

Shanxi Fengkun, Winhere, and ZLAP will be the rates determined in the final results of review (except that if a rate is *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.50 percent, no cash deposit will be required); (2) the cash deposit rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding (which were not reviewed in this segment of the proceeding) will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding (i.e., Luqi, Shenyang Yinghao, and Xumingyuan); (3) the cash deposit rate for the PRC NME entity (including Huanri General and Rotec) will continue to be 43.32 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that exporter.

These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These administrative and new shipper reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B), and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.214.

Dated: May 2, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-2229 Filed 5-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-588-824)

#### Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Japan: Notice of Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 9, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher Hargett or James Terpstra, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4161 or (202) 482-3965.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Department of Commerce ("the Department") published an antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan on August 19, 1993. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan*, 58 FR 44163 (August 19, 1993). Nucor Corporation ("Nucor"), the petitioner, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the order. *See Letter from Nucor Corporation*, August 31, 2004. On September 22, 2004, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan, covering the period of August 1, 2003, to July 31, 2004. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation, In Part*, 69 FR 56745. The preliminary results for this review are currently due no later than May 3, 2005.

##### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations allow the Department to extend this deadline to a maximum of 365 days.

Both respondents, JFE and Nippon Steel, have declined to participate in this review. As such, the Department will apply adverse facts available pursuant to section 776(a) and (b) of the Act. The Department has continuing concerns about what the appropriate rate is to assign to JFE and Nippon Steel as adverse facts available. Therefore, the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the original time period, and is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 30 days to

no later than June 2, 2005. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after publication of the notice of the preliminary results. This notice is being issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: May 3, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-2230 Filed 5-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-570-805, A-428-807, A-412-805)

#### Sodium Thiosulfate from the People's Republic of China, Germany, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Orders

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On February 2, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on sodium thiosulfate from the People's Republic of China, Germany and the United Kingdom (70 FR 5415). Because the domestic interested parties did not participate in these sunset reviews, the Department is revoking these antidumping duty orders.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 7, 2005

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hilary Sadler, Esq., Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On February 19, 1991, the Department issued antidumping duty orders on sodium thiosulfate from the People's Republic of China, Germany, and the United Kingdom (56 FR 2904). On July 1, 1999, the Department initiated sunset reviews on these orders and later published its notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders. *See Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Sulfur Chemicals (Sodium Thiosulfate) from the United Kingdom, Germany and the People's Republic of China*, 65 FR 11985 (March 7, 2000). On February 2, 2005, the Department initiated the second sunset reviews of these orders.

We did not receive a notice of intent to participate from domestic interested parties in any of these sunset reviews by the deadline dates. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(A). As a result, the Department determined that no domestic interested party intends to participate in the sunset reviews, and on October 21, 2004, we notified the International Trade Commission, in writing, that we intended to issue a final determination revoking these antidumping duty orders. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(2).

*Scope of the Orders:*

The merchandise covered by these orders includes all grades of sodium thiosulfate, in dry or liquid form, used primarily to dechlorinate industrial waste water, from the People's Republic of China, Germany, and the United Kingdom. The chemical composition of sodium thiosulfate is Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Currently, subject merchandise is classified under item number 2832.30.1000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTS"). The above HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

**Determination to Revoke**

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party files a notice of intent to participate, the Department shall issue a final determination revoking the order within 90 days after the initiation of the review. Because the domestic interested parties did not file a notice of intent to participate in these sunset reviews, the Department finds that no domestic interested party is participating in these sunset reviews. Therefore, consistent with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i) and section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, we are revoking these antidumping duty orders effective March 7, 2005, the fifth anniversary of the date the Department published the continuation of the antidumping duty orders.

**Effective Date of Revocation**

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after March 7, 2005. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any

pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

These five-year (sunset) reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 2, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-2231 Filed 5-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**(A-823-801)**

**Solid Urea from Ukraine; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On October 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty ("AD") order on solid urea from Ukraine pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 58890 (October 1, 2004). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate, an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, and inadequate response from respondent interested parties (in this case, no response), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B) of the Department's regulations. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the AD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 9, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hilary Sadler, Esq., Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background:*

On October 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the AD order on solid urea from Ukraine pursuant to

section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 58890 (October 1, 2004). The Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate from the following domestic interested parties: the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Nitrogen Producers, (consisting of CF Industries, Inc. and PCS Nitrogen Fertilizer, LP (collectively "the Ad Hoc Committee")), and Agrium U.S., Inc. (collectively "the domestic interested parties") within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(I) of the Department's regulations. The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as domestic manufacturers of urea or coalition whose members are engaged in the production of urea in the United States. The Department received a complete substantive response collectively from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). However, the Department did not receive any responses from the respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this antidumping duty order.

*Scope of the Order:*

The merchandise covered by this order is solid urea, a high-nitrogen content fertilizer which is produced by reacting ammonia with carbon dioxide. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated ("HTS") item 3102.10.00.00. During previous reviews such merchandise was classified under item number 480.3000 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as the scope of the product coverage.

*Analysis of Comments Received:*

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memorandum") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 2, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the accompanying Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public