

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Homeland security technology developers or vendors.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 70.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 1,050 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$66,000, includes \$0 annual capital/startup costs, \$500 annual O&M costs, and \$65,000 annual labor costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is an increase of 675 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved Burdens. This increase is due to adjustments made to the amount of time necessary for the compiling, submitting and commenting on information provided under this ICR after having consulted with respondents.

Dated: February 25, 2005.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 05-4276 Filed 3-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket #: R10-OAR-2005-OR-0001; FRL-7880-8]

Adequacy Status of the Portland, OR Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found the Second Portland Area Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Plan adequate for transportation conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the DC Circuit Court ruled that submitted State Implementation Plans (SIPs) cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has found them adequate. This affects future transportation conformity determinations prepared, reviewed and approved by the Portland Metro, Oregon Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration.

DATES: This finding is effective March 21, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp.htm>, (once there, click on the "Transportation Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions"). You may also contact Wayne Elson, U.S. EPA, Region 10, Office of Air, Waste, and Toxics (AWT-107), 1200 Sixth Ave, Seattle WA 98101; (206) 553-1463 or elson.wayne@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 10 sent a letter to the Washington Department of Ecology dated February 15, 2005, stating that the SIP is adequate for transportation conformity purposes.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to SIPs. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP is adequate for

conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review and it also should not be used to prejudice our ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a SIP adequate for conformity, the SIP could later be disapproved. For the reader's ease, the motor vehicle emission budget included in the Maintenance Plan in pounds per winter time day of carbon monoxide is: 1,238,575 in 2005; 1,033,578 in 2010; and 1,181,341 in 2017.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy in SIPs in guidance dated May 14, 1999. This guidance in now is reflected in the amended transportation conformity rule, July 1, 2004 (69 FR 40004). We followed this process in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: February 18, 2005.

Michael F. Gearheard,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.
[FR Doc. 05-4274 Filed 3-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7880-1]

Announcement of the Board of Trustees for the National Environmental Education and Training Foundation, Inc.

SUMMARY: The National Environmental Education and Training Foundation was created by Section 10 of Public Law 101-619, the National Environmental Education Act of 1990. It is a private 501(c)(3) non-profit organization established to promote and support education and training as necessary tools to further environmental protection and sustainable, environmentally sound development. It provides the common ground upon which leaders from business and industry, all levels of government, public interest groups, and others can work cooperatively to expand the reach of environmental education and training programs beyond the traditional classroom. The Foundation supports a grant program that promotes innovative environmental education and training programs; it also develops partnerships with government and other organizations to administer projects that promote the development of an environmentally literate public.

The Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, as required by the terms of the Act,

announces the following appointment to the National Environmental Education and Training Foundation, Inc. Board of Trustees. The appointee is Arthur Gibson, Vice President of Environment, Health and Safety for Home Depot. This appointee will join the current Board members which include:

- Braden Allenby, Vice President, Environment, Health and Safety, AT&T.
- Richard Bartlett, (NEETF Chairman) Vice Chairman, Mary Kay Holding Corporation.
- Dorothy Jacobson, Consultant.
- Karen Bates Kress, President, KBK Consulting, Inc.
- Dorothy McSweeney, (NEETF Vice Chair), Chair, DC Commission on the Arts and Humanities.
- Honorable William Sessions, former Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Additional Considerations: Great care has been taken to assure that this new appointee not only has the highest degree of expertise and commitment, but also brings to the Board diverse points of view relating to environmental education and training.

This appointment shall be for two consecutive four year terms.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: C. Michael Baker, 202-564-0446, Acting Director, Office of Environmental Education, Office of Public Affairs (1704A) U.S. EPA 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

Dated: February 18, 2005.

Stephen L. Johnson,
Acting Administrator.

BIO of New Member

Arthur J. Gibson, Vice President, Environmental, Health & Safety; The Home Depot, Inc.

Arthur J. Gibson is Vice President of Environmental, Health and Safety for Home Depot, Inc.

He is responsible for leading the strategy, planning processes, and the day to day functional operations of the Environmental, Health and Safety Organization.

Prior to joining The Home Depot, Art served as Senior Vice President of Corporate Environmental, Health, Safety, Security and Workers' Compensation for the R.R. Donnelley Corporation. Prior to his tenure with R.R. Donnelley, Art was with the Grumman Corporation, where he was the Corporate Director of Environmental, Health, Safety, Medical Services and Energy. Art was also an Aeronautical Design Engineer at Grumman Aerospace Corporation.

Mr. Gibson holds Bachelor's degrees in American Foreign Policy and

Aeronautical Engineering from Cornell University and an MBA in International Finance from Long Island University.

Art currently resides in Atlanta, GA with his wife Patricia and their three children, Sarah, Abigail and Matthew.

[FR Doc. 05-4264 Filed 3-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6661-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed February 22, 2005, through February 25, 2005, pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 050078, Draft EIS, AFS, NE, SD, Black-Tailed Prairie Dog Conservation and Management on the Nebraska National Forest and Associated Units, Implementation, Dawes, Sioux, Blaine, Cherry, Thomas Counties, NE and Custer, Fall River, Jackson, Pennington, Jones, Lyman, Stanley Counties, SD, Comment Period Ends: April 18, 2005, Contact: Jeffery S. Abegglen (308) 432-0300.

EIS No. 050079, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Cottonwood Fire Vegetation Management Project, Control Vegetation Competing with Conifer Seedlings, Sierraville Ranger District, Tahoe National Forest, Sierra County, CA, Wait Period Ends: April 4, 2005, Contact: Teri Banka (530) 994-3401.

EIS No. 050080, Draft EIS, FRC, TX, Ingleside Energy Center Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Import Terminal and San Patricio Pipeline Natural Gas Pipeline, Authorization to Construct, Install and Operate, San Patricio and Nueces Counties, TX, Comment Period Ends: April 18, 2005, Contact: Thomas Russo 1-(866) 208-3372.

EIS No. 050081, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, B & B Recovery Project, Proposed Harvest of Fire-Killed Trees, Reduction of Fuels, Planting of Tree, Deschutes National Forest, Sisters Ranger District, Jefferson and Deschutes Counties, OR, Comment Period Ends: April 18, 2005, Contact: Leslie Weldon (541) 549-7743.

EIS No. 050082, Draft EIS, FRC, CO, WY, Entrega Pipeline Project, Construction and Operation New Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline System, Right-of-Way Grant Issue by BLM, Meeker Hub and Cheyenne

Hub, Rio Blanco and Weld Counties, CO, and Sweetwater County, WY, Comment Period Ends: April 18, 2005, Contact: Thomas Russo 1-(866) 208-3372

Amended Notices

EIS No. 050052, Draft EIS, FHW, MI, IN, US-131 Improvement Study, from the Indiana Toll Road (1-80/90) to a Point One Mile North of Cowling Road, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, St. Joseph County, MI and Elkhart County, IN, Comment Period Ends: April 29, 2005, Contact: Abdelmoez A. Abdalla (517) 702-1820. Revision of **Federal Register** notice published on February 11, 2005: CEQ Comment Period Ending March 28, 2005, has been Extended to April 29, 2005.

Dated: March 1, 2005.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05-4253 Filed 3-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6661-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-G65095-NM Rating LO, Buckman Water Diversion Project, Proposal to Divert Water from Rio Grande and San Juan-Chama Project, To Meet Water Supply Needs, Sante Fe National Forest and Taos Field Office, Sante Fe County, NM.

Summary: EPA does not object to the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-BLM-L65471-ID Rating EC2, Fire, Fuels and Related Vegetation Management Direction Plan Amendment, Upper Snake River District (The District), Amending 12 Existing Land Use Plans, Several Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about air quality and grazing impacts, and requested additional information on