call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Linda Mitry,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. E5–2670 Filed 5–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project Nos. 11810-004, 5044-008, and 2935-015]

City of Augusta, Avondale Mills Inc., Enterprise Mill LLC; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

May 20, 2005.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) regulations contained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) (18 CFR part 380 [FERC Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897]), the Office of Energy Projects staff (staff) reviewed the applications for an Original Major License for the Augusta Canal Project, a New Major License for the Sibley Mill Project, and a Subsequent Minor License for the Enterprise Mill Project. Staff prepared a single environmental assessment (EA) for all three projects, which are located on the Canal, adjacent to the Savannah River, Richmond County, Augusta, GA.

The EA contains staff's analysis of the potential environmental effects of the projects and concludes that licensing the projects, with staff's recommended measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

A copy of the EA is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at *http://www.ferc.gov*, using the "e-Library" link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov* or tollfree at (866) 208–3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

Any comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please affix Augusta Canal Project, P–11810; Sibley Mill Project, P–5044; Enterprise Mill Project, P–2935 to all comments. For further information, please contact Monte TerHaar at (202) 502–6035 or at *monte.terhaar@ferc.gov.*

Comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site at *http://www.ferc.gov* under the e-Filing link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Linda Mitry,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. E5–2665 Filed 5–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP04–223–000, CP04–293– 000, and CP04–358–000]

KeySpan LNG, L.P., Algonquin Gas Transmission, L.L.C.; Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Keyspan LNG Facility Upgrade Project

May 20, 2005.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared a final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the liquefied natural gas (LNG) import terminal and natural gas pipeline facilities proposed by KeySpan LNG, L.P. (KeySpan LNG) and Algonquin Gas Transmission, L.L.C. (Algonquin), respectively, in the above-referenced dockets (collectively referred to as the KeySpan LNG Facility Upgrade Project).

The final EIS was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The staff concludes that If KeySpan LNG is able to modify its facility so that current federal safety standards are met, and if the project is constructed and operated in accordance with KeySpan LNG's and Algonquin's proposed mitigation and our recommended mitigation measures, we believe that the proposed project would have limited adverse environmental impact. The final EIS also evaluates alternatives to the proposal, including system alternatives, alternative sites for the LNG import terminal, and pipeline alternatives. The final EIS addresses the potential

The final EIS addresses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the following LNG and natural gas pipeline facilities in Providence, Rhode Island:

• A ship unloading facility with a single berth capable of receiving LNG ships with cargo capacities of 71,500 to 145,000 cubic meters;

• Two 16-inch-diameter liquid unloading arms and a 24-inch-diameter

liquid unloading line from the arms to the LNG storage tank;

• Two vapor return blowers, a 12inch-diameter vapor arm, and an 8-inchdiameter vapor return line;

• Four boil-off-gas compressors and a boil-off gas condenser;

• A two-stage LNG pumping system;

• An indirect fired vaporizer system with a capacity of 375 million cubic feet per day (MMcfd);

Operations control buildings;
Ancillary utilities and LNG facilities;

• A 1.44-mile-long 24-inch-diameter natural gas pipeline;

• A receipt point meter station and 30-inch-diameter pig launcher; and

• A 24-inch-diameter tap valve and 30-inch-diameter pig receiver at the point where the new pipeline would tie into Algonquin's existing G-12 Lateral pipeline system.

The purpose of KeySpan LNG's proposed upgrade is to convert the existing KeySpan LNG storage facility to an LNG terminal capable of receiving marine deliveries, increase the facility's existing vaporization capacity from 150 MMcfd to 525 MMcfd, augment the supply of LNG to fill the region's LNG storage facilities to meet peak day needs, and provide 375 MMcfd of new, firm, reliable baseload supply of natural gas to Rhode Island and the New England region.

The final EIS has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for distribution and public inspection at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference Room, 888 First Street NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–8371.

A limited number of copies are available from the Public Reference Room identified above. In addition, copies of the final EIS have been mailed to federal, state, and local agencies; public interest groups; individuals and affected landowners who requested a copy of the final EIS; libraries; newspapers; and parties to this proceeding.

In accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations implementing the NEPA, no agency decision on a proposed action may be made until 30 days after the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency publishes a notice of availability of a final EIS. However, the CEQ regulations provide an exception to this rule when an agency decision is subject to a formal internal appeal process which allows other agencies or the public to make their views known. In such cases, the agency decision may be made at the same time the notice of the final EIS is published, allowing both periods to run