

drainage service, fulfill the requirements of the February 2000 Court Order, and be completed under the authority of Public Law 86-488. The Draft EIS evaluates seven action alternatives in addition to a No Action alternative: In-Valley Disposal, In-Valley Groundwater Quality Land Retirement, In-Valley Water Needs Land Retirement, In-Valley Drainage Impaired Area Land Retirement, Ocean Disposal, Delta-Chippis Island Disposal, and Delta-Carquinez Strait Disposal. All of the alternatives would include common elements: On-farm and in-district actions, drainwater collection systems, regional reuse facilities, the Firebaugh sumps, and land retirement of at least 44,106 acres. In addition to the common elements, the action alternatives (except Ocean Disposal) involve varying levels of drainwater treatment (by reverse osmosis and/or biological selenium treatment) and/or additional land retirement. Reclamation has not identified an agency preferred action alternative and the environmentally preferred alternative in the Draft EIS. Reclamation has identified the resources to be evaluated in the Draft EIS to include surface water resources, groundwater resources, biological resources, selenium bioaccumulation, geology and seismicity, energy resources, air resources, agricultural production and economics, land use and soil resources, recreational resources, cultural resources, aesthetics, regional economics, and social issues and environmental justice. Reclamation has determined that the action alternatives were unlikely to affect traffic and transportation, noise, utilities and public services, and Indian Trust Assets.

Copies of the Draft EIS are available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Office Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, 6th and Kipling, Denver, CO 80225; telephone: 303-445-2072
- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Office of Public Affairs, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825-1898; telephone: 916-978-5100
- Natural Resources Library, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., Main Interior Building, Washington, DC 20240-0001
- Alameda County Public Library, 2450 Stevenson Blvd., Fremont, CA
- Contra Costa County Public Library, 1750 Oak Park Blvd., Pleasant Hill, CA
- Fresno County Public Library, 2420 Mariposa Street, Fresno, CA
- Kern County Public Library, 701 Truxton Avenue, Bakersfield, CA

- Kings County Public Library, 401 N. Douty Street, Hanford, CA
- Merced County Public Library, 1312 South 7th Street, Los Banos, CA
- San Joaquin Public Library, 605 N. El Dorado Street, Stockton, CA
- San Luis Obispo County Public Library, P.O. Box 8107, San Luis Obispo, CA
- Stanislaus County Public Library, 1500 I Street, Modesto, CA
- UCB Water Resources Center Archives, 410 O'Brien Hall, Berkeley, CA
- <http://www.usbr.gov/mp/>

Oral and written comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, will be available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which will be honored to the extent allowable by law. There may be circumstances in which a respondent's identity may also be withheld from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish to have your name and/or address withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety.

#### Hearing Process Information

The purpose of the public hearing is to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on environmental issues addressed in the Draft EIS. Written comments will also be accepted.

Persons needing special assistance to attend and participate in the public hearing should contact Mr. Jerry Robbins, at 916-978-5061, as soon as possible. In order to allow sufficient time to process requests, please call no later than one week before the public hearing. Information regarding this proposed action is available in alternative formats upon request.

Dated: March 5, 2005.

**Kirk C. Rodgers,**

*Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 05-10908 Filed 6-1-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-M**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation No. 731-TA-101 (Second Review)]**

### Greige Polyester/Cotton Printcloth From China

#### Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester/cotton printcloth from China would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>2</sup>

#### Background

The Commission instituted this review on March 1, 2004 (69 FR 9640) and determined on June 4, 2004 that it would conduct a full review (69 FR 33661, June 16, 2004). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's second review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on September 1, 2004 (69 FR 53465). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on April 5, 2005, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on May 25, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3776 (May 2005), entitled *Greige Polyester/Cotton Printcloth from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-101 (Second Review)*.

Issued: May 26, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-10963 Filed 6-1-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Miller did not participate in this review.