For the reasons stated in the preamble, DHS proposes to amend Chapter I of Title 6, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

# PART 5—DISCLOSURE OF RECORDS AND INFORMATION

1. The authority citation for Part 5 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Pub. L. 107–296, 116 Stat. 2135, 6 U.S.C. 101 *et seq.*; 5 U.S.C. 301. Subpart A also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552. Subpart B also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552a.

2. Add Appendix C to Part 5 the following:

# Appendix C to Part 5—DHS Systems of Records Exempt From the Privacy Act

This Appendix implements provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 that permit the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to exempt its systems of records from provisions of the Act.

Portions of the following DHS systems of records are exempt from certain provisions of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(j) and (k):

- 1. DHS/ICE 001, the Student and Exchange Visitor Information System, which allows DHS to collect and maintain information on nonimmigrant students and exchange visitors, and the schools and exchange program sponsors that host them in the United States. The system permits DHS to monitor compliance by these individuals with the terms of their admission into the United States. Pursuant to exemptions (j)(2), (k)(1), (k)(2) and (k)(5) of the Privacy Act, portions of this system are exempt from 5 Û.S.C. 552a(c)(3); (d); (e)(1); (e)(4)(G), (H) and (I). Exemptions from the particular subsections are justified, on a case by case basis to be determined at the time a request is made, for the following reasons:
- (a) From subsection (c)(3) (Accounting for Disclosures) because release of the accounting of disclosures could alert the subject of an investigation, of an actual or potential criminal, civil, or regulatory violation to the existence of the investigation and reveal investigative interest on the part of DHS as well as the recipient agency. Disclosure of the accounting would therefore present a serious impediment to law enforcement efforts and/or efforts to preserve national security. Disclosure of the accounting would also permit the individual who is the subject of a record to impede the investigation and avoid detection or apprehension, which undermines the entire
- (b) From subsection (d) (Access to Records) because access to the records contained in this system of records could inform the subject of an investigation, of an actual or potential criminal, civil, or regulatory violation to the existence of the investigation and reveal investigative interest on the part of DHS or another agency. Access to the records could permit the individual who is the subject of a record to impede the investigation and avoid detection or apprehension. Amendment of the records

could interfere with ongoing investigations and law enforcement activities and impose an impossible administrative burden by requiring investigations to be continuously reinvestigated. In addition, permitting access and amendment to such information also could disclose security-sensitive information that could be detrimental to homeland security.

- (c) From subsection (e)(1) (Relevancy and Necessity of Information) because in the course of investigations into potential violations of federal law, the accuracy of information obtained or introduced occasionally may be unclear or the information may not be strictly relevant or necessary to a specific investigation. In the interests of effective enforcement of federal laws, it is appropriate to retain all information that may aid in establishing patterns of unlawful activity.
- (d) From subsections (e)(4)(G), (H) and (I) (Agency Requirements), and (f) (Agency Rules), because portions of this system are exempt from the access provisions of subsection (d).

Dated: March 15, 2005.

#### Nuala O'Connor Kelly,

Chief Privacy Officer, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 05–5584 Filed 3–21–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–10–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2005-20661; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-261-AD]

# RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747–200B, 747–300, 747–400, and 747–400D Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 747-200B, 747-300, 747-400, and 747-400D series airplanes. This proposed AD would require modifying the lateral shear beam for the Door 5 crew rest and, for certain airplanes, replacing Zone E tie rods and modifying the Zone E stowbin ladder. This proposed AD is prompted by a report indicating that the lateral shear beam for the Door 5 crew rest does not meet the 9G forward loading requirement. We are proposing this AD to prevent the structural support for the Door 5 crew rest and Zone E stowbins from failing during an emergency,

which could result in the crew rest or stowbins falling and consequent injury to crew and passengers.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by May 6, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket web site: Go to http://dms.dot.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Government-wide rulemaking web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Nassif Building, room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590.
  - By fax: (202) 493–2251.
- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.

You can examine the contents of this AD docket on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov, or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL-401, on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, Washington, DC. This docket number is FAA-2005-20661; the directorate identifier for this docket is 2004-NM-261-AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Don Wren, Aerospace Engineer, Cabin Safety and Environmental Systems Branch, ANM–150S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 917–6451; fax (425) 917–6590.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Comments Invited**

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under ADDRESSES. Include "Docket No. FAA—2005—20661; Directorate Identifier 2004—NM—261—AD" in the subject line of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments submitted by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://

dms.dot.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that Web site, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You can review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78), or you can visit http:// dms.dot.gov.

#### **Examining the Docket**

You can examine the AD docket on the Internet at <a href="http://dms.dot.gov">http://dms.dot.gov</a>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the DMS receives them.

#### Discussion

We have received a report indicating that the lateral shear beam for the Door 5 crew rest does not meet the 9G forward loading requirement on certain Boeing Model 747 series airplanes. This condition, if not corrected, could result in the failure of the structural support for the Door 5 crew rest and Zone E

stowbins, and could result in the crew rest or stowbins falling during an emergency and consequent injury to crew and passengers.

#### **Relevant Service Information**

We have reviewed Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–53–2497, dated November 4, 2004 (for Boeing Model 747–200B and –300 series airplanes), which describes procedures for modifying the lateral shear beam for the Door 5 crew rest. The modification includes replacing the web with a new thicker web and installing additional stiffeners.

We have also reviewed Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–53–2481, dated October 24, 2002 (for Boeing Model 747–400 and –400D series airplanes), which describes procedures for modifying the lateral shear beam for the Door 5 crew rest and replacing Zone E tie rods with new tie rods and modifying the Zone E stowbin ladder by installing new intercostals.

Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition.

# FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

We have evaluated all pertinent information and identified an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of this same type design. Therefore, we are proposing this AD, which would require accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under

"Differences Between the Proposed AD and the Service Bulletins."

# Differences Between the Proposed AD and the Service Bulletins

Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747-53-2497 recommends accomplishing the modification "at the earliest opportunity when manpower, materials and facilities are available, and Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747-53-2481 recommends accomplishing the modification "within 3 years of the release date on the service bulletin." However, this proposed AD specifies accomplishing the modification within 60 months after the effective date of the AD. In developing an appropriate compliance time for this AD, we considered the degree of urgency associated with addressing the subject unsafe condition, the average utilization of the affected fleet, and the time necessary to perform the modification. In light of all of these factors, we find a compliance time of 60 months for completing the proposed modification to be warranted, in that it represents an appropriate interval of time for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety. We have coordinated this compliance time with the manufacturer.

### **Costs of Compliance**

There are about 424 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. This proposed AD would affect about 65 airplanes of U.S. registry. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this proposed AD.

### **ESTIMATED COSTS**

Action	Work hours	Average labor rate per hour	Parts	Cost per airplane	Number of U.Sreg- istered air- planes	Fleet cost
Modification	86–207	\$65	\$7,095–\$37,770	\$12,685–\$51,225	65	\$824,525–\$3,329,625

## **Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

#### **Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

- 1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- 3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD. See the ADDRESSES section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### §39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Boeing: Docket No. FAA-2005-20661; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-261-AD.

#### **Comments Due Date**

(a) The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive comments on this AD action by May 6, 2005.

#### Affected ADs

(b) None.

### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to the Boeing airplanes, certificated in any category, specified in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(3) of this AD.

(1) Model 747–200B and 747–300 series airplanes identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–53–2497, dated November 4, 2004.

(2) Model 747–200B and 747–300 series airplanes on which Boeing Service Bulletins 747–25–2716, 747–25–2724, and 747–25–2784 have been done.

(3) Model 747–400 and 747–400D series airplanes identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–53–2481, dated October 24, 2002.

#### **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD was prompted by a report that the lateral shear beam for the Door 5 crew rest does not meet the 9G forward loading requirement. We are issuing this AD to prevent the structural support for the Door 5 crew rest and Zone E stowbins from failing, which could result in the crew rest or stowbins falling during an emergency and consequent injury to crew and passengers.

#### Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### Model 747-200B and 747-300: Modification

(f) Within 60 months after the effective date of this AD, modify the lateral shear

beam for the Door 5 crew rest by accomplishing all of the actions specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–53– 2497, dated November 4, 2004.

# Model 747–400 and 747–400D: Modification and Replacement

(g) Within 60 months after the effective date of this AD, modify the lateral shear beam for the Door 5 crew rest, replace the Zone E tie rods, and modify the Zone E stowbin ladder, by accomplishing all of the actions specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–53–2481, dated October 24, 2002

# Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 9, 2005.

#### Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 05–5571 Filed 3–21–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2005-20660; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-242-AD]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 777–200 and –300 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 777-200 and -300 series airplanes. This proposed AD would require inspecting for the installation of the tie plate for the wire bundles routed from lower section 41 into the center control stand in the flight deck, and inspecting for any wire chafing or damage and repair if necessary, and installing a tie plate if necessary. This proposed AD is prompted by a report of missing tie plates for the wire bundles. We are proposing this AD to prevent wire chafing, which could result in the loss of flight control, communication, navigation, and engine fire control

systems. Loss of these systems could consequently result in a significant reduction of safety margins, an increase in flight crew workload, and in the case where loss of engine fire control is combined with an engine fire, could result in an uncontrollable fire.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by May 6, 2005. **ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to http://dms.dot.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590.
  - By fax: (202) 493–2251.
- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.

You can examine the contents of this AD docket on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov, or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL–401, on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, Washington, DC. This docket number is FAA–2005–20660; the directorate identifier for this docket is 2004–NM–242–AD.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Georgios Roussos, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM– 130S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 917–6482; fax (425) 917–6590.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Comments Invited**

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under ADDRESSES. Include "Docket No. FAA—2005—20660; Directorate Identifier 2004—NM—242—AD" in the subject line of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments submitted by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.