

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 70, No. 65

Wednesday, April 6, 2005

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 31, 2005.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13. Comments regarding: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), [OIRA\\_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV](mailto:OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV) or fax (202) 395-5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250-7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720-8681.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to

the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

### Forest Service

*Title:* Public Perceptions of Wildfire Management Within the Southern California Wildland-Urban Interface.

*OMB Control Number:* 0596-NEW.

*Summary of Collection:* Recent wildfires in the Western United States and the resultant public response to the devastation caused by them highlights the need for understanding the human dimensions of forest and wildfire management. Because the impacts of wildland fire extend beyond public land boundaries into the private communities lying on their periphery, understanding their response to the loss of public and private property is important. Public land management agencies need a better understanding of local preferences for management opinions and of community needs, particularly from those residing within the wildland-urban interface. Information will be collected from residents of communities adjacent to a National Forest in Southern California and from visitors to the same National Forest area. The Forest Service (FS) will collect information using a self-administered questionnaire and onsite interviews. The authorities for this collect can be found at Pub. L. 95-307, Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Research Act of 1978 and Pub. L. 108-148, Healthy Forest Initiative and Healthy Forests Restoration Act.

*Need and Use of the Information:* The information collected will provide forest managers with greater understanding of public attitudes, preferences, and behaviors related to the FS' wildland fire management practices and policies, information about respondent's own behavior related to hazard reduction and preparedness, and respondents' knowledge of FS fire management program, such as Firewise.

*Description of Respondents:* Individuals or households; Federal Government.

*Number of Respondents:* 2,000.

*Frequency of Responses:* Reporting: On occasion.

*Total Burden Hours:* 667.

**Ruth Brown,**

*Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-6787 Filed 4-5-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

### Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notices by the Intermountain Region; Utah, Idaho, Nevada, and Wyoming

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by the ranger districts, forests and regional office of the Intermountain Region to publish legal notices required under 36 CFR parts 215, 217, and 218. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions and notices of decision. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions, provide information on the procedures to comment or appeal, and establish the date that the Forest Service will use to determine if comments or appeals were timely.

**DATES:** Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin on or after April 1, 2005. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until October 1, 2005, when another notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Priscilla McLain, Regional Appeals Coordinator, Intermountain Region, 324 25th Street, Ogden, UT 84401, and phone (801) 625-5146.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The administrative procedures at 36 CFR parts 215, 217, and 218 require the Forest Service to publish notices in a newspaper of general circulation. The content of the notices is specified in 36 CFR parts 215, 217 and 218. In general, the notices will identify: The decision or project, by title or subject matter; the name and title of the official making the decision; how to obtain additional

information; and where and how to file comments or appeals. The date the notice is published will be used to establish the official date for the beginning of the comment or appeal period. The newspapers to be used are as follows:

#### **Regional Forester, Intermountain Region**

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Idaho: *Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho.

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Nevada: *Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada.

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Wyoming: *Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming.

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Utah: *Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah

For decisions made by the Regional Forester that affect all National Forests in the Intermountain Region: *Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah.

#### **Ashley National Forest**

Ashley Forest Supervisor decisions: *Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah.

Duchesne District Ranger decisions: *Uinta Basin Standard*, Roosevelt, Utah.

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Wyoming: *Rocket Miner*, Rock Springs, Wyoming.

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Utah: *Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah.

Roosevelt District Ranger decisions: *Uinta Basin Standard*, Roosevelt, Utah.

Vernal District Ranger decisions: *Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah.

#### **Boise National Forest**

Boise Forest Supervisor decisions: *Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho.

Cascade District Ranger decisions: *Long Valley Advocate*, Cascade, Idaho.

Emmett District Ranger decisions: *Messenger-Index*, Emmett, Idaho.

Idaho City District Ranger decisions: *Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho.

Lowman District Ranger decisions: *Idaho World*, Garden Valley, Idaho.

Mountain Home District Ranger decisions: *Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho.

#### **Bridger-Teton National Forest**

Bridger-Teton Forest Supervisor decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming.

Big Piney District Ranger decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming.

Buffalo District Ranger decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming.

Greys River District Ranger decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming.

Jackson District Ranger decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming.

Kemmerer District Ranger decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming.

Pinedale District Ranger decisions: *Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming.

#### **Caribou-Targhee National Forest**

Caribou-Targhee Forest Supervisor decisions for the Caribou portion: *Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho.

Caribou-Targhee Forest Supervisor decisions for the Targhee portion: *Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Ashton District Ranger decisions: *Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Dubois District Ranger decisions: *Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Island Park District Ranger decisions: *Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Montpelier District Ranger decisions: *Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho.

Palisades District Ranger decisions: *Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Soda Springs District Ranger decisions: *Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho.

Teton Basin District Ranger decisions: *Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Westside District Ranger decisions: *Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho.

#### **Dixie National Forest**

Dixie Forest Supervisor decisions: *Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah.

Cedar City District Ranger decisions: *Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah.

Escalante District Ranger decisions: *Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah.

Pine Valley District Ranger decisions: *Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah.

Powell District Ranger decisions: *Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah.

Teasdale District Ranger decisions: *Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah.

#### **Fishlake National Forest**

Fishlake Forest Supervisor decisions: *Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah.

Beaver District Ranger decisions: *Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah.

Fillmore District Ranger decisions: *Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah.

Loa District Ranger decisions: *Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah.

Richfield District Ranger decisions: *Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah.

#### **Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forests**

Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor decisions for the Humboldt portion: *Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada.

Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor decisions for the Toiyabe portion: *Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada.

Austin District Ranger decisions: *The Battle Mountain Bugle*, Battle Mountain, Nevada.

Bridgeport District Ranger decisions: *Mammoth Times*, Mammoth Lakes, California.

Carson District Ranger decisions: *Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada.

Ely District Ranger decisions: *The Ely Times*, Ely, Nevada.

Jarbidge District Ranger decisions: *Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada.

Mountain City District Ranger decisions: *Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada.

Ruby Mountains District Ranger decisions: *Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada.

Santa Rosa District Ranger decisions: *Humboldt Sun*, Winnemucca, Nevada.

Spring Mountains National Recreation Area District Ranger decisions: *Las Vegas Review Journal*, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Tonopah District Ranger decisions: *Tonopah Times Bonanza-Goldfield News*, Tonopah, Nevada.

#### **Manti-LaSal National Forest**

Manti-LaSal Forest Supervisor decisions: *Sun Advocate*, Price, Utah.

Ferron District Ranger decisions: *Emery County Progress*, Castle Dale, Utah.

Moab District Ranger decisions: *Times Independent*, Moab, Utah.

Monticello District Ranger decisions: *San Juan Record*, Monticello, Utah.

Price District Ranger decisions: *Sun Advocate*, Price, Utah.

Sanpete District Ranger decisions: *Sanpete Messenger*, Manti, Utah.

#### **Payette National Forest**

Payette Forest Supervisor decisions: *Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho.

Council District Ranger decisions: *Adams County Record*, Council, Idaho.

Krassel District Ranger decisions: *Star News*, McCall, Idaho.

McCall District Ranger decisions: *Star News*, McCall, Idaho.

New Meadows District Ranger decisions: *Star News*, McCall, Idaho.

Weiser District Ranger decisions: *Signal American*, Weiser, Idaho.

#### **Salmon-Challis National Forest**

Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor decisions for the Salmon portion: *The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho.

Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor decisions for the Challis portion: *The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho.

Challis District Ranger decisions: *The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho.

Leadore District Ranger decisions: *The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho.

Lost River District Ranger decisions: *The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho.

Middle Fork District Ranger decisions: *The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho.

North Fork District Ranger decisions: *The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho.

Salmon/Cobalt District Ranger decisions: *The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho.

Yankee Fork District Ranger decisions: *The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho.

#### Sawtooth National Forest

Sawtooth Forest Supervisor decisions: *The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho.

Fairfield District Ranger decisions: *The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho.

Ketchum District Ranger decisions: *Idaho Mountain Express*, Ketchum, Idaho.

Minidoka District Ranger decisions: *The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho.

Sawtooth National Recreation Area: *The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho.

#### Uinta National Forest

Uinta Forest Supervisor decisions: *The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah.

Heber District Ranger decisions: *The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah.

Pleasant Grove District Ranger decisions: *The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah.

Spanish Fork District Ranger decisions: *The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah.

#### Wasatch-Cache National Forest

Wasatch-Cache Forest Supervisor decisions: *Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Evanston District Ranger decisions: *Uinta County Herald*, Evanston, Wyoming.

Kamas District Ranger decisions: *Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Logan District Ranger decisions: *Logan Herald Journal*, Logan, Utah.

Mountain View District Ranger decisions: *Uinta County Herald*, Evanston, Wyoming.

Ogden District Ranger decisions: *Ogden Standard Examiner*, Ogden, Utah.

Salt Lake District Ranger decisions: *Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Dated: March 23, 2005.

**Jack G. Troyer,**

*Regional Forester.*

[FR Doc. 05-6756 Filed 4-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Forest-Wide Integrated Weed Management, Lolo National Forest; Missoula, Mineral, Sanders, Granite, Lewis and Clark, Flathead, Ravalli, Lake and Powell Counties, MT

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Revised Notice: intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).

**SUMMARY:** This is a revision of a Notice of Intent originally published in the **Federal Register** on August 4, 2004. This revision also hereby withdraws another Notice of Intent, originally published in the **Federal Register** on August 31, 2004, and incorporates that project into this one.

The original Notice of August 4, 2004 for the "Forest-Wide Integrated Weed Management" project stated the Forest Service would prepare an environmental impact statement to address noxious weeds on a maximum of 15,000 acres per year on the Lolo National Forest, using aerial and ground application of herbicides, biological and manual control methods.

The Notice of August 31, 2004 for the "Pattee Canyon Weed Management Project" stated the Forest Service would prepare an environmental impact statement to control invasive weeds on approximately 2,500 acres of land near Missoula, Montana, using aerial and ground applications of herbicides, biological control agents, and revegetation.

This revision withdraws the "Pattee Canyon Weed Management Project" as a separate project, and combines it into the "Forest-Wide Integrated Weed Management" project. The reason for the change is to make the analysis more efficient, because the "Pattee Canyon Weed Management Project" area is wholly within the "Forest-Wide Integrated Weed Management" project area, because the proposed weed management methods and aims are the same for both projects, and because undertaking two analyses would be less efficient.

The issues identified in the August 31, 2004 **Federal Register** Notice for the "Pattee Canyon Weed Management Project" included the effectiveness of the proposed treatments, potential risks to human health and safety associated with herbicides, and the potential adverse effects of herbicides on native vegetation.

The August 4, 2004 **Federal Register** Notice for the "Forest-Wide Integrated

Weed Management" project did not list any specific issues. However, based on public scoping, the issues identified for the "Pattee Canyon Weed Management Project" apply to the "Forest-Wide Integrated Weed Management" project as well. The public comments received for the "Pattee Canyon Weed Management Project" will be incorporated into the "Forest-Wide Integrated Weed Management" project. The August 4, 2004 **Federal Register** Notice is hereby amended to include them.

The August 4, 2004 Notice is further amended to also include the following additional issues:

1. Recognizing that invasive weeds are spreading rapidly in Western Montana, what effect will invasive weeds have on wildlife and native plant communities?

2. What potential adverse effects might herbicides have on wildlife, fish and water quality?

**DATES:** Comments concerning this revision should be received in writing within 30 days of this Notice's publication. Persons who have already commented on either the original Notices of Intent do not need to resubmit those comments.

**ADDRESSES:** The responsible official is Deborah L. R. Austin, Forest Supervisor, Lolo National Forest, Supervisor's Office, Building 24, Fort Missoula; Missoula, MT 59804. Phone (406) 329-3797.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andy Kulla, Resource Assistant, Missoula Ranger District, at (406) 329-3962. Please direct written comments to him at Missoula Ranger District; Building 24A, Fort Missoula; Missoula, MT 59804.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Further information about the proposal can be found in the original Notice of Intent. Another formal opportunity to comment will be provided following completion of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The DEIS will be available for public review by the fall of 2005. The Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) will be filed by the fall of 2006. The responsible official will make a decision on this proposal after considering comments and responses, environmental consequences discussed in the FEIS and applicable laws, regulations and policies. The decision and reasons for the decision will be documented in a Record of Decision.