

percent) opposed the continuation of the Order. Additionally, of those persons who cast valid ballots in the referendum, those who favored the Order accounted for 84 percent of the total production voted, and those opposed account for 16 percent of the total production voted. For the program to continue, it must have been approved by at least a majority of those persons voting for approval who were engaged in the production, feeding, or slaughter of lambs during calendar year 2004 and

who also represent a majority of the volume of lambs produced, fed, or slaughtered.

Therefore, based on the referendum results, the Secretary of Agriculture has determined that the required majority of eligible voters who voted in the nationwide referendum from January 31, 2005, through February 29, 2005, voted to continue the Order. As a result, the Lamb Checkoff Program will continue to be funded by a mandatory assessment on producers, seedstock

producers (breeders), feeders, and exporters at the rate of one-half cent (\$.005) per pound when live ovine animals are sold. The first handler, primarily packers, will pay an additional \$.30 cents per head on ovine animals purchased for slaughter. Importers are not assessed.

In accordance with Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the information collection requirements have been approved under OMB number 0581-0227.

STATE REFERENDUM RESULTS

[January 31, 2005, through February 28, 2005]

State	Votes		Volume voted	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Alabama	4	1	1,726	28
California	121	13	938,954	15,290
Colorado	85	21	1,145,615	32,640
Idaho	90	15	165,453	47,423
Illinois	77	27	10,097	6,736
Indiana	79	14	9,422	1,360
Iowa	161	52	456,999	53,520
Kansas	45	22	11,155	21,163
Kentucky	44	7	5,257	577
Maryland	9	3	1,090	18,747
Massachusetts	6	2	324	4,110
Michigan	126	10	28,562	3,570
Minnesota	161	40	65,332	15,325
Missouri	65	12	10,090	2,824
Montana	303	96	208,964	54,740
N. Carolina	27	1	2,429	195
N. Dakota	59	19	29,384	17,940
Nebraska	42	23	19,520	9,312
Nevada	8	5	20,977	5,187
New Hampshire	11	1	583	300
New Mexico	38	5	70,898	8,220
New York	70	11	12,258	2,793
Ohio	158	43	28,952	11,213
Oklahoma	18	11	2,335	9,226
Oregon	68	17	44,483	13,227
Pennsylvania	54	15	8,408	22,093
S. Dakota	148	91	85,167	132,898
Tennessee	54	2	3,137	125
Texas	217	26	270,713	219,081
Utah	73	7	136,917	8,055
Vermont	17	1	2,406	350
Virginia	48	9	5,389	1,093
West Virginia	70	5	10,095	544
Wisconsin	58	16	11,903	5,325
Wyoming	99	39	236,568	54,721
Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Louisiana, Maine, Mississippi, New Jersey, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, and Washington <sup>1</sup>	94	1	160,352	20
National Totals	2,807	683	4,221,914	799,971

<sup>1</sup> To ensure the confidentiality of the voting process, the results of States in which there were not at least 3 votes in total with a minimum of one vote in each category are combined for the purpose of this report.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 7411-7425.

Dated: April 28, 2005.

**Kenneth C. Clayton,**  
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 05-8829 Filed 5-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Submission for OMB Review;  
Comment Request**

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the

following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 3501).

Agency: Economic Development Administration (EDA).

*Title:* Data Collection for Compliance with Government Performance and Results Act of 1993.

*Agency Form Numbers:* ED-915, Public Works, Economic Adjustment Infrastructure, and Revolving Loan Fund Reporting Form; ED-916, Economic Development District and Indian Tribe Reporting Form; ED-917, University Center Reporting Form; and ED-918, Trade Adjustment Assistance Reporting Form.

*OMB Approval Number:* 0610-0098.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a Currently Approved Collection of Information.

*Burden Hours:* 19,768 burden hours.

*Number of Respondents:*

Approximately 2,737 respondents.

*Average Hours Per Response:* (1) 8 burden hours for the Public Works and Economic Adjustment Infrastructure and Revolving Loan Funds Reporting Form; (2) 6 hours for the Economic Development District and Indian Tribe Reporting Form; (3) 7 hours for the University Center Form; and (4) 6 hours for the Trade Adjustment Assistance Form.

*Needs and Uses:* EDA provides investments that will help our partners (states, regions and local communities) across the nation create wealth and minimize poverty by promoting a favorable business environment to attract private capital investment and higher-skill, higher-wage jobs through world class capacity building, infrastructure, business assistance, research grants and strategic initiatives.

EDA must collect data and report on the results of the following principal programs. The Public Works program promotes long-range economic development in distressed areas by providing investments for vital public infrastructure and development facilities. The Economic Adjustment program offers flexible investments, including revolving loan funds, for communities facing sudden or severe economic distress. EDA's Planning program supports local planning and long-term partnerships with State, regional organizations, Economic Development Districts and Indian Tribes that assist distressed communities with strategic planning and investment activities. The University Center program is a partnership that draws on the expertise of colleges and universities to strengthen distressed communities as they strive to become economically self-sufficient. The Trade Adjustment Assistance program, authorized under the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2341 *et seq.*), assists U.S. firms and industries injured as the result of trade agreements by offering low-cost, effective

professional assistance to certified firms in developing and implementing recovery strategies.

The Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA) requires Federal agencies to develop performance measures and report to Congress and their stakeholders the results of the agency's performance. To comply with GPRA, EDA must collect specific data from grant recipients to report its performance in meeting stated goals and objectives. The congressionally mandated reports include (i) the Annual Performance Plan, (ii) Annual Program Performance Report, (iii) annual Accountability Report, and (iv) annual Budgets. EDA performance measures are designed to evaluate overall program performance and not the performance of individual grantees. The information collected at project completion and various stages thereafter will be used to enhance the management and performance of EDA programs.

*Affected Public:* State, local or Indian governments and not-for profit organizations.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Respondents Obligation:* Mandatory.

*OMB Desk Officer:* David Rostker, (202) 395-3897. Copies of the above collection of information proposal can be obtained by calling or writing to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via e-mail at [dHynek@doc.gov](mailto:dHynek@doc.gov)).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed collection should be sent within thirty (30) days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, facsimile (202) 395-7285, or via e-mail at [David\\_Rostker@omb.eop.gov](mailto:David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov).

Dated: April 29, 2005.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-8853 Filed 5-3-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-34-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

*Agency:* U.S. Census Bureau.

*Title:* 2005 National Census Test Coverage Follow-up.

*Form Number(s):* None (interviews will be conducted via phone using an automated instrument).

*Agency Approval Number:* None.

*Type of Request:* New collection.

*Burden:* 10,000 hours.

*Number of Respondents:* 60,000.

*Avg. Hours Per Response:* 10 minutes.

*Needs and Uses:* The U.S. Census Bureau requests authorization from the Office of Management and Budget to conduct the 2005 National Census Test (NCT) Coverage Followup (CFU) operation.

Improved coverage is one of the four major goals for Census 2010. In preparation for the 2010 Census, the Census Bureau plans to conduct the 2005 CFU operation in conjunction with the 2005 NCT.

For the 2005 CFU operation, we plan to select a sample of respondents for a telephone followup interview. This coverage operation is intended to evaluate new procedures that have been developed to improve coverage and reduce duplication.

The purpose of the 2005 CFU operation is to determine whether respondents in the 2005 NCT included all the appropriate persons on their form and excluded persons who should have been counted elsewhere. The 2005 CFU operation will attempt to assess the accuracy in which respondents report within household coverage using different rostering approaches and coverage questions. The U.S. Census Bureau will conduct the 2005 CFU from November 1, 2005 through March 6, 2006.

The U.S. Census Bureau telephone center staff will interview households selected for 2005 CFU using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). The 2005 CFU CATI instrument will include the ability to conduct interviews in Spanish.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Frequency:* One-time.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

*Legal Authority:* Title 13 U.S.C. 141 and 193.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Susan Schechter, (202) 395-5103.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dhynek@doc.gov](mailto:dhynek@doc.gov)).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed