

high probability of being accomplished. The points awarded will be as specified in paragraphs (1) through (4) of this section. In each case, the intermediary's work plan must provide documentation that the selection criteria have been met in order to qualify for selection criteria points. If an application does not fit one of the categories listed, it receives no points for that paragraph.

(1) *Other funds.* Points allowed under this paragraph are to be based on documented successful history or written evidence that the funds are available.

(a) The intermediary will obtain non-Agency loan or grant funds or provide housing tax credits (measured in dollars) to pay part of the cost of the ultimate recipients' project cost. Points for the amount of funds from other sources are as follows:

(i) At least 10% but less than 25% of the total project cost—5 points;

(ii) At least 25% but less than 50% of the total project cost—10 points; or

(iii) 50% or more of the total project cost—15 points.

(b) The intermediary will provide loans to the ultimate recipient from its own funds (not loan or grant) to pay part of the ultimate recipients' project cost. The amount of the intermediary's own funds will average:

(i) At least 10% but less than 25% of the total project costs—5 points;

(ii) At least 25% but less than 50% of total project costs—10 points; or

(iii) 50% or more of total project costs—15 points.

(2) *Intermediary contribution.* All assets of the PRLF will serve as security for the PRLF loan, and the intermediary will contribute funds not derived from the Agency into the PRLF along with the proceeds of the PRLF loan. The amount of non-Agency derived funds contributed to the PRLF will equal the following percentage of the Agency PRLF loan:

(a) At least 5% but less than 15%—15 points;

(b) At least 15% but less than 25%—30 points; or

(c) 25% or more—50 points.

(3) *Experience.* The intermediary has actual experience in the administration of revolving loan funds and the preservation of multi-family housing, with a successful record, for the following number of full years.

Applicants must have actual experience in both the administration of revolving loan funds and the preservation of multi-family housing in order to qualify for points under this selection criteria. If the number of years of experience differs between the two types of experience, the type with the least

number of years will be used for this selection criteria.

(a) At least 1 but less than 3 years—5 points;

(b) At least 3 but less than 5 years—10 points;

(c) At least 5 but less than 10 years—20 points; or

(d) 10 or more years—30 points.

(4) *Administrative.* The Administrator may assign up to 35 additional points to an application to account for the following items not adequately covered by the other priority criteria set out in this section. The items that may be considered are the amount of funds requested in relation to the amount of need; a particularly successful affordable housing development record; a service area with no other PRLF coverage; a service area with severe affordable housing problems; a service area with emergency conditions caused by a natural disaster; an innovative proposal; the quality of the proposed program; a work plan that is in accord with a strategic plan, particularly a plan prepared as part of a request for an Empowerment Zone/Enterprise Community designation; or excellent utilization of an existing revolving loan fund program.

Dated: May 2, 2005.

Russell T. Davis,

Administrator, Rural Housing Service.

[FR Doc. 05-9155 Filed 5-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Housing Service

Notice for Requests for Proposals for Guaranteed Loans Under the Section 538 Guaranteed Rural Rental Housing Program (GRRHP) for Fiscal Year 2005

AGENCY: Rural Housing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Rural Housing Service (RHS) is correcting a notice published March 14, 2005 (70 FR 12569-12575). This action is taken to correct language that purports that Notice responses that score less than 25 points or score 25 points or more but have a development cost ratio of equal to or more than 70 percent may not be selected for further processing and obligation after June 13, 2005. These corrections are intended to ensure that all Notice responses received prior to June 13, 2005, and that meet program criteria, but score less than 25 points or score 25 points or more but have a development cost ratio of equal to or more than 70 percent may be selected for obligation after June 13,

2005, with the highest scoring responses receiving priority as long as funds remain available. These corrections are also intended to ensure that the Agency will continue to select the highest scoring Notice responses received after June 13, 2005, notwithstanding the score, as long as the response meets program criteria and funds remain available.

Accordingly, the Notice published on March 14, 2005 (70 FR 12569-12575), is corrected as follows:

On page 12569, in the first column, fourth paragraph, under the heading **DATES**, the fourth sentence is corrected to read as follows: "Each month after June 13, 2005, the Agency will select the highest scoring proposals, in light of the remaining funding, until all funds are expended."

On page 12569, in the second column, under the heading **DATES**, the fifth sentence, "Priority for the selection of proposals that meet the threshold score of 25 will be given to the highest scoring proposals," is removed.

On page 12574, in the first column, first paragraph, under the heading "*Scoring of Priority Criteria for Selection of Projects*," the fifth sentence is corrected to read as follows: "Each month after June 13, 2005, the Agency will select the highest scoring proposals, in light of the remaining funding, until all funds are expended."

On page 12574, in the first column, first paragraph, under the heading "*Scoring of Priority Criteria for Selection of Projects*," the sixth sentence, "Priority for the selection of proposals that meet the threshold score of 25 will be given to the highest scoring proposals," is removed.

Dated: April 8, 2005.

Russell T. Davis,

Administrator, Rural Housing Service.

[FR Doc. 05-9156 Filed 5-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Agency: Economic Development Administration.

Title: Award for Excellence in Economic Development.

Form Number(s):

OMB Approval Number: New.
Type of Review: Regular.
Burden Hours: 150 hours.
Number of Respondents: 50.
Average Hours Per Response: 3 hours.

Needs and Uses: EDA provides a broad range of economic development assistance to help distressed communities design and implement effective economic development strategies. Part of this assistance includes disseminating information about best practices and encouraging collegial learning among economic development practitioners. EDA has created the Award for Excellence in Economic Development to recognize outstanding economic development activities of national importance.

Affected Public: State, local or Indian tribal governments and not-for-profit organizations.

Frequency: Annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395-7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: May 3, 2005.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-9157 Filed 5-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-34-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce (DOC) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance of the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), Commerce.

Title: Generic Clearance for Pretesting Research.

Form Number(s): Various.

Agency Approval Number: Will be assigned by OMB.

Type of Request: New collection.

Burden: 5,000 hours.

Number of Respondents: 5,000.

Average Hours Per Response: 1 hour.

Needs and Uses: This research program will be used by BEA to improve questionnaires and procedures, reduce respondent burden, improve sample frames, and ultimately increase the quality of data collected in the bureau's surveys. The clearance will be used to conduct pretesting of surveys conducted by BEA prior to mailing the final survey packages to potential respondents. Pretesting activities will involve methods for identifying problems with the questionnaire or survey procedure such as the following: Cognitive interviews, focus groups, respondent debriefings, behavior coding of respondent/interviewer interaction, split panel tests, voluntary sample surveys (including automated surveys). OMB will be informed in writing of the purpose and scope of each of these activities, as well as the time frame and the number of burden hours used. The number of hours used will not exceed the number set aside for this purpose.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations, not-for-profit institutions, and State, Local or Tribal Governments.

Frequency: As requested.

Respondents Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: International Investment and Trade in Services Survey Act (Pub. L. 94-472, 22 U.S.C. 3101-3108).

OMB Desk Officer: Paul Bugg, (202) 395-3093.

You may obtain copies of the above information collection proposal by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6025, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, or via the Internet at dhynek@doc.gov.

Send comments on the proposed information collection within 30 days of publication of the notice to Office of Management and Budget, O.I.R.A., Attention PRA Desk Officer for BEA, via the Internet at pbugg@omb.eop.gov, or by FAX at 202-395-7245.

Dated: May 3, 2005.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-9158 Filed 5-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-867]

Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on automotive replacement glass ("ARG") windshields from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period April 1, 2003, through March 31, 2004. We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below normal value. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on entries of subject merchandise during the period of review ("POR"), for which the importer-specific assessment rates are above *de minimis*.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. We will issue the final results no later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 9, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jon Freed or Will Dickerson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3818 and (202) 482-1778, respectively.

Background

On April 4, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on ARG windshields from the PRC. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People's Republic of China*, 67 FR 16087. On April 1, 2004, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ARG windshields from the PRC for the period April 1, 2003, through March 31, 2004. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 69 FR 17129. On April 21, 2004, Pilkington North America, Inc. ("PNA"), an