laws relating to the manufacture, distribution, or dispensing of controlled substances, is not relevant for consideration, as there is no evidence Dr. Bischoff has yet been convicted of any crime related to controlled substances. However, it is noted the investigation has been provided to local authorities for possible initiation of criminal charges.

With respect to factor five, other conduct that many threaten the public health and safety, Respondent's actions discussed above are also relevant under this factor. The Deputy Administrator is particularly troubled by Dr. Bischoff's abuse of the trust placed in him as a family friend and physician, both by the minor and his parents and by Dr. Bischoff's calculated efforts to obtain controlled substances through fraud and misrepresentation.

In sum, Dr. Bischoff's cavalier disregard for the law and regulations governing controlled substances and the abandonment of his responsibilities as a physician and registrant cannot be tolerated. They weigh heavily in favor of a finding that his continued registration would not be in the public interest.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in her by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 28 CFR 0.100(b), and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration BB0377247, issued to James S. Bischoff, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for renewal or modification of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective April 14, 2005.

Dated: February 24, 2005. **Michele M. Leonhart,** *Deputy Administrator.* [FR Doc. 05–5072 Filed 3–14–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410-09–M**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

All Items Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers—United States City Average

Pursuant to section 33105(c) of Title 49, United States Code, and the delegation of the Secretary of Transportation's responsibilities under that Act to the Administrator of the Federal Highway Administration (49 CFR 501.2(a)(9)), the Secretary of Labor has certified to the Administrator and published this notice in the **Federal Register** that the United States City Average All Items Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (1967–100) increased 81.9 percent from its 1984 base period annual average of 311.1 to its 2004 annual average of 565.8.

Signed in Washington, DC, on the 3rd day of March, 2005.

Elaine L. Chao,

Secretary of Labor. [FR Doc. 05–4989 Filed 3–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–24–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Child Labor Education Initiative

AGENCY: Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor.

Announcement Type: Notice of intent to solicit cooperative agreement applications.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL), Bureau of International Labor Affairs (ILAB), intends to award approximately U.S. \$17 million to organizations to develop and implement formal, non-formal, and vocational education programs as a means to combat exploitive child labor in the following countries: Mozambique, Angola, Šierra Leone, Liberia, Écuador, Bolivia, and Guyana. ILAB intends to solicit cooperative agreement applications from qualified organizations (i.e., any commercial, international, educational, or non-profit organization capable of successfully developing and implementing education programs) to implement programs that promote school attendance and provide educational opportunities for working children or children at risk of starting to work. The programs should focus on innovative ways to provide educational services to children engaged, or at risk of engaging, in exploitive labor and should address the many gaps and challenges to basic education found in the countries mentioned above. Please refer to http://www.dol.gov/ILAB/ grants/main.htm for examples of previous notices of availability of funds and solicitations for cooperative agreement applications.

Information on the specific sectors, geographical regions, and funding levels on the potential projects in the countries listed above will be addressed in solicitations for cooperative agreement applications to be published prior to September 30, 2005. Thus, we request that inquiries to USDOL for such information be limited until publication of the solicitations. For a list of frequently asked questions on Child Labor Education Initiative Solicitations for Cooperative Agreement Applications, please visit http:// www.dol.gov/ILAB/faq/faq36.htm.

USDOL will hold a bidder's meeting on Tuesday, April 12, 2005 to answer any questions potential applicants may have on Child Labor Education Initiative Solicitations for Cooperative Agreement Applications. Please see below for more information on the bidder's meeting.

DATES: Specific solicitations for cooperative agreement applications will be published in the **Federal Register** and remain open for at least 30 days from the date of publication. All cooperative agreement awards will be made on or before September 30, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Once solicitations are published in the **Federal Register**, applications must be delivered to: U.S. Department of Labor, Procurement Services Center, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N–5416, Attention: Lisa Harvey, Washington, DC 20210.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Lisa Harvey. E-mail address: *harvey.lisa@dol.gov.* All inquiries should make reference to the USDOL Child Labor Education Initiative— Solicitations for Cooperative Agreement Applications.

Bidder's Meeting: A bidder's meeting will be held in Washington, DC, on Tuesday, April 12, 2005. The purpose of this meeting is to provide potential applicants the opportunity to ask questions concerning the Child Labor **Education Initiative Solicitation for** Cooperative Agreement process. Specific details on the time and location of the meeting will be sent to interested parties in early April 2005. To register for the meeting please call or e-mail Ms. Alexa Gunter (Phone: 202-693-4829; email: gunter-alexa@dol.gov) by Thursday, March 31, 2005. Please provide Ms. Gunter with the name, organization, address, phone number, and e-mail address of the attendees.

Background Information: Since 1995, USDOL has supported a worldwide technical assistance program implemented by the International Labor Organization's International Program on the Elimination of Child Labor (ILO-IPEC). ILAB has provided over U.S. \$400 million to ILO-IPEC and other organizations for international technical assistance to combat abusive child labor around the world.

In its FY 2005 appropriations, in addition to funds earmarked for ILO-IPEC, USDOL received U.S. \$34 million to provide bilateral assistance to improve access to basic education in international areas with a high rate of abusive and exploitive child labor. All such FY 2005 funds will be obligated on or before September 30, 2005.

USDOL's Child Labor Education Initiative nurtures the development, health, safety, and enhanced future employability of children around the world by increasing access to basic education for children removed from child labor or at risk of entering it. Eliminating child labor will depend in part on improving access to, quality of, and relevance of education. Without improving educational access, quality, and relevance, children withdrawn from child labor may not have viable alternatives and may return to work or resort to other hazardous means of subsistence.

In addition to increasing access to education and eliminating exploitive child labor, the Child Labor Education Initiative has the following four strategic goals:

1. Raise awareness of the importance of education for all children and mobilize a wide array of actors to improve and expand education infrastructures;

2. Strengthen formal and transitional education systems that encourage working children and those at risk of working to attend school;

3. Strengthen national institutions and policies on education and child labor; and

4. Ensure the long-term sustainability of these efforts.

When working to increase access to quality basic education, USDOL strives to complement existing efforts to eradicate the worst forms of child labor, to build on the achievements of and lessons learned from these efforts, to expand impact and build synergies among actors, and to avoid duplication of resources and efforts.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of March, 2005.

Lisa Harvey,

Grant Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–4990 Filed 3–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–28–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-55,907]

Glaxosmithkline, Bristol, TN; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of January 7, 2005 a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The Department's determination notice was signed on December 9, 2004 and published in the **Federal Register** on January 24, 2005 (70 FR 3390).

The Department reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the petitioner has provided additional information regarding products produced by the subject firm. Therefore, the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of February, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E5–1107 Filed 3–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,149]

Honeywell International, Transportation Systems/Friction Materials Division, Cleveland, TN; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of February 9, 2005, a representative of the Tennessee AFL– CIO requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA), applicable to workers of the subject firm.

The Department's negative determination was issued on December 20, 2004. The Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on January 24, 2005 (70 FR 3392).

The petitioner asserts that the workers of the subject firm possess skills which are not easily transferable to other jobs in the local commuting area and has provided information in support of its position.

The Department has carefully reviewed the petitioner's request for

reconsideration and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation based on the new information provided by the petitioner and the company official.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 1st day of March 2005

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E5–1108 Filed 3–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-55,745]

Liz Claiborne, Inc., North Bergen, NJ; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of December 10, 2004, a representative of the New York Metropolitan Area Joint Board, UNITE HERE requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA), applicable to workers of the subject firm. The Department's negative determination was issued on November 9, 2004.

The Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on December 9, 2004 (69 FR 71429).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner asserts that, contrary to the Department's findings, the subject worker group's separation from the subject firm was due to the shift of sample production abroad.

The Department has carefully reviewed the petitioner's request for reconsideration as well as the subject firm's response, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation based on the new information provided by the petitioner and the company official.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of