

carrier reporting requirements will not recur for a long time.”

RAA observed that “[t]he length and complexity of the NPRM require more time for a proper analysis of the proposal” and ATPCO asserted that “[a] 90 day extension is reasonable given the scope of the suggested changes and the need for careful consideration of implications of those changes prior to the submission of comments on the NPRM.” ACI-NA agreed, stating that “granting ATA’s motion would give DOT a more extensive, thorough and considered record on which to base its decisions without unduly delaying the rulemaking process.” ARC noted that “an extension will enable the parties to submit comments that more fully respond to the NPRM but will not unduly delay the rulemaking process.”

Because it appears that an extension of the comment period to allow additional time for commenters to address the proposals in the NPRM would be beneficial and in the public interest, we are allowing an additional 90 days for submission of comments, which should be sufficient to accommodate commenters’ need for additional time.

*Electronic Access:* You can view and download this NPRM and any of the comments by going to the website of the Department’s Docket Management System <http://dms.dot.gov/>. On that page, click on “simple search.” On the next page, type in the last four digits of the docket number shown on the first page of this document, 4043. Then click on “search.” An electronic copy of this document also may be downloaded from <http://regulations.gov> and from the Government Printing Office’s Electronic Bulletin Board Service at (202) 512–1661. Internet users may reach the Office of the Federal Register’s home page at: [http://www.archives.gov/federal\\_register/index.html](http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/index.html) and the Government Printing Office’s database at: <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/index.html>. Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the Department’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 13, 2005.

**Jeffrey Rosen,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 05–7772 Filed 4–14–05; 12:07 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4910–62–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### 26 CFR Parts 1 and 301

[REG–125443–01]

RIN 1545–AY92

#### Revisions to Regulations Relating to Withholding of Tax on Certain U.S. Source Income Paid to Foreign Persons and Revisions of Information Reporting Regulations; Correction

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to proposed regulations and notice of public hearing that were published in the **Federal Register** on March 30, 2005 (70 FR 16189). This regulation relates to the withholding of income tax under sections 1441 and 1442 on certain U.S. source income paid to foreign persons and related requirements governing collection, deposit, refunds, and credits of withheld amounts under sections 1461 through 1463.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ethan Atticks, (202) 622–3840 (not a toll-free number).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The proposed regulations that are the subject of these corrections are under sections 1441 and 1442 of the Internal Revenue Code.

##### Need for Correction

As published, the notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing contains errors that may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

##### Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking (REG–125443–01), which was the subject of FR Doc. 05–6060, is corrected as follows:

1. On page 16189, column 3, in the preamble under the caption “DATES”, lines five and six, the language “hearing

scheduled for July 13, 2005, at 10 a.m. must be received by June 22,” is corrected to read “hearing scheduled for July 20, 2005, at 10 a.m. must be received by June 29,”.

2. On page 16192, column 2, in the preamble under the paragraph heading “*Comments and Public Hearing*”, second paragraph, line two, the language “for July 13, 2005, beginning at 10 a.m.” is corrected to read “for July 20, 2005, beginning at 10 a.m.”.

3. On page 16192, column 3, in the preamble under the paragraph heading “*Comments and Public Hearing*”, first full paragraph, line 8, the language “Wednesday, June 8. A period of 10” is corrected to read “Wednesday, June 29, 2005. A period of 10”.

**Guy R. Traynor,**

*Acting Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).*

[FR Doc. 05–7637 Filed 4–15–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830–01–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 300

[FRL–7900–2]

#### National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Delete the RCA Del Caribe Superfund Site from the National Priorities List.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 2 is issuing this notice of intent to delete the RCA Del Caribe Superfund Site (Site), located in Barceloneta, Puerto Rico, from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comment on this action. The NPL is appendix B of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR part 300, which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended. The EPA and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, through the Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board, have determined that the release poses no significant threat to public health or the environment and, therefore, taking of remedial measures is not appropriate. In the “Rules and Regulations” section of today’s **Federal Register**, we are