

- administer the water quality standards program (40 CFR 131.8).
- Information a State or Tribe must submit if it chooses to exercise a dispute resolution mechanism for disputes between States and Tribes over water quality standards on common water bodies (40 CFR 131.7).
- Information related to public participation requirements during State and Tribal review and revision of water quality standards (40 CFR 131.20). States and Tribes must hold public hearings as part of their triennial reviews, and make any proposed standards and supporting analyses available to the public before the hearing.

The Regulation establishes specific additional requirements for water quality standards and their implementation in the waters of the Great Lakes system, contained in the Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System (40 CFR part 132). This portion of the Regulation includes the following requirements for information collection: bioassay tests to support the development of water quality criteria; studies to identify and provide information on antidegradation control measures that will guard against the reduction of water quality in the Great Lakes system; and information collection and record keeping activities associated with analyses and reporting to request regulatory relief from Guidance requirements. The Guidance includes additional information collections that are addressed in separate Information Collection Requests for the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who

are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Burden Statement:** The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 856 hours per response annually. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: March 10, 2005.

**Mary T. Smith,**

*Acting Director, Office of Science and Technology.*

[FR Doc. 05-5613 Filed 3-21-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Regional Docket Nos. II-2002-09, II-2003-01, II-2003-02; FRL-7887-8]

### Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petitions for Objection to State Operating Permits for Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Inc.; Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Park Facility; and Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Power and Steam Generation Plant

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final orders, addressing three State operating permits.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces that the EPA Administrator has addressed three citizen petitions asking EPA to object to operating permits issued to three facilities by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). Specifically, the Administrator has partially granted and partially denied three petitions submitted by the New York Public Interest Research Group

(NYPIRG) to object to the state operating permits issued to Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Inc., Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Park Facility, and Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Power and Steam Generation Plant. Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (Act), petitioner may seek judicial review of those portions of the petitions which EPA denied in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit. Any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days from the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 307 of the Act.

**ADDRESSES:** You may review copies of the final orders, the petitions, and other supporting information at the EPA Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. If you wish to examine these documents, you should make an appointment at least 24 hours before visiting day. Additionally, the final orders are available electronically at: <http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitiondb.htm>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Riva, Chief, Permitting Section, Air Programs Branch, Division of Environmental Planning and Protection, EPA Region 2, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, telephone (212) 637-4074.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review, and object to as appropriate, operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator within 60 days after the expiration of this review period to object to state operating permits if EPA has not done so. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise such issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

#### I. Bristol-Myers Squibb

On September 12, 2002, the EPA received a petition from NYPIRG, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the title V operating permit for Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Inc. (BMS). On February 18, 2005, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the BMS petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NYSDEC must: (1) Explain in the statement of basis the scope of the Operational Flexibility Plan and the part

70 provisions it implements; re-open the permit to ensure that conditions 6, 7, and 8 are consistent with the requirements of part 70 and NYSDEC's approved title V program; and revise the permit to clarify that changes made at the BMS facility pursuant to the Operational Flexibility Plan shall not be eligible for the permit shield; (2) revise the "general permittee obligations" section of the permit relative to the annual compliance certification requirements; and (3) add appropriate periodic monitoring in certain permit conditions. The order also explains EPA's reasons for denying NYPIRG's remaining claims.

## II. Kodak Park

On April 1, 2003, the EPA received a petition from NYPIRG, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the title V operating permit for the Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Park Facility. On February 18, 2005, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the Kodak Park petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NYSDEC must: (1) Explain in the statement of basis the scope of the Operational Flexibility Plan and the part 70 provisions it implements; re-open the permit to ensure that condition 8 is consistent with the requirements of part 70 and NYSDEC's approved title V program; and revise the permit to clarify that changes made at the Kodak facility pursuant to the Operational Flexibility Plan shall not be eligible for the permit shield; (2) revise the "general permittee obligations" section of the permit relative to the annual compliance certification requirements; (3) add appropriate periodic monitoring in certain permit conditions; and (4) move the sulfur dioxide provisions of 6 NYCRR 212.4(a) for U-00063 to the federal/state side of the permit. The order also explains EPA's reasons for denying NYPIRG's remaining claims.

## III. Kodak Power

On April 1, 2003, the EPA received a petition from NYPIRG, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the title V operating permit for the Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Power and Steam Generation Plant. On February 18, 2005, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the Kodak Power petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NYSDEC must reopen the permit to: (1) Explain in the statement of basis the scope of the Operational Flexibility Plan and the part 70 provisions it implements; re-open the permit to ensure that condition 8 is

consistent with the requirements of part 70 and NYSDEC's approved title V program; and revise the permit to clarify that changes made at the Kodak facility pursuant to the Operational Flexibility Plan shall not be eligible for the permit shield; and (2) revise the "general permittee obligations" section of the permit relative to the annual compliance certification requirements. The order also explains EPA's reasons for denying NYPIRG's remaining claims.

Dated: March 10, 2005.

**George Pavlou,**

*Acting Deputy Regional Administrator,  
Region 2.*

[FR Doc. 05-5615 Filed 3-21-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7887-4]

### Gulf of Mexico Program Management Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), EPA gives notice of a meeting of the Gulf of Mexico Program (GMP) Management Committee (MC).

**DATES:** Special Partnership Presentations will be held on Tuesday, April 12, 2005, from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. and the meeting will be held on Wednesday, April 13, 2005, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Omni Royal Orleans Hotel, 621 Saint Louis Street, New Orleans, LA 70140 (504-529-5333).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gloria D. Car, Designated Federal Officer, Gulf of Mexico Program Office, Mail Code EPA/GMPO, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-6000 at (228) 688-2421.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Proposed agenda topics include: Gulf States Priority Initiatives Report; Non-Government Partnership Reports on GMP Focused Objectives and Key Initiatives; Focus Area Status Reports and Comprehensive Meeting Recommendations. The meeting is open to the public.

Dated: March 14, 2005.

**Gloria D. Car,**

*Designated Federal Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-5612 Filed 3-21-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket Number ORD-2005-0002; FRL-7887-6]

### Board of Scientific Counselors, Human Health Subcommittee Meeting—April 2005

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development (ORD), announces a meeting (via conference call) of the Board of Scientific Counselors (BOSC) Human Health Subcommittee.

**DATES:** The conference call will be held Friday, April 8, 2005, from 3 p.m. to 5 p.m. eastern standard time (e.s.t.), and may adjourn early if all business is completed.

**ADDRESSES:** *Conference call:* Participation in the conference call will be by teleconference only—meeting rooms will not be used. Members of the public may obtain the call-in number and access code for the call from Virginia Houk, whose contact information is listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice.

### Document Availability

The draft agenda for the conference call is available from Virginia Houk, whose contact information is listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice. Requests for the draft agenda will be accepted up to 2 business days prior to the date of the conference call. The draft agenda also can be viewed through EDOCKET, as provided in Unit I.A. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

Any member of the public interested in making an oral presentation at the conference call may contact Virginia Houk, whose contact information is listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice. Requests for making oral presentations will be accepted up to 2 business days prior to the conference call date. In general, each individual making an oral presentation will be limited to a total of three minutes.

### Submitting Comments

Written comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I.B. of this section. Written comments will be