210.76(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.76(a)(1)).

By order of the Commission. Issued: May 3, 2005. **Marilyn R. Abbott,**

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 05–9133 Filed 5–5–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-531]

In the Matter of Certain Network Controllers and Products Containing Same; Notice of Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on April 12, 2005, granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of the public version of the IDs and all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 19, 2005, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on a complaint filed by Marvell International, Ltd. of Hamilton,

Bermuda, ("Marvell") alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain network controllers and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 68, 70, and 71 of U.S. Patent No. 6,462,688, and claims 22-32, 54, and 55 of U.S. Patent No. 6,775,529. 70 FR 31844 (January 19, 2005). The complainant named Realtek Semiconductor Corporation of Hsinchu, Taiwan, and Real Communications, Inc., of San Jose, CA (collectively, "Realtek"), as respondents.

On March 31, 2005, complainant Marvell moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add an additional respondent, Bizlink Technology, Inc. On April 11, 2005, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. On the same day, respondents Realtek filed a response in opposition to the motion.

On April 12, 2005, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 5) granting complainant's motion. No party petitioned for review of the ALJ's ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission. Issued: May 3, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 05–9134 Filed 5–5–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701–TA–439 and 731– TA–1077, 1078, and 1080 (Final)]

Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Resin from India, Indonesia, and Thailand

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines,² pursuant to section 705(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury, and the establishment of an industry in the United States is not materially retarded, by reason of imports from India of PET resin, provided for in subheading 3907.60.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be subsidized by the Government of India.³

The Commission also determines,² pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)), that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury, and the establishment of an industry in the United States is not materially retarded, by reason of imports from India, Indonesia, and Thailand of PET resin that have been found by Commerce to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).⁴

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective March 24, 2004, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by the U.S. PET Resin Producers' Coalition, Washington, DC. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of PET resin from India were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and that imports of PET resin from India, Indonesia, and Thailand were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of November 17, 2004 (69 FR 67365). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on March 15, 2005, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in these investigations to

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Marcia E. Miller dissenting.

³On March 21, 2005, the Commission terminated its countervailing duty investigation with regard to Thailand (Inv. No. 701–TA–440) (70 FR 15884, March 29, 2005) as a result of Commerce's negative final determination of subsidies regarding imports of PET resin from Thailand (70 FR 13462, March 21, 2005).

² Commissioner Marcia E. Miller dissenting. ⁴ On March 21, 2005, the Commission terminated its antidumping investigation with regard to Taiwan (Inv. No. 731–TA–1079) (70 FR 15884, March 29, 2005) as a result of Commerce's final determination of sales at not LTFV regarding imports of PET resin from Taiwan (70 FR 13454, March 21, 2005).