

communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of Nasdaq. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASD-2005-028 and should be submitted on or before April 4, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-1058 Filed 3-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51319; File No. SR-NYSE-2004-61]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change and Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 Thereto To Rescind a Type of Order Known as an Institutional XPress® Order Through Amendments to Exchange Rules 13, 60 and 72

March 4, 2005.

On October 28, 2004, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to rescind a type of order known as an Institutional XPress® Order ("XPress Order") by amending NYSE Rules 13 (Definitions of Orders), 60 (Dissemination of Quotation) and 72 (Priority and Precedence of Bids and Offers). On December 3, 2004, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ The proposed

rule change, as amended by Amendment No. 1, was published for notice and comment in the **Federal Register** on December 29, 2004.⁴ The Commission received no comment letters on the proposal, as amended. On January 25, 2005, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁵ This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange,⁶ and, in particular, the requirements of section 6 of the Act⁷ and the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission finds specifically that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁸ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

According to the Exchange, the XPress Order has not been widely used⁹ and if the Hybrid Market initiative¹⁰ is approved and implemented, the need for XPress Orders will be further diminished. Therefore, the Commission believes that it is consistent with the Act to eliminate this type of order.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹¹ that the proposed rule change (SR-NYSE-2004-61), as amended, be, and hereby is, approved.

proposed rule change was filed from Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50912 (December 22, 2004), 69 FR 78084.

⁵ See Partial Amendment dated January 25, 2005 ("Amendment No. 2"). In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange made minor, technical corrections to the proposed rule text. Accordingly, this Amendment is not subject to notice and comment.

⁶ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ Telephone conversation between Cyndi N. Rodriguez, Special Counsel, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, and Jeffrey S. Rosenstock, Special Counsel, Market Surveillance, NYSE, on March 1, 2005. The NYSE also represented that the proposed rule change would be implemented on or about April 1, 2005. *Id.*

¹⁰ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 50173 (August 10, 2004), 69 FR 50407 (August 16, 2004) and 50667 (November 15, 2004), 69 FR 67980 (November 22, 2004) (SR-NYSE-2004-05).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-1057 Filed 3-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51331; File No. SR-OCC-2002-16]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Unsegregation of Long Option Positions

March 8, 2005.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on July 9, 2002, the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") and on December 12, 2002, and January 11, 2005, amended, the proposed rule change as described in items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

OCC Rule 611 permits a clearing member to issue instructions to OCC to release from segregation a long option position carried in a customers' account or firm non-lien account provided that the clearing member is simultaneously carrying in such account for such customer a short position in option contracts and the margin requirement of the customer has been reduced as a result of carrying the long option position. The proposed rule change would amend Rule 611 to permit a clearing member to issue such spread instructions where one leg of the spread is a long option position and the other is a position in a security futures contract.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

¹³ CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Partial Amendment dated December 3, 2004 ("Amendment No. 1"). In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange changed the basis under which the

proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.²

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change is submitted by OCC in connection with trading in security futures. The Commission approved the basic rules for the clearance of security futures by OCC in File Nos. SR-OCC-2001-05 and SR-OCC-2001-07.³ The proposed rule change is submitted in light of joint rules ("joint margin rules") that were adopted by the Commission and by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") on August 1, 2002,⁴ pursuant to section 7(c)(2) of the Act and related provisions of the Commodity Exchange Act governing the setting of margin requirements for security futures.

Rule 15c3-3 under the Act requires broker-dealers to maintain customer fully-paid and excess margin securities in a control location free of any lien.⁵ Rules 8c-1 and 15c2-1 under the Act, which govern hypothecation of customer securities, also place limitations on broker-dealers rights to encumber customer securities.⁶ In order to permit compliance by clearing members with Rule 15c3-3 and with the hypothecation rules, OCC's Rule 611(a) presently provides that long option positions in a customers' account established under Article VI, section 3(e) of OCC's By-Laws are deemed to be segregated and therefore not subject to OCC's lien except to the extent that the clearing member gives contrary instructions to OCC in accordance with the rule.⁷ Under paragraph (c) of Rule 611, a clearing member is entitled to give an instruction to unsegregate such a long position if the long position constitutes the long leg of a spread position, the short leg that constitutes

the short leg of the spread position is held by the same customer, and the customer's margin requirement has been reduced to reflect the net risk of the spread position. These provisions reflect the Commission's long-standing interpretation that under those circumstances the long leg of a customer spread need not be treated as fully-paid or excess margin securities for purposes of Rule 15c3-3 and pledging it to OCC will not violate Rule 15c3-3 or the hypothecation rules.⁸

Section 7(c)(2)(B) of the Act requires that the margin requirements for security futures products be consistent with the margin requirements for comparable options contracts traded on any exchange registered pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. OCC anticipates that clearing members will be permitted under the joint margin rules and exchange and security futures market rules adopted thereunder to reduce a customer's margin requirement when the customer has offsetting positions in security futures and options on the same underlying interest. Accordingly, a clearing member should be permitted under OCC's Rule 611 to unsegregate long option positions in the customers' account and firm non-lien account when the customer holds an offsetting long or short security futures position and the clearing member has reduced the customer's margin requirement in recognition of the spread. It should not matter whether the other leg of the spread is a security future or an option.⁹

The proposed change in OCC Rule 611(c) merely extends the same basic rule applicable to permitted spread positions in options contracts to any permitted spread position where one leg of the spread is a long option position and the other is a position in a security futures contract. The proposed rule is drafted in such a way that its operation is dependent on the joint margin rules and the rules of the exchanges and security futures markets adopted thereunder. Only if a particular spread

⁸ The Commission staff has stated that "provision by OCC of clearing-level spread margin treatment of customer positions was consistent with Exchange Act Rules 15c3-3, 15c2-1 and 8c-1" so long as the conditions cited above are complied with. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 31626 (Dec. 21, 1992), 57 FR 62588 [File No. OCC-92-14], n.10, citing letter to Burton R. Rissman, Schiff Hardin & Waite, from Lee A. Pickard, Director, Division of Market Regulation (April 18, 1975).

⁹ Under OCC Rule 611(a), all positions in security futures are deemed to be unsegregated because a futures contract, which represents a potential liability as well as a potential asset, is never deemed to be fully-paid or to represent excess margin securities. Accordingly, this rule filing addresses only the case where long put or call options are spread against long or short futures contracts.

position involving a long option qualifies for reduced margin treatment under those rules could the option be treated as unsegregated for purposes of Rule 611. With approval of this proposed rule change, consistency between the joint margin rules and Rule 611(c) would be therefore assured.¹⁰

OCC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of section 17A of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder because it promotes the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, fosters cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in the clearance and settlement of securities transactions, and removes impediments to and perfects the mechanism of a national system for the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were not and are not intended to be solicited with respect to the proposed rule change, and none have been received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within thirty five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to ninety days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (a) By order approve the proposed rule change; or
- (b) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule

¹⁰ OCC has requested no action relief from the Commission's Division of Market Regulation that a clearing member who gives an instruction to unsegregate long option positions pursuant to this amended rule will not be deemed to be in violation of Rule 15c3-3 or the hypothecation rules.

² The Commission has modified parts of these statements.

³ Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 44434 (June 15, 2001), 66 FR 33283 and 44727 (August 20, 2001), 66 FR 45351.

⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46292, 67 FR 53146 [File No. S7-16-01].

⁵ 17 CFR 240.15c3-3.

⁶ 7 CFR 240.8c-1 and 15c2-1.

⁷ The provisions of Rule 611 also apply to long option positions of certain "non-customers" carried in a "firm non-lien account" under Article VI, section 3(a) of OCC's By-Laws. At present, no clearing member carries such an account.

change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>) or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-OCC-2002-16 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2002-16. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC's Web site at <http://www.optionsclearing.com>. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2002-16 and should be submitted on or before April 4, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-1062 Filed 3-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51330; File No. SR-OCC-2003-04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; the Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to a New Customers' Lien Account

March 8, 2005.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on July 21, 2003, the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") and on December 20, 2004, amended, the proposed rule change as described in items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would amend OCC's By-Laws and Rules to support the introduction of a new customers' lien account that may be carried at OCC by a clearing member.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.²

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would provide for the introduction of a new "customers' lien account" that may be carried at OCC by a clearing member. The new account type would be used only to clear transactions of eligible customers that an OCC clearing member has agreed to margin on a portfolio risk basis or that a commodity clearing

organization has agreed to margin in connection with a cross-margining arrangement in accordance with rules proposed by certain exchanges.

OCC, in conjunction with the Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE"), American Stock Exchange, New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), Chicago Mercantile Exchange ("CME"), Chicago Board of Trade and various member firms, is seeking to establish a program under which eligible customers may elect to establish accounts, limited to specified derivative products, that would be margined on a risk-based or portfolio margining basis rather than under the "strategy-based" method currently set forth in the exchanges' margin rules. The proposed program is described in detail in a proposed rule change filed by CBOE ("CBOE Rule Filing") in which CBOE proposes to amend its margin rules to provide for the program.³ The proposed program would permit eligible customers to establish risk-based margin accounts that would be limited to specified derivative products subject to regulation by the Commission, and it would also provide for accounts in which derivative products regulated by the Commission may be cross-margined with related futures products regulated exclusively by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC"). Under the current proposal, a cross-margining account of an eligible customer would be treated as a securities account for regulatory purposes.⁴ A single "customers' lien account" created under the proposed new paragraph (i) of Article VI, Section 3 of OCC's By-Laws would be used to clear all transactions of eligible customers under a portfolio margining program or cross-margining program so long as the products included in the account are all cleared by OCC.⁵ OCC would have a lien on all positions and assets in a customers' lien account as security for the OCC clearing member's obligations to OCC relating to the

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50886 (December 20, 2004), 69 FR 77275 (December 27, 2004) [File No. SR-CBOE-2002-03]. A similar proposed rule change was filed by NYSE. Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50885 (December 20, 2004), 69 FR 77287 (December 27, 2004) [File No. SR-NYSE-2002-19].

⁴ CBOE plans to submit a request to the CFTC for an exemption from the segregation requirements and from other provisions of the CEA to the extent necessary to permit futures contracts to be carried in securities accounts subject to regulation by the Commission.

⁵ OCC is registered as a derivatives clearing organization under the Commodity Exchange Act and is therefore able to clear CFTC-regulated derivative products as well as Commission-regulated derivative products.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² The Commission has modified parts of these statements.

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).