# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINSTRATION

[Notice (05-073)]

## NASA Sun-Solar System Connection Strategic Roadmap Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Sun-Solar System Connection Strategic Roadmap Committee.

**DATES:** Thursday, May 12, 2005, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., and Friday, May 13, 2005, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. mountain daylight time.

**ADDRESSES:** National Center for Atmospheric Research, 1850 Table Mesa Drive, Boulder, Colorado 80305.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Barbara Giles, 202–358–1762.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the meeting room. Attendees will be requested to sign a register.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

• Reports on Sun-Solar System Connection Roadmap foundation work.

• Review of joint interests with Earth Science Roadmap effort.

• Prioritization of science objectives and missions under study.

Finalize Sun-Solar System

Connection Roadmap documentation. It is imperative that the meeting be

held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

Dated: April 11, 2005.

### P. Diane Rausch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 05–7603 Filed 4–15–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINSTRATION

[Notice (05-075)]

## NASA Universe Exploration Strategic Roadmap Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public

Law 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Universe Exploration Strategic Roadmap Committee.

DATES: Tuesday, May 3, 2005, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Wednesday, May 4, 2005, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Pacific daylight time. ADDRESSES: Crowne Plaza Hotel Seattle, 1113 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Michael Salamon, 202–358–0441.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the meeting room. Attendees will be requested to sign a register.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- Discussion of overall roadmap strategy.
- Discussion of draft roadmap sections.

• Roadmap integration working sessions.

• Plans and assignments for roadmap completion.

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

Dated: April 11, 2005.

P. Diane Rausch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 05–7605 Filed 4–15–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants". 2. Current OMB approval number: 3150–0155. 3. *How often the collection is required:* One-time submission with application for renewal of an operating license for a nuclear power plant and occasional collections for holders of renewed licenses.

4. Who is required or asked to report: Commercial nuclear power plant licensees who wish to renew their operating licenses.

<sup>5</sup>. *The number of annual respondents:* 17 respondents.

6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: Approximately 148,000 hours (128,000 hours one-time reporting burden and 20,000 hours recordkeeping burden).

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 54 of the NRC regulations, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licensees for Nuclear Power Plants," specifies the procedures, criteria, and standards governing nuclear power plant license renewal, including information submittal and recordkeeping requirements, so that the NRC may make determinations that extension of the license term will continue to ensure the health and safety of the public.

Submit, by June 17, 2005, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/ doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton (T–5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, by telephone at 301–415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail to *INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.* 

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of April 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services. [FR Doc. 05–7656 Filed 4–17–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

#### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-213]

## Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Related to Exemption of Material for Proposed Disposal Procedures for the Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company License DPR–061, East Hampton, CT

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Theodore Smith, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop T7E18, Washington, DC 20555–00001. Telephone: (301) 415–6721; e-mail tbs1@nrc.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is considering a September 16, 2004, request by the **Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power** Company (CYAPCO or Licensee), License DPR-61, to dispose of demolition debris from decommissioning the Haddam Neck Plant (HNP) in East Hampton, Connecticut. The request was submitted pursuant to Section 20.2002 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 20.2002), "Method of Obtaining Approval of Proposed Disposal Procedures." The licensee proposes to demonstrate that the material is acceptable for burial at a Subtitle C, **Resource Conservation and Recovery** Act (RCRA) hazardous waste disposal facility in accordance with 10 CFR 20.2002. The RCRA facility is regulated by the State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, and any disposal must comply with State requirements. This action, if approved, would also exempt the slightly contaminated material from further Atomic Energy Act (AEA) and NRC licensing requirements. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this proposed action

in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 51. Based on the EA, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate.

#### **II. Environmental Assessment**

#### Background

The waste material (the demolition debris) intended for disposal includes flooring materials, concrete, rebar, roofing materials, structural steel, soils associated with digging up foundations, and concrete and/or pavement or other similar solid materials. Soils remediated for the purpose of meeting the final status survey requirements of the HNP License Termination Plan (LTP) (i.e., exceed the Derived Concentration Guideline Levels [DCGL] in the LTP) are not included in this action. CYAPCO intends to scabble off surface concrete where contamination or activation levels are high, and to dispose of this material at radioactive waste disposal facilities. The demolition debris will originate from the destruction and removal of structures and paved surfaces at the HNP site, after the structure/surface has been decontaminated to remove areas that are highly contaminated. The underlying soil will be surveyed in accordance with CYAPCO's LTP.

The physical form of this demolition debris will be that of bulk material of various sizes ranging from the size of sand grains up to occasional monoliths with a volume of several cubic feet. The material will be dry solid waste containing no absorbents or chelating agents. The mass of demolition debris originating from the decommissioning of the HNP is estimated to be approximately 45,000 metric tons (50,000 tons). After compaction, the estimated volume of material to be disposed of is approximately 30,500 cubic meters (40,000 cubic yards).

The licensee has demonstrated by calculation that the potential dose consequence is less than 30 microsieverts per year ( $\mu$ Sv/y) (3.0 millirem per year [mrem/y]), as a result of the proposed burial of demolition debris in a RCRA facility.

## **Proposed Action**

The proposed action would approve the removal of approximately 45,000 metric tons (50,000 tons) of demolition debris from the HNP, transportation of the debris, and disposition of the debris at the U.S. Ecology facility in Grand View, Idaho. The proposed action also would exempt the low-contamination material from further Atomic Energy Act and NRC licensing requirements. The licensee has conservatively assumed a radionuclide inventory for the demolition debris and calculated the potential dose as less than 30 microsieverts per year ( $\mu$ Sv/y) (3.0 millirem per year [mrem/y]), if all the material were disposed of in such a facility. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated September 16, 2004, and supplements dated December 17, 2004, March 1, 2005, and March 29, 2005, requesting approval.

#### Need for Proposed Action

The licensee needs to dispose of 45,000 metric tons (50,000 tons) of demolition debris since the HNP site is currently undergoing licensed decontamination and decommissioning in accordance with the LTP. Characterization and conservative modeling of the material to be included as demolition debris have been used to develop overall averages for radionuclide concentrations. These averages are listed below in Table 1. The licensee proposes to dispose of 45,000 metric tons (50,000 tons) of demolition debris at U.S. Ecology, Idaho, which is a Subtitle C, RCRA hazardous waste disposal facility. This proposed action, would also require NRC to exempt the slightly contaminated material authorized for disposal from further AEA and NRC licensing requirements.

# TABLE 1.—OVERALL RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS

Radionuclide	Average concentra- tion in becquerel per gram (Bq/g)	Average concentra- tion in picoCuries per gram (pCi/g)
H-3 C-14 Mn-54	9.7e+00 3.6e-01 6.3e-05	2.6e+02 9.7e+00 1.7e-03
Fe-55	5.2e-03	1.4e-01
Co-60	1.0e-02	2.8e-01
Ni-63	6.3e-02	1.7e+00
Sr-90	1.1e-03	3.0e-02
Nb-94	4.8e-05	1.3e-03
Tc-99	2.4e-04	6.5e-03
Ag-108m	7.4e-05	2.0e-03
Cs-134	1.8e-04	4.9e-03
Cs-137	3.6e-02	9.7e-01
Eu-152	1.9e-04	5.0e-03
Eu-154	1.4e-04	3.8e-03
Eu-155	1.4e-04	3.9e-03
Pu-238	1.4e-04	3.7e-03
Pu-239	4.4e-05	1.2e-03
Pu-241	1.9e-03	5.1e-02
Am-241	2.4e-04	6.6e-03
Cm-243	4.1e-05	1.1e-03

#### Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Alternatives to the proposed action include: (1) Taking no action, (2)