

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51440; File No. SR-FICC-2004-23]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change To Change the Notice Period Required for the Closing of Participant Accounts or Withdrawing From Membership in Its Mortgage-Backed Securities Division

March 28, 2005.

#### I. Introduction

On November 22, 2004, the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation ("FICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") proposed rule change File No. SR-FICC-2004-23 pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act").<sup>1</sup> Notice of the proposed rule change was published in the **Federal Register** on February 22, 2005.<sup>2</sup> No comment letters were received. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is now granting approval of the proposed rule change.

#### II. Description

The purpose of the rules change is to amend the timeframe in which a participant, limited purpose participant, or EPN user can cease to maintain an account or can voluntarily withdraw as a participant from the Mortgage-Backed Securities Division ("MBSD") of FICC.

Currently, the MBSD's Rules expressly state that in order to cease to maintain an account or to voluntarily withdraw as a participant, a participant must notify FICC of its intent to do so in writing and that thereafter FICC management and the participant must wait ten days for the cessation or withdrawal to become effective. Upon review, FICC has determined that imposing this mandatory time period is unnecessary. FICC believes it should have the flexibility to close an account or to permit withdrawal within a shorter period. The rule changes provide this flexibility by providing that: (1) A participant must provide ten days' written notice of account cessation or withdrawal from membership but the MBSD can accept termination within a shorter period; (2) the requested account cessation or withdrawal would not be effective until accepted by the MBSD; and (3) the MBSD's acceptance will be evidenced by a notice to all members

announcing the account cessation or withdrawal effective date.

#### III. Discussion

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible.<sup>3</sup> The Commission finds that FICC's proposed rule change is consistent with this requirement because while it will provide the FICC with greater flexibility with respect to closing accounts of participants and to permitting the voluntary withdrawal of participants, it has been designed with sufficient safeguards to allow the MBSD to continue to safeguard the securities and funds in its custody and control or for which it is responsible.

#### IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular section 17A of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>4</sup> that the proposed rule change (File No. SR-FICC-2004-23) be and hereby is approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>5</sup>

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E5-1457 Filed 3-31-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

#### Public Meeting on Implementation of the North American Standard for Cargo Securement

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** FMCSA announces a public meeting concerning implementation of the North American Standard for Protection Against Shifting or Falling Cargo. The meeting's purpose is to discuss a process for ensuring consistent interpretation of the harmonized cargo securement standards by FMCSA and

the Canadian Provinces, such as interpretation issues raised by U.S. enforcement agencies and motor carriers and potential implementation issues for Canadian Provinces and motor carriers operating in Canada.

On September 27, 2002, FMCSA published a final rule revising its regulations on cargo securement for commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) operated in interstate commerce; and motor carriers were given until January 1, 2004 to comply with the new regulations. The agency's new standards were based on the North American Cargo Securement Standard Model Regulations, which reflected at the time the results of a multi-year comprehensive research program to evaluate U.S. and Canadian cargo securement regulations, motor carrier industry best practices, and recommendations from public meetings involving U.S. and Canadian industry experts, Federal, State, and Provincial enforcement officials, and other interested parties. Since then, Canada's Council of Ministers Responsible for Transportation and Highway Safety approved a new National Safety Code Standard for cargo securement (September 23, 2004). Full implementation of Canada's new cargo securement requirements is expected by this summer.

**DATES:** April 21-22, 2005. The public meeting begins on April 21, from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., and on April 22, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting is at the Albuquerque Convention Center, 401 Second Street NW., Albuquerque, New Mexico.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Larry W. Minor, Director of the Office of Bus and Truck Standards and Operations, FMCSA, phone (202) 366-4009; FAX to (202) 366-8842; or e-mail: [Larry.Minor@fmcsa.dot.gov](mailto:Larry.Minor@fmcsa.dot.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

FMCSA published a final rule revising its regulations concerning protection against shifting and falling cargo for CMVs operated in interstate commerce, on September 27, 2002 (67 FR 61212). Motor carriers had until January 1, 2004 to comply with the new regulations. The regulations were intended to reduce the number of crashes caused by cargo shifting on or within, or falling from, CMVs operating in interstate commerce, and to harmonize U.S., Canadian, and Mexican cargo securement regulations. On September 23, 2004, Canada's Council of Ministers Responsible for

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51206 (February 15, 2005), 70 FR 8648.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Transportation and Highway Safety approved a new National Safety Code Standard for cargo securement. The new Canadian securement requirements are expected to be fully implemented by this summer, 2005.

### Maintaining Uniformity Between U.S. and Canadian Cargo Securement Standards

FMCSA believes it is necessary to continue working with U.S. and Canadian industry experts, Federal, State, and Provincial enforcement officials and other interested parties to maintain harmonization of U.S. and Canadian cargo securement standards. A major part of this effort includes uniformity in interpreting the meaning of requirements adopted by the U.S. and Canada. While there are some differences between certain provisions adopted by FMCSA and Canada's National Safety Code Standard 10, the contents of most of the Model Regulations have been adopted, or will be adopted shortly, by almost all jurisdictions in the U.S. and Canada. To ensure consistency in the interpretation and enforcement of the requirements, FMCSA is working with its Canadian partners to develop a process for sharing information about requests for interpretation, and exchanging technical information that will be helpful to the regulatory agencies in developing their responses to such requests. FMCSA will continue to work with its Canadian partners to ensure that interpretations are provided in an efficient and timely manner to all interested parties.

As a first step, FMCSA is holding a public meeting to provide all interested parties an opportunity to participate in discussions between the agency and its Canadian counterparts about interpretations and other implementation issues.

### Additional Meeting Information

The meeting is on April 21–22, 2005, at the Albuquerque Convention Center (Enchantment 1 Room), 401 Second Street NW., Albuquerque, New Mexico. On April 21, the meeting is from 1 to 5 p.m.; and on April 22, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. This meeting is free of charge and open to all interested parties.

The public meeting is being held immediately after the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance's (CVSA's) 2005 Annual Conference ends. If you are interested in attending CVSA's conference sessions and committee meetings, you must register with CVSA and pay the appropriate registration fee. For further information about registering, you should contact CVSA at (202) 775-1623.

Issued on: March 28, 2005.

Annette M. Sandberg,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 05-6488 Filed 3-31-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

#### Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of denials.

**SUMMARY:** The FMCSA announces its denial of 102 applications from individuals who requested an exemption from the Federal vision standard applicable to interstate truck drivers and the reasons for the denials. The FMCSA has statutory authority to exempt individuals from the vision standard if the exemptions granted will not compromise safety. The agency has concluded that granting these exemptions does not provide a level of safety that will equal or exceed the level of safety maintained without the exemptions for these commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Mary D. Gunnels, Office of Bus and Truck Standards and Operations, (MC-PSD) 202-366-4001, Department of Transportation, FMCSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. Office hours are 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e), FMCSA may grant an exemption from the Federal vision standard for a two-year period if it finds such an exemption would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level that would be achieved absent such an exemption (49 CFR 381.305(a)).

Accordingly, FMCSA evaluated 102 individual exemption requests on their merits and made a determination that these applicants do not satisfy the criteria established to demonstrate that granting an exemption is likely to achieve an equal or greater level of safety than exists without the exemption. Each applicant has, prior to this notice, received a letter of final disposition on his/her exemption request. Those decision letters fully outlined the basis for the denial and

constitute final agency action. The list published today summarizes the agency's recent denials as required under 49 U.S.C. § 31315(b)(4) by periodically publishing names and reasons for denials.

The following 52 applicants lacked sufficient recent driving experience during the three-year period prior to the date of their application:

Adkins, William I.  
Alejandro, Pablo  
Archambault, Gary E.  
Ash, Frederick J.  
Barber, Jr., Lonnie D.  
Bors, Allen G.  
Brooks, Marvin L.  
Burlitch, Donald L.  
Cleveland, Nathan P.  
Collins, Gary L.  
Cooper, Gregory L.  
Culverwell, Gerald L.  
Delain, Lash L.  
Dozier, Clifton  
Durer, James F.  
Else, Gerald G.  
Fischer, Matthew A.  
Frampton, James A.  
Gilleland, David J.  
Gravely, Donald G.  
Graves, Thomas  
Haas, Kenneth L.  
Hamilton, Jeffery A.  
Hill, Ray C.  
Hummel, Patrick B.  
Jackman, Norman  
Johnson, James L.  
Kelly, Danny J.  
Kopeshke, Edward M.  
Kuhr, Howard G.  
Lana, Carmelo  
Langford, William D.  
Leven, Hugh  
Logue, William H.  
Lohrbach, Carl A.  
May, Timothy G.  
Merritt, Russell S.  
Nickel V, William F.  
Pitta, Jr., Joe  
Polen, Floyd L.  
Provencher, Edwin J.  
Roslansky, Daniel F.  
Rushing, Rodger D.  
Schmitt, Stephen E.  
Silver, Sylvester  
Smith, Jr., Eddie J.  
Smith, James A.  
Tapp, Carolyn O.  
Towner, John C.  
Turner, Glen V.  
Wright, Forrest L.  
Zoeller, David D.

The following seven applicants do not have experience operating a CMV and presented no evidence from which FMCSA can conclude that granting the exemption is likely to achieve a level of safety equal to that existing without the exemption: