

sources, such as the Committee's Executive, Promotion, Research, and Export subcommittees. These subcommittees discussed alternative expenditure levels, based upon the relative value of various research and promotion projects to the onion industry. The assessment rate of \$0.10 per hundredweight of assessable onions was then determined by taking into consideration the estimated level of assessable shipments, the current market situation, program expenditure needs, and the desire to sustain a monetary reserve at a viable level.

A review of historical information and preliminary information pertaining to the upcoming year indicates that the producer price for the 2005–2006 season could range between \$5.50 and \$8.00 per hundredweight of onions. Therefore, the estimated assessment revenue for the 2005–2006 year as a percentage of total producer revenue could range between 1.82 and 1.25 percent.

This action decreases the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. Assessments are applied uniformly on all handlers, and some of the costs may be passed on to producers. However, decreasing the assessment rate reduces the burden on handlers, and may reduce the burden on producers. In addition, the Committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the Idaho-Eastern Oregon onion industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the April 14, 2005, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This action imposes no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large Idaho-Eastern Oregon onion handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/oaob.html>. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because: (1) The 2005–2006 fiscal period begins on July 1, 2005, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable onions handled during such fiscal period; (2) the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; (3) this action decreases the assessment rate for assessable onions beginning with the 2005–2006 fiscal period; (4) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years; and (5) this interim final rule provides a 60-day comment period, and all comments timely received will be considered prior to finalization of this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 958

Onions, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 958 is amended as follows:

#### **PART 958—ONIONS GROWN IN CERTAIN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN IDAHO, AND MALHEUR COUNTY, OREGON**

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 958 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

■ 2. Section 958.240 is revised to read as follows:

#### **§ 958.240 Assessment rate.**

On and after July 1, 2005, an assessment rate of \$0.10 per hundredweight is established for Idaho-Eastern Oregon onions.

Dated: May 27, 2005.

**Kenneth C. Clayton,**

*Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 05–11023 Filed 6–2–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### **14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 2003–NM–16–AD; Amendment 39–13970; AD 2005–03–14]

**RIN 2120–AA64**

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B2 and B4 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a typographical error that appeared in airworthiness directive (AD) 2005–03–14, which was published in the **Federal Register** on February 14, 2005 (70 FR 7384). The typographical error resulted in an incorrect reference to an AD number. This AD is applicable to certain Airbus Model A300 B2 and B4 series airplanes. This AD supersedes an existing AD that currently requires determining the part and amendment number of the variable lever arm (VLA) of the rudder control system to verify that the parts were installed using the correct standard, and corrective actions if necessary. For certain VLAs, this new AD requires repetitive inspections of the VLA and corrective action if necessary. This new AD also provides a terminating action for the repetitive inspections. Furthermore, this new AD reduces the applicability of affected airplanes.

**DATES:** Effective March 21, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tim Backman, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2797; fax (425) 227–1149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2005–03–14, amendment 39–13970, applicable to certain Airbus Model A300 B2 and B4 series airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** on February 14, 2005 (70 FR 7384). That AD supersedes an existing AD that currently requires determining the part and amendment number of the variable lever arm (VLA)

of the rudder control system to verify the parts were installed using the correct standard, and corrective actions if necessary. For certain VLAs, this new AD requires repetitive inspections of the VLA and corrective action if necessary. This new AD also provides a terminating action for the repetitive inspections. Furthermore, this new AD reduces the applicability of affected airplanes.

As published, that final rule incorrectly specified the AD number for the superseded AD in a single location in the AD as "2002-08-13" instead of "2001-22-02."

Since no other part of the regulatory information has been changed, the final rule is not being republished in the **Federal Register**.

The effective date of this AD remains March 21, 2005.

### § 39.13 [Corrected]

■ On page 7385, in the third column, paragraph 2., of PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES is corrected to read as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

**2005-03-14 Airbus:** Docket 2003-NM-16-AD. Amendment 39-13970. Supersedes AD 2001-22-02, Amendment 39-12481.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 26, 2005.

**Ali Bahrami,**

*Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 05-11048 Filed 6-2-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2005-21329; Airspace Docket No. 05-AEA-13]

RIN 2120-AA66

#### Revocation of VOR Federal Airway V-623

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action revokes VOR Federal Airway V-623 that extends from the Sparta, NJ, Very High Frequency Omnidirectional Range/Tactical Air Navigation (VORTAC) to the Carmel, NY, Very High Frequency Omnidirectional Range/Distance Measuring Equipment (VOR/DME). The FAA is taking this action due to unsatisfactory navigation signal coverage.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 3, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Gallant, Airspace and Rules, Office of System Operations and Safety, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### History

On February 7, 2005, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a final rule establishing V-623 (70 FR 6336) with an effective date of May 12, 2005. However, navigation aid signal coverage problems have been identified which remain unresolved. As a result, the FAA has decided to revoke V-623.

##### The Rule

The FAA is amending title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 by revoking VOR Federal airway V-623. The FAA is taking this action due to unresolved navigation aid signal coverage problems along segments of the route.

VOR Federal Airways are published in paragraph 6010 of FAA Order 7400.9M dated August 30, 2004 and effective September 16, 2004, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

##### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by Reference, Navigation (air).

##### The Adoption of the Amendment

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

## PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389.

### § 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9M, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 30, 2004, and effective September 16, 2004, is amended as follows:

#### Paragraph 6010(a)—Domestic VOR Federal Airways

\* \* \* \* \*

#### V-623 [Revoked]

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 26, 2005.

**Edith V. Parish,**

*Acting Manager, Airspace and Rules.*

[FR Doc. 05-11113 Filed 6-2-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### 16 CFR Part 305

#### Rule Concerning Disclosures Regarding Energy Consumption and Water Use of Certain Home Appliances and Other Products Required Under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act ("Appliance Labeling Rule")

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") announces that the current ranges of comparability required by the Appliance Labeling Rule ("Rule") for water heaters, room air conditioners, furnaces, boilers, and pool heaters will remain in effect until further notice. In addition, the Commission is revising Table 1 in § 305.9 of the Rule to incorporate the latest figures for average unit energy costs published by the Department of Energy ("DOE") this year and to update cost figures in Appendices H and I of the Rule. The Commission is also making technical amendments to § 305.9 and Appendix E of the Rule to clarify the applicability of the cost figures in Table 1 to products covered by the Rule.