

quantified and are not likely substantially to alter costs to small businesses.

• *No Takings Implications.*

This directive has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12630, and it has been determined that the directive will not pose the risk of a taking of private property.

• *Civil Justice Reform.*

This directive has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988 on civil justice reform. If this directive were adopted, (1) all State and local laws and regulations that are in conflict with this directive or that would impede its full implementation will be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect will be given to this directive; and (3) it will not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging its provisions.

• *Federalism and Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments.*

The agency has considered this directive under the requirements of Executive Order 13132 on federalism, and has made an assessment that the directive conforms with the federalism principles set out in this executive order; will not impose any compliance costs on the States; and will not have substantial direct effects on the States, the relationship between the Federal Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, the agency has determined that no further assessment of federalism implications is necessary at this time.

Moreover, this directive does not have tribal implications as defined by Executive Order 13175, entitled "Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments," and therefore advance consultation with Tribes is not required.

• *Energy Effects.*

This directive has been reviewed under Executive Order 13211, entitled "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use." It has been determined that this directive does not constitute a significant energy action as defined in the executive order.

• *Unfunded Mandates.*

Pursuant to Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538), which the President signed into law on March 22, 1995, the agency has assessed the effects of this directive on State, local, and Tribal governments and the private sector. This directive will not compel the expenditure of \$100 million or more by any State, local, or

Tribal government or anyone in the private sector. Therefore, a statement under section 202 of the act is not required.

• *Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public.*

This directive does not contain any recordkeeping or reporting requirements or other information collection requirements as defined in 5 U.S.C. part 1320 that are not already required by law or not already approved for use. Any information collected from the public as a result of this action has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0596–0082. Accordingly, the review provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 do not apply.

Dated: March 31, 2005.

**Dale N. Bosworth,**  
Chief.

4. *Directive Changes for Outfitter and Guides*

**Note:** The Forest Service organizes its directive system by alphanumeric codes and subject headings. Only those sections of the Forest Service Handbook that are the subject of this notice are set out here. The intended audience for this direction is Forest Service employees charged with issuing and administering outfitter and guide special use permits.

**Forest Service Handbook**  
**2709.11–Special Uses Handbook**

Chapter 40–Special Uses  
Administration

\* \* \* \* \*

41.53 Outfitters and Guides

\* \* \* \* \*

41.53c Definitions

\* \* \* \* \*

*Priority Use.* Authorization of use for a period not to exceed ten years. The amount of use is based on the holder's past use and performance and on land management plan allocations. Except as provided for in Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, part 251, subpart E, authorizations providing for priority use are subject to renewal (sec. 41.53f).

\* \* \* \* \*

41.53h—Assignment and Management  
of Priority Use

\* \* \* \* \*

2. \* \* \*

a. Use may be based on the average of the highest two years of actual use during the previous permit term.

\* \* \* \* \*

41.53j—Permit Terms and Conditions

1. For new applicants, authorize use for up to one year. For holders assigned priority use, use may be authorized for up to ten years.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 05–7488 Filed 4–13–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A–570–863]

**Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Honey from the People's Republic of China**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 14, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anya Naschak or Kristina Boughton, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone; (202) 482–6375 and (202) 482–8173, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review on honey from the People's Republic of China on December 27, 2004, which included a decision to extend the final results deadline by 30 days until May 26, 2005. See *Honey From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results, Partial Rescission, and Extension of Final Results of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 77184.

**Extension of Time Limits for Final Results**

Pursuant to Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and section 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department shall issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides that the Department shall issue the final results of review within 120 days after the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published in the **Federal Register**. However, if the Department determines that it is not

practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations allow the Department to extend the 245-day period to 365 days and the 120-day period to 180 days. Due to the fact that in this case the Department is conducting verifications subsequent to the preliminary results of the administrative review, although verifications are normally conducted prior to issuing the preliminary results, and therefore requires additional time to complete the verifications and issue its findings, the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the current time limit.

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h) of the Department's regulations allow the Department to extend the deadline for the final results of a review to a maximum of 180 days from the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published. For the reasons noted above, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of these final results until no later than Monday, June 27, 2005, which is the next business day after 180 days from the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: April 8, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-1761 Filed 4-13-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

#### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) Program Evaluation Survey

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), DOC.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (DoC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506 (2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before June 13, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 6625, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dHynek@doc.gov](mailto:dHynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Romain Tweedy, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Manufacturing Extension Partnership, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 4800, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-4800, (301) 975-8824 (phone) (301) 963-6556 (fax), [romain.tweedy@nist.gov](mailto:romain.tweedy@nist.gov) (e-mail).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### I. Abstract

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) sponsors the Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP), a national network of locally based manufacturing extension centers working with small manufacturers to help them improve their productivity, improve profitability and enhance their economic competitiveness.

The specific information obtained from clients about the impact of MEP services is essential for NIST officials to evaluate program strengths and weaknesses and plan improvements in program effectiveness and efficiency. This information is not available from existing programs or other sources. The collection of information is currently conducted by Synovate, Inc. This submission under the Paperwork Reduction Act represents a request for an extension of a currently approved collection.

#### II. Method of Collection

Clients have three options for completing the survey, Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI), Interactive Voice Response (IVR), or via the Internet.

#### III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0693-0021.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 6,500.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 10 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1,083.

*Estimated Annual Cost to the Public:* \$0.

## IV. Requests for Comments

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 8, 2005.

**Gwellnar Banks,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-7473 Filed 4-13-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-CN-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

#### Public Forum on Urban Search and Rescue Robot Performance Standards

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) will host, in conjunction with the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), a public meeting from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. on May 13, 2005 at the NIST campus in Gaithersburg, MD. This meeting pertains to a new DHS Program to develop comprehensive standards related to the development, testing, and certification of effective technologies for Urban Search and Rescue (US&R) robotics. These US&R robotic performance standards cover sensing, mobility, navigation, planning, integration, and operator control in order to ensure that the robots can meet operational requirements under the extremely challenging conditions that rescuers are faced with, including long endurance missions. The standards will also address issues of robotic component interoperability to reduce costs. The US&R robotic standards effort focuses on fostering collaboration between first responders, robot vendors, and technology developers to advance consensus standards for task specific