

will be conducted during the survey year. The 2006 FHWAR will be similar in scope to past surveys. It will generate information identified as priority data needed by Federal and State agencies responsible for administering the Sport Fish and Wildlife Restoration grant programs. Accordingly, the 2006 FHWAR will produce a comprehensive database of fish and wildlife-related recreation activities and expenditures. It will include the number of persons participating in different types of activities such as freshwater, saltwater, and Great Lakes fishing, and big game, small game, migratory bird, and other animal hunting. Wildlife-watching activities include wildlife observation, feeding, and photographing around the home and on trips away from home. Information is collected on days of participation, species of animals sought, and how much money was spent on trips and for equipment. Information on the characteristics of participants includes age, income, sex, education, race, and residency. The survey data has State level reliability. Federal and State agencies use information from the

survey to formulate management and policy decisions related to fish and wildlife restoration and management. Participation patterns and trend information assist in identifying present and future needs and demands. The information is used for planning the acquisition, development, and enhancement of resources for the benefit of wildlife-related recreation. Land managing agencies use the data on expenditures, economic evaluation, and participation to assess the value of wildlife-related recreational uses of natural resources. States use expenditure information to estimate the economic impact of wildlife-related recreation expenditures on their economies and to support the dedication of tax revenues for fish and wildlife restoration programs. The information collected on resident saltwater fishing assists coastal States in determining the proper ratio for allocating funds between freshwater and saltwater projects as required by the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Act, as amended. The information is not readily available elsewhere because few

States have saltwater licenses or conduct their own surveys. If the 2006 FHWAR data were not available, it would impair the ability of those States to meet their obligations under the Act.

Title: National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation.

OMB Control Number: 1018-0088.

Form Number: None.

Frequency of Collection: Household screen interviews and the first detailed sportspersons and wildlife-watchers interviews will be conducted April-June 2006. The second detailed interviews will be conducted September-October 2006. The third and last detailed interviews will be conducted January-March 2007.

Description of Respondents: Individuals.

Total Annual Responses: 95,000 respondents.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 32,254 hours.

The projected number of respondents and the estimated burden for the 2006 FHWAR are:

	Estimated number of household responses	Average time per household (minutes)	Estimated number of participant responses	Average respondent time (minutes)	Total burden (hours)
Screen	*76,000	7	8,867
Screen Reinterview**	3,800	5	317
Hunting & Fishing:					
1st interview	12,000	15	3,000
2nd interview	24,000	10	4,000
3rd interview	36,000	15	9,000
reinterview	2,000	5	167
Wildlife Watching:					
1st interview	7,200	11	1,320
2nd interview	12,000	11	2,200
3rd interview	18,000	11	3,300
reinterview	1,000	5	83
Total	79,800	6.9	112,200	12.3	32,254

* The estimated number of respondents reached from a sample of households will be 76,000. About one-half (38,000) of those respondents will sample in and receive a detail interview. An additional 50 percent of those households where one person is sampled (19,000) will have a second person screened in for interviews. Therefore, the total number of respondents is estimated to be 95,000 (76,000 + 19,000) in the 2006 FHWAR.

** Of the survey respondents, 5 percent from the CAPI screener workload and 6 percent from the CAPI third interview sportspersons and wildlife-watchers workloads are reinterviewed by another Census interviewer using a subset of the regular questionnaire. These reinterview responses are compared to the responses of the full interview as a quality control measure.

The total number of respondents can be calculated by adding up the household and participant responses and subtracting the reinterviews and the third interviews. There is some wave 1 and wave 2 overlap.

We expect the burden to be about 15 minutes for the sportsmen and 11 minutes for the wildlife-watching participants. We base the estimate for interview length on the 2001 survey and experience with similar surveys. The combined total estimated hours of respondent burden is 32,254.

We invite your comments on (1) whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Dated: April 1, 2005.
Hope Grey,
Information Collection Clearance Officer,
Fish and Wildlife Service.
 [FR Doc. 05-10311 Filed 5-23-05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR**U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service****DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service**

[I.D. 041205C]

Notice of Intent to Conduct Public Scoping Meetings and to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement Related to the Elliott State Forest Habitat Conservation Plan; Correction

AGENCIES: Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), Interior; National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of intent, to conduct scoping meetings; Correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) published a document in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2005, with an incorrect date for submitting written comments. The document advised interested parties of their intent to conduct public scoping under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) necessary to gather information to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on an anticipated permit application from the Oregon Division of Forestry (ODF) submitted under of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) for the incidental take of listed species, associated with the Elliott State Forest Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) in Oregon.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** under the heading Correction regarding the corrected date for submission of comments.

ADDRESSES: All comments concerning the preparation of the EIS and the NEPA process should be addressed to: Lee Folliard, FWS, 2600 SE 98th Avenue, Suite 100, Portland, OR 97266, facsimile: (503) 231-6195; or Chuck Wheeler, NMFS, 2900 NW Stewart Parkway, Roseberg, OR 97470-1274, facsimile: (541) 957-3386.

Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to the following address: ElliottStateForest.nwr@noaa.gov. In the subject line of the e-mail, include the document identifier: Elliott State Forest HCP. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lee Folliard, (503) 231-6179 or Chuck Wheeler (541) 957-3379.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 9, 2005, a document was published in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 24450) with an incorrect date for written comment submissions.

Need for Correction

The document stated written comments would be accepted for 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. The date for accepting written comments should read 45 days after date of publication. Accordingly, the **DATES** section of the document is corrected to read as follows:

DATES:

Public scoping meetings are scheduled as follows:

1. May 24, 2005, 6-10 p.m.; Roseburg, OR.
2. May 25, 2005, 6-10 p.m.; North Bend, OR.
3. May 26, 2005, 6-10 p.m.; Salem, OR.

Written comments should be received on or before June 23, 2005.

Dated: March 17, 2005.

David J. Wesley,

Deputy Regional Director, Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

Dated: May 18, 2005.

Phil Williams,

Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 05-10353 Filed 5-23-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODES 3510-22-S, 4310-55-S

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Land Management**

[CA 660-05-1330-EP]

Proposed Otay Hills Quarry Project

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a joint Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Impact (EIR).

SUMMARY: In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 40 CFR 1508.22, and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), notice is hereby given that the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the San Diego County Department of Planning and Land Use (DPLU) intend to prepare a joint Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the proposed development of a rock

quarry on private land and federal mineral estate. The proposed EIS/EIR will describe and analyze alternatives for a proposed operation to extract construction aggregates on a 210-acre site in the foothills of Otay Mountain, San Diego County, California.

DATES: This notice initiates the public scoping process. Comments on issues may be submitted in writing to the address listed below. Additionally, public meetings will be held to encourage public input. All public meetings will be announced through the local news media, newspapers, and the BLM Web site (<http://www.ca.blm.gov/palmsprings>) at least 15 days prior to the event. Additional opportunities for public participation will be provided upon publication of the draft EIS/EIR.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Greg Hill, Otay Hills Project, Bureau of Land Management, 690 W. Garnet Ave., P.O. Box 581260, North Palm Springs, CA 92258 or by fax at (760) 251-4899, or by e-mail at gchill@ca.blm.gov. Documents pertinent to this proposal, including comments with the names and addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the BLM Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office located at 690 W. Garnet Avenue, North Palm Springs, California, during regular business hours of 7:45 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays, and may be published as part of the EIS/EIR. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name or street address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. BLM will not consider anonymous comments. All submissions from organizations and businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be available for public inspection in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information and/or to have your name added to our mailing list, contact Greg Hill, Otay Hills Project, Bureau of Land Management, Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office, (760) 251-4840, or by e-mail at gchill@ca.blm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Superior Ready Mix Company proposes to mine rock for the production of construction aggregates on their property on Otay Mesa, in southwestern San Diego County. The extraction area includes 15 acres of land for which the mineral rights (federal split estate) are held by