

SUMMARY: On September 22, 2004, the Department of Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene resin from Japan for the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004. The Department intends to rescind this review after determining that the party requesting the review did not have entries during the period of review upon which to assess antidumping duties.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 10, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dunyako Ahmadu at (202) 482-0198 or Richard Rimlinger at (202) 482-4477, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 28, 1988, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order for granular polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin from Japan. See *Antidumping Duty Order; Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Japan*, 53 FR 32267 (August 28, 1988). On August 3, 2004, we published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of this order for the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004. See *Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation*, 69 FR 46496 (August 3, 2004). On August 30, 2004, Asahi Glass Fluoropolymers Ltd., a Japanese producer and exporter of the subject merchandise, and AGC Chemicals America, an affiliated U.S. importer of subject merchandise (collectively AGC), made a timely request that the Department conduct an administrative review of AGC. On September 22, 2004, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 as amended (the Act), the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 69 FR 56745 (September 22, 2004). On October 8, 2004, the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaire to AGC.

On November 2, 2004, AGC submitted a letter to the Department indicating that it did not have any shipments or entries of subject merchandise during the period of review but had one U.S. sale of PTFE resin during the period of

review. As a result, on November 29, 2004, the Department issued a memorandum recommending rescission of the 2003–2004 administrative review and invited interested parties to comment. See *Memorandum to Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary* dated November 29, 2004, (*November 29 Memorandum*). On December 10, 2004, AGC submitted comments in disagreement with the recommendation in the *November 29 Memorandum*. AGC argued that the Department does not have an established practice of conditioning an administrative review on the existence of entries during the period of review and that the Department's interpretation of 19 CFR 351.213(e) in this instance is inconsistent with the plain meaning of the regulation. AGC also argued that because no review of AGC's sales has occurred since the imposition of the antidumping duty order on August 28, 1988, the 2003–2004 administrative review would determine a more accurate deposit rate and, therefore, the Department should not rescind the administrative review.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we will rescind an administrative review in whole or only with respect to a particular exporter or producer if we conclude that during the period of review there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise, as the case may be. Contrary to AGC's position that rescission of the 2003–2004 administrative review would not be in accordance with law and that the Department does not have an established practice of rescinding an administrative review based solely on the absence of entries, the Department's practice, supported by substantial precedent, requires that there be entries during the period of review upon which to assess antidumping duties, irrespective of the export–price or constructed export–price designation of U.S. sales. See, e.g., *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Taiwan: Final Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 63067 (November 7, 2003), and *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Taiwan: Final Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 20859 (April 19, 2004). Given that AGC had no entries of subject merchandise during the period of review and that AGC has no entry under suspension of liquidation that corresponds to the sale which occurred during the period of review, we would be unable to assess any antidumping duties resulting from this administrative review. See *November 29 Memorandum*.

Accordingly, we intend to rescind the 2003–2004 administrative review.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 20 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 34 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 20 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in such briefs, must be filed not later than 7 days from the case brief after the date of publication of this notice. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. We will issue our final decision concerning the conduct of the review no later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

Further, absent the completion of the 2003–2004 administrative review, the cash–deposit rate will remain at 51.45 percent and the all other rate will continue to be 91.74 percent (see *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 53 FR 25191 (July 5, 1988)).

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 3, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–2237 Filed 5–9–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–570–502)

Certain Iron Construction Castings From The People's Republic of China; Five-year (“Sunset”) Review of Antidumping Duty Order; Final Results

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Summary: On October 1, 2004 the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain iron construction castings (“iron castings”) from the People's Republic of China (“the PRC”). On the basis of the notice of intent to participate, and adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and no response from respondent interested parties, the Department

conducted an expedited sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 10, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on iron castings from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").¹ The Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of Deeter Foundry, Inc., East Jordan Iron Works, Inc., LeBaron Foundry, Inc., Leed Foundry, Inc., Municipal Castings, Inc., Neenah Foundry Company, Tyler Pipe Company, and U.S. Foundry & Manufacturing Co. (collectively, "domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as U.S. producers of the subject merchandise. We received a substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in the Department's regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). However, we did not receive responses from any respondent interested parties as required in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations. As a result, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the antidumping duty order consists of certain iron construction castings from the PRC, limited to manhole covers, rings, and frames, catch basin grates and frames, clean-out covers and frames used for drainage or access purposes for public utility, water and sanitary systems, classifiable as heavy castings under Harmonized Tariff Schedule

(HTS) item number 7325.10.0010; and to valve, service, and meter boxes which are placed below ground to encase water, gas, or other valves, or water and gas meters, classifiable as light castings under HTS item number 7325.10.0050. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this case are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 2, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Department Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>, under the heading "May 2005". The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on iron castings from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margin:

Manufacturers/ Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
PRC wide-rate	25.52

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with

sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 2, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-2290 Filed 5-9-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-122-503)

Certain Iron Construction Castings from Canada; Five-year ("Sunset") Review of Antidumping Duty Order; Final Results

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Summary: On October 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain iron construction castings ("iron castings") from Canada. On the basis of the notice of intent to participate, and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and an inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 10, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on iron castings from Canada pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 69 FR 58890 (October 1, 2004). The Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of Deeter Foundry, Inc., East Jordan Iron Works, Inc., LeBaron Foundry, Inc., Leed Foundry, Inc., Municipal Castings, Inc., Neenah Foundry Company, Tyler Pipe Company, and U.S. Foundry &

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 58890 (October 1, 2004).