# Northampton County

Somers House, SE. of jct of Rtes. 183 and 691, Jamesville vicinity, 70000818

[FR Doc. 05–10489 Filed 5–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

# California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee (Committee) will meet on June 8, 2005. The second half of the meeting will be held jointly with the California Bay-Delta Authority. The agenda for the Committee meeting will include an orientation for the new Committee members and reports from several of its Subcommittees. The agenda for the joint meeting will include reports from the Director and the Lead Scientist and discussions on short- and long-term funding for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program with State and Federal agency representatives.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 8, 2005, from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. If reasonable accommodation is needed due to a disability, please contact Pauline Nevins at (916) 445– 5511 or TDD (800) 735–2929 at least 1 week prior to the meeting.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn, 300 J Street, Sacramento, California.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Margaret Gidding, California Bay-Delta Authority, at 916–445–5511, or Diane Buzzard, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, at 916–978–5022.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee was established to provide recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior on implementation of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. The Committee makes recommendations on annual priorities, integration of the eleven Program elements, and overall balancing of the four Program objectives of ecosystem restoration, water quality, levee system integrity, and water supply reliability. The Program is a consortium of State and Federal agencies with the mission to develop and implement a long-term comprehensive plan that will restore ecological health and improve water management for beneficial uses of

the San Francisco/Sacramento and San Joaquin Bay Delta.

Committee and meeting materials will be available on the California Bay-Delta Authority Web site at *http:// calwater.ca.gov* and at the meeting. This meeting is open to the public. Oral comments will be accepted from members of the public at the meeting and will be limited to 3–5 minutes.

(Authority: The Committee was established pursuant to the Department of the Interior's authority to implement the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C. 661 *et. seq.*, the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et. seq.*, and the Reclamation Act of 1902, 43 U.S.C. 371, and the acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto, all collectively referred to as the Federal Reclamation laws, and in particular, the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Pub. L. 102–575.)

Dated: May 12, 2005.

#### Allan Oto,

Special Projects Officer, Mid-Pacific Region, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 05–10535 Filed 5–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-M

#### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731–TA–1092–1093 (Preliminary)]

### Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From China and Korea

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Revised schedule for the subject investigations.

DATES: Effective May 20, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Haines (202-205-3200), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 3, 2005, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of the preliminary phase of the subject investigations (70 FR 24612, May 10,

2005). Subsequently, the Department of Commerce extended the date for its initiation of the investigations from May 23, 2005, to no later than June 13, 2005. The Commission, therefore, is postponing its conference in the investigations from May 24, 2005, to June 15, 2005, to conform with Commerce's new schedule. Any person may submit to the Commission on or before June 20, 2005, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigations.

For further information concerning these investigations see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

**Authority:** These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: May 20, 2005.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 05–10574 Filed 5–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-530]

## Certain Electric Robots and Component Parts Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rodney Maze, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http:// edis.usitc.gov*. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission based on a complaint filed by FANUC Robotics America, Inc. ("FANUC") of Rochester Hills, Michigan. 70 FR 2881 (January 18, 2005). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electric robots and component parts thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,477,913. The complaint and notice of investigation named Behr Systems, Inc. of Auburn Hills, Michigan, Dürr AG of Stuttgart, Germany, Motoman, Inc. of West Carrollton, Ohio, and Yaskawa Electric Corporation of Kitakyushu, Fukuoka, Japan as respondents.

On April 29, 2005, FANUC moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add respondents Dürr Systems, Inc. of Plymouth Michigan, Dürr Systems GmbH of Bietigheim-Bissingen, Germany, and Dürr Special Material Handling GmbH of Grenzach-Wyhlen, Germany. On May 2, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 7) granting FANUC's motion. No petitions to review the ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review this ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission Rule 210.42, 19 CFR 210.42.

Issued: May 20, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

# Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 05–10492 Filed 5–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–514]

# In the Matter of Certain Plastic Food Containers; Notice of Final Determination of Violation of Section 337 and Issuance of General Exclusion Order; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission. ACTION: Notice.

ACTION. NOTICE.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to find a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the abovecaptioned investigation. Notice is also given that the Commission has issued a general exclusion order in the abovecaptioned investigation and has terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Diehl, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205–3095. Copies of nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By a notice published on June 22, 2004, the Commission instituted an investigation into alleged violations of section 337 in the importation and sale of certain plastic food containers by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,056,138 (the "'138 patent"); of U.S. Patent No. 6,196,404 (the '' '404 patent''); and of U.S. Design Patent No. D 415,420 (the '' '420 patent"). 69 FR 34691 (June 22, 2004). Plastic food containers such as those claimed by the patents in issue are used for packaging foods from restaurants, food processors, and educational and government institutions with food service programs.

On August 19, 2004, complainant Newspring Industrial Corp.

("Newspring") moved for an order directing that each of the two respondents, Jiangsu Sainty Corporation, Ltd. ("Jiangsu") and Taizhou Huasen Household Necessities. Co., Ltd. ("Taizhou"), show cause as to why each should not be found in default for failure to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. Newspring also requested an order finding the respondents in default if they failed to show cause. On August 27, 2004, the Investigative Attorney ("IA") filed a response in support of the motion for an order to show cause, but opposed any finding that respondents are in default as premature. On August 30, 2004, the ALJ issued Order No. 5, directing respondents to show cause no later than September 17, 2004, why they should not be held in default.

On September 9, 2004, before the ALJ ruled on the motions for default, Newspring filed motions for summary determinations that there has been a violation of section 337 and that a domestic industry has been established with respect to each of the asserted patents. Newspring sought a recommendation for the issuance of a general exclusion order.

On September 23, 2004, the IA filed a response supporting the motions with respect to most but not all issues. He supported a summary determination that the domestic industry requirement had been satisfied as to each of the patents in issue. He also supported a summary determination that Jiangsu had violated section 337 with respect to each of the patents at issue. As to Taizhou, the IA supported a summary determination of violation as to the '420 patent, but not as to the '138 and '404 patents.

On October 12, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 7) with respect to Newspring's motion to find respondents in default. Noting that neither respondent responded to the notice to show cause, the ALJ found the respondents in default. The Commission determined not to review the ID.

On February 10, 2005, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 8), granting Newspring's motions for summary determinations with respect to most but not all issues. Consistent with the position of the IA, the ALJ determined that a domestic industry had been established with respect to each of the asserted patents, and that Jiangsu had violated section 337 with respect to each asserted patent as well. He determined that Taizhou had violated section 337 with respect to the '420 design patent, but found that a genuine issue of fact remained as to whether the accused Taizhou products infringed the