plan to formulate such guidance at this time. RITTRs were developed specifically to provide routing for GNSS-equipped aircraft, that are operating on an IFR flight plan, to transition through busy terminal areas. The fixes/waypoints used to define the routes do not have associated visual landmarks for reference by VFR pilots when navigating through the area. There are a number of programs already in place to assist VFR pilots in either avoiding or transitioning through Class B airspace or other airspace areas, where needed. These programs include: the Charted VFR Flyway Planning Chart Program, the Terminal Area VFR Route Program, and the VFR Waypoint Chart Program. These flyways, routes and waypoints, when designated, are depicted on the appropriate VFR Terminal Area Charts. VFR aircraft desiring to transit Class B airspace must obtain air traffic control (ATC) clearance to operate in Class B airspace. ATC may approve or deny requests from VFR aircraft to operate in or through Class B airspace based on controller workload, operational limitations and traffic conditions. In this respect, pilots of a suitably equipped VFR aircraft could request transit through the area along a RITTR track, but the request would be subject to ATC approval as described above.

The Rule

This action amends Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 by establishing four RITTR's, designated T– 200, T–201, T–202, and T–203, in the Charlotte, NC, terminal area. These routes will be depicted in blue on the appropriate IFR en route low altitude charts. RITTRs are low altitude RNAV routes designed to facilitate the expeditious movement of IFR overflight traffic around or through certain congested terminal airspace areas. The routes may be used by GNSS-equipped aircraft that are capable of filing flight plan equipment suffix "/G." The FAA is taking this action to enhance safety and facilitate the more flexible and efficient use of the navigable airspace for en route IFR aircraft transitioning through the Charlotte Class B airspace area.

Low altitude Area Navigation Routes are published in paragraph 6011 of FAA Order 7400.9M dated August 30, 2004 and effective September 16, 2004, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The routes listed in this document will be published subsequently in the order.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by Reference, Navigation (air).

The Adoption of the Amendment

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9M, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 30, 2004, and effective September 16, 2004, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6011 Area Navigation Routes.