

1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f) (2000), the Secretary determines that the withdrawal shall be extended.

**Authority:** 43 U.S.C. 1714(a); 43 CFR 2310.3-3(b)(1).

Dated: April 29, 2005.

**Rebecca W. Watson,**  
*Assistant Secretary—Land and Minerals Management.*  
[FR Doc. 05-9689 Filed 5-13-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,007]

#### **Ametek, U.S. Gauge Division; Sellersville, PA; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 19, 2005 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Ametek, U.S. Gauge Division, Sellersville, Pennsylvania.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 26th day of April 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**  
*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*  
[FR Doc. E5-2434 Filed 5-13-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,965]

#### **FMC Corporation, Phosphate Plant; Green River, WY; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 14, 2005 in response to a worker petition filed by the State of Wyoming Department of Workforce Services on behalf of workers at the Phosphate plant of FMC Corporation, Green River, Wyoming.

All workers of the Phosphate plant were separated from the subject firm more than one year before the date of the petition. Section 223(b) of the Act specifies that no certification may apply to any worker whose last separation occurred more than one year before the

date of the petition. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of April, 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**  
*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*  
[FR Doc. E5-2432 Filed 5-13-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,850]

#### **Hydro-Logic Services, LLC; Warren, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 30, 2005 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Hydro-Logic Services, LLC, Warren, Michigan.

The petition has been deemed invalid. Not all of the individuals filing the petition were workers of the firm. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of April, 2005.

**Linda G. Poole,**  
*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*  
[FR Doc. E5-2430 Filed 5-13-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,560]

#### **Interstate Tool and Die Company Madison Heights, MI; Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By letter dated April 18, 2005, the company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The Department's determination was signed on March 23, 2005 and the Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2005 (70 FR 22710).

The negative determination was based on the findings that during the

investigatory period of 2003 through January 2005, the subject company neither imported prototype automotive parts nor shifted such production abroad, and the subject company's major declining customers did not import prototype automotive parts.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The company official, in the request for reconsideration, infers that the scope of the initial investigation was in error because the term prototype parts is a misleading description of the work done by the firm. The company official now states that the firm supported the advance engineering groups of domestic automobile manufacturers.

During a February 14, 2005 telephone conversation, a company official stated that workers at the subject company are engaged in the prototype and production for the aerospace and automotive industries. Further, the Business Confidential Data Request form completed by another company official submitted, in part, on February 16, 2005, identified "prototype auto parts" as the product manufactured at the subject facility. Therefore, the Department determines that the scope of the investigation was not in error.

#### **Conclusion**

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of May 2005.

**Linda G. Poole,**  
*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*  
[FR Doc. E5-2428 Filed 5-13-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**