

information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission on January 7, 2004, based on a complaint filed by Eaton Corporation ("Eaton") of Cleveland, Ohio. 69 FR 937 (January 7, 2004). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain automated mechanical transmission systems for medium-duty and heavy-duty trucks, and components thereof, by reason of infringement of claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 4,899,279 ("the '279 patent"); claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,335,566 ("the '566 patent"); claims 2-4 and 6-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,272,939 ("the '939 patent"); claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 5,624,350 ("the '350 patent"); claims 1, 3, 4, 6-9, 11, 13, 14, 16 and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,149,545 ("the '545 patent"); and claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,066,071 ("the '071 patent").

The complaint and notice of investigation named three respondents ZF Meritor, LLC of Maxton, North Carolina, ZF Friedrichshafen AG of Friedrichshafen, Germany, and ArvinMeritor, Inc. ("ArvinMeritor") of Troy, Michigan.

On July 21, 2004, the Commission issued a notice that it had determined not to review the ALJ's initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 20) terminating the investigation as to the '071 patent and as to claims 2, 3, and 5-20 of the '566 patent, claims 4, 7, and 12 of the '350 patent, and claims 4, 8-9, and 14 of the '545 patent.

On August 11, 2004, the Commission issued a notice that it had determined not to review the ALJ's ID (Order No. 31) terminating the investigation as to the '939 patent and as to claims 10, 11, and 13 of the '350 patent.

On August 16, 2004, the Commission issued a notice that it had determined not to review the ALJ's ID (Order No. 28) that Eaton has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to certain articles it alleges practice the patents at issue in this investigation.

On August 23, 2004, the Commission issued a notice that it had determined not to review the ALJ's ID (Order No. 30) that Eaton did not meet the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement as to the remaining claims, claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, and 9, of the '350 patent, thus terminating the investigation as to that patent.

On September 17, 2004, the Commission issued a notice that it had determined not to review the ALJ's ID (Order No. 38) granting Eaton's partial summary determination that the importation requirement has been met.

On September 23, 2004, the Commission issued a notice that it had determined not to review the ALJ's ID (Order No. 45) granting Eaton's motion for summary determination that it satisfies the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement of section 337 as to its medium-duty automated transmissions. The Commission also issued a notice on September 23, 2004, that it had determined not to review ALJ's ID (Order No. 55) granting Eaton's motion for partial termination of the investigation as to claim 1 of the "566 patent."

On January 7, 2005, the ALJ issued his final ID on violation and his recommended determination on remedy and bonding. The ALJ found a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of claim 15 of the '279 patent by respondents. He found no violation of section 337 regarding the '566 and the '545 patents. Petitions for review were filed by Eaton, the respondents, and the Commission investigative attorney on January 21, 2005. All parties filed responses to the petitions on January 28, 2005.

On February 24, 2005, the Commission issued a notice indicating that it had determined not to review the ALJ's final ID on violation, thereby finding a violation of section 337. The Commission also invited the parties to file written submissions regarding the issues of remedy, the public interest and bonding, and provided a schedule for filing such submissions.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the parties' written submissions and responses thereto, the Commission determined that the appropriate form of relief in this investigation is a limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of automated mechanical transmission systems for medium-duty and heavy-duty trucks, and components thereof covered by claim 15 of the '279 patent. The order covers automated mechanical transmission systems for medium-duty and heavy-duty trucks, and components

thereof that are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of the respondents, or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns. The limited exclusion order does not cover parts necessary to service infringing automated mechanical transmission systems installed on trucks prior to the issuance of the order.

The Commission also determined to issue a cease and desist order prohibiting ArvinMeritor from importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, transferring (except for exportation), and soliciting U.S. agents or distributors for automated mechanical transmission systems for medium-duty and heavy-duty trucks, and components thereof covered by claim 15 of the '279 patent.

The Commission further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in sections 337(d)(1) and (f)(1), 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1) and (f)(1), do not preclude issuance of either the limited exclusion order or the cease and desist order. In addition, the Commission determined that the amount of bond to permit temporary importation during the Presidential review period shall be in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the imported articles. Finally, the Commission determined to deny both the complainant's motion to strike and the respondents' motion for leave to file a surreply. The Commission's orders and opinion in support thereof were delivered to the President on the day of their issuance.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.50).

Issued: April 7, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-7298 Filed 4-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. TA-2103-1]

The Impact of Trade Agreements Implemented Under Trade Promotion Authority

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation and scheduling of public hearing.

SUMMARY: Following receipt on March 31, 2005 of notification from the United States Trade Representative (USTR) on behalf of the President under section 2103(c)(3)(B) of the Trade Act of 2002 (19 U.S.C. 3803(c)(3)(B)), the Commission instituted investigation No. TA-2103-1, The Impact of Trade Agreements Implemented Under Trade Promotion Authority.

Background: As required in section 2103(c)(3)(B) of the Trade Act of 2002 (19 U.S.C. 3803(c)(3)(B)), the Commission must submit a report to the Congress not later than June 1, 2005, that contains a review and analysis of the economic impact on the United States of all trade agreements implemented between the date of enactment of this Act and the date on which the President decides to seek an extension requested under paragraph (2) of section 2103(c).

The only agreements implemented within this time period are free trade agreements with Chile, Singapore, and Australia.

As required by the statute, the Commission will provide its report not later than June 1, 2005.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 31, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Project Manager, Kyle Johnson ((202) 205-3229 or kyle.johnson@usitc.gov), or Deputy Project Manager, Alan Fox ((202) 205-3267 or alan.fox@usitc.gov). For information on the legal aspects of this investigation, contact William Gearhart of the Office of the General Counsel ((202) 205-3091 or william.gearhart@usitc.gov). For media information, contact Peg O'Laughlin ((202) 205-1819). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the TDD terminal on ((202) 205-1810).

Public Hearing: A public hearing in connection with the investigation will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC, beginning at 9:30 a.m. on April 27, 2005. Requests to appear at the public hearing should be filed with the Secretary, no later than 5:15 p.m., April 20, 2005 in accordance with the requirements in the "Submissions" section below. In the event that, as of the close of business on April 20, 2005, no witnesses are scheduled to appear at the hearing, the hearing will be canceled. Any person interested in attending the hearing as an observer or non-participant may call the Secretary ((202) 205-2000) after April

20, 2005, to determine whether the hearing will be held.

Written Submissions: In lieu of or in addition to participating in the hearing, interested parties are invited to submit written statements or briefs concerning the investigation. All written submissions, including requests to appear at the hearing, statements, and briefs should be addressed to the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Any prehearing briefs or statements should be filed not later than 5:15 p.m., April 20, 2005; the deadline for filing post-hearing briefs or statements is 5:15 p.m., May 2, 2005.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.8); any submissions that contain confidential business information must also conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.6). Section 201.8 of the rules require that a signed original (or a copy designated as an original) and fourteen (14) copies of each document be filed. In the event that confidential treatment of the document is requested, at least four (4) additional copies must be filed, in which the confidential information must be deleted. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's Rules (19 CFR 201.8) (see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, ftp://ftp.usitc.gov/pub/reports/electronic_filing_handbook.pdf).

Any submissions that contain CBI must also conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR 201.6). Section 201.6 of the rules requires that the cover of the document and the individual pages clearly be marked as to whether they are the "confidential" or "nonconfidential" version, and that the CBI be clearly identified by means of brackets. All written submissions, except for CBI, will be made available for inspection by interested parties.

The Commission plans to publish only a public report in this investigation. The Commission will not publish confidential business information in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000.

Issued: April 6, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-7289 Filed 4-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 332-467]

Advice Concerning Possible Modifications to the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences, 2004 Special Review

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation and request for public comment

SUMMARY: Following receipt on April 1, 2005 of a request from the United States Trade Representative (USTR) under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332(g)), the Commission instituted investigation No. 332-467, Advice Concerning Possible Modifications to the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences, 2004 Special Review.

Background: As requested by the USTR, under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 and in accordance with section 503(d)(1)(A) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2463(d)(1)(A)), the Commission will provide advice on whether any industry in the United States is likely to be adversely affected by a waiver of the competitive need limits specified in section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act for Indonesia for HTS subheadings 4412.13.40, and 9001.30.00; and for Thailand for HTS subheadings 7113.11.50 and 9009.12.00.

With respect to the competitive need limit in section 503(c)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the 1974 Act, the Commission, as requested, will use the dollar value limit of \$115,000,000.

As requested by the USTR, the Commission will seek to provide its advice not later than May 31, 2005.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 6, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Project Leader, Cynthia B. Foreso (202-205-3348 or cynthia.foreso@usitc.gov).

The above person is in the Commission's Office of Industries. For information on legal aspects of the investigation, contact William Gearhart of the Commission's Office of the General Counsel at 202-205-3091 or william.gearhart@usitc.gov.

Written Submissions: The Commission does not plan to hold a public hearing in this investigation.