

minimis. We have made no changes to Stelco's margin for these final results.

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we find that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Dofasco Inc., Sorevco, Inc., Do Sol Galva Ltd.	2.31
Stelco Inc.	0.02

Duty Assessment and Cash Deposit Requirements

The Department shall determine, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate appraisal instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of review. Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective with respect to all shipments of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Dofasco, the cash deposit rate will be the rate indicated above; (2) for Stelco, the rate is *de minimis* and therefore, there is no cash deposit requirement; (3) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (4) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (5) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be the "all other" rate established in the LTFV investigation, which is 18.71 percent. The deposit rate, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under § 351.402(f)(2) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could

result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO as explained in the administrative order itself. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 14, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—List of Issues

1. Surface Type Characteristics for Model Match
2. New Assessment Policy for Resellers
3. Treatment of Channel "2" Sales
4. Calculation of CEP Profit
5. Sales Subject to Review
6. Margin Program Adjustments
7. Normal Value Currency Conversion
8. Identification of DJG in Customs Instructions
9. Inclusion of Importer in Liquidation Instructions

[FR Doc. E5-1218 Filed 3-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-826]

Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Small Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe From Brazil

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 11, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter circular seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line and pressure pipe from Brazil for the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. *See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Small*

Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Brazil, 70 FR 7243 (February 11, 2005) ("Final Results"). We are amending our *Final Results* to correct clerical ministerial errors alleged by the respondent V&M do Brasil, S.A. ("VMB") pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 21, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Helen M. Kramer or Patrick Edwards, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, at (202) 482-0405 or (202) 482-8029, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Amendment of Final Results

On February 11, 2005, the Department published the *Final Results* of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter circular seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line and pressure pipe ("seamless line pipe") from Brazil. *See Final Results*. In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), on February 14, 2005, both United States Steel Corporation ("petitioner") and VMB timely filed allegations that the Department made ministerial errors in the final results. On February 22, 2005, we received comments from both the petitioner and respondent, rebutting each party's alleged ministerial errors. In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act, we have determined that certain ministerial errors were made in our *Final Results* margin calculations. For a detailed discussion of the ministerial error allegations, rebuttals and the Department's analysis, *see* Memorandum to Richard O. Weible, Director, through Abdelali Elouaradia, Program Manager, from Helen M. Kramer and Patrick S. Edwards, Case Analysts, regarding "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Small Diameter Circular Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Brazil; Alleged Ministerial Errors in the Final Results," dated March 14, 2005, which is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room B-099 of the main Department building.

In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of seamless line pipe from Brazil to correct these ministerial errors.

The revised weighted-average dumping margin for the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003, are listed below:

Manufacturer/exporter	Revised margin (percent)
V&M do Brasil, S.A	7.96

Cash Deposit Rates

The following antidumping duty deposits will be required on all shipments of seamless line pipe products from Brazil entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, effective on or after the publication date of the amended final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, the previous review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 124.94 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Assessment Rates

In accordance with section 19 CFR 356.8(a), the Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) on or after 41 days following the publication of these amended final results of review to effect the *Final Results* and these amended final results.

We are issuing and publishing these amended final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(h) and 771(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 14, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-1223 Filed 3-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-842]

Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Bottle-Grade Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Resin From India

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has reached a final determination that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of Bottle-Grade (BG) Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Resin from India. For information on the estimated countervailable subsidy rates, please see the "Final Determination" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 21, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Kirby or Addilyn Chams-Eddine, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 7866, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3782 or (202) 482-0648, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Case History

On August 30, 2004 the Department published the *Notice of Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment with Final Antidumping Duty Determination: Bottle-Grade Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Resin from India*, 69 FR 52866 (August 30, 2004) (*Preliminary Determination*). Since the issuance of the *Preliminary Determination*, the following events have occurred. Between September 9 and November 17, 2004, the Department issued supplemental questionnaires to each of the respondent parties and all parties submitted timely responses to the questionnaires. On September 29, 2004, the United States PET Resin Producers Coalition (Petitioner) requested a hearing pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c) and the Department's *Preliminary Determination*.

From December 2 through December 17, 2004, the Department conducted verification of the questionnaire responses provided by the Government of India (GOI) and the four respondent parties: Reliance Industries, Ltd. (Reliance), Futura Polyesters, Ltd. (Futura), South Asia Petrochem Ltd. (SAPL), and Elque Polyesters Ltd. (Elque). The Department issued the GOI

and the Reliance Industries Ltd. (Reliance) verification reports on January 25, 2005, 2005. See Memoranda to the File, *Countervailing Duty Investigation of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Resin from India: Verification of the Government of India's (GOI) Questionnaire Responses (GOI Verification Report)*; and *Countervailing Duty Investigation of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Resin from India: Verification of Reliance Industries Ltd. (Reliance Verification Report)*. The Department issued the Elque, Futura, and SAPL verification reports on January 26, 2004. See Memoranda to the File, *Countervailing Duty Investigation of PET Resin from India: Verification of Elque Ltd. (Elque Verification Report)*; *Countervailing Duty Investigation of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Resin from India: Verification of Futura Polyesters Ltd. (Futura Verification Report)*; and *Countervailing Duty Investigation of PET Resin from India: Verification of South Asia Petrochem Ltd. (SAPL Verification Report)*. In addition, on February 14, 2005, the Department issued a memorandum containing our preliminary analysis of the Export Oriented Unit (EOU) programs which we had noted in the *Preliminary Determination* were programs for which additional information was needed. See Memoranda to the File from Sean Carey, Acting Program Manager, through Dana S. Mermelstein, Acting Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, to Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Import Administration, *Countervailing Duty Investigation of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Resin from India: Preliminary Analysis of the Export Oriented Unit (EOU) Program on Duty Drawback on Furnace Oil Procured from Domestic Oil Companies Program and Purchases of Materials and Other Inputs Free of Central Excise Duty (EOU Program Memorandum)*.

On February 4, 2005, case briefs were filed by the Petitioner, the GOI, Reliance, and SAPL. On February 9, 2005, the Petitioner, Reliance, and SAPL filed rebuttal briefs. Neither Futura nor Elque filed case or rebuttal briefs. On February 11, 2005, the Petitioner withdrew its request for a hearing.

The Department also allowed parties a separate opportunity to file comments and rebuttal comments on our *EOU Program Memorandum*. On February 17, 2005, such comments were filed by the Petitioner, the GOI, Reliance and SAPL. On February 22, 2005, the Petitioner submitted rebuttal comments. Also, on February 17, 2005, Reliance requested that the Department proceed with a hearing. On February 18, and February