

- State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Rights, 1001 I Street, 14th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 341-5300

Public Disclosure Statement

Comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, will be made available for public review. Individual respondents may request that their home address be withheld from public disclosure, which will be honored to the extent allowable by law. There may be circumstances in which a respondent's identity may also be withheld from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish to have your name and/or address withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: January 7, 2005.

Kirk C. Rodgers,

Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 05-3930 Filed 2-28-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on the AB Lateral Hydropower Project in Montrose County, CO

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of cancellation.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation is canceling plans to prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement (EIS) on the AB Lateral Hydropower Project. The hydropower project planned to use existing facilities of the Uncompahgre Valley Reclamation Project and would have been located in Montrose County, Colorado. The reason for canceling is that the project proponents, including the Uncompahgre Valley Water User's Association, have elected not to pursue approval of the project at this time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Steve McCall, Chief, Environmental Staff, Bureau of Reclamation, Western Colorado Area Office, 2764 Compass Drive, Suite 106, Grand Junction, Colorado 81506, telephone, (970) 248-0638.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Reclamation filed a final EIS on the project in 1990 and the supplemental EIS was planned to address changes in the project plan and changes in resource evaluations. The primary federal actions on this project would have been execution of a lease of power privilege (a type of contract) with Reclamation and the granting of a Section 404 permit under the Clean Water Act from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Dated: February 9, 2005.

Connie L. Rupp,

Assistant Regional Director—UC Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 05-3896 Filed 2-28-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-486 Enforcement Proceedings]

Certain Agricultural Tractors, Lawn Tractors, Riding Lawnmowers, and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Finding Two Respondents in Default

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (ID) of the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation finding two respondents, Beiqi Foton Motor Co., Ltd. and Shandong Worldbest Shantou Co., Ltd., in default, and to have waived their respective rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., telephone 202-205-3041, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's

electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 2, 2004, the complainant, CNH America LLC, filed a complaint seeking enforcement proceedings of the limited exclusion order issued in Certain Agricultural Tractors, Inv. No. 337-TA-486. The complainant asserted that the recipient of the limited order, now known as Beiqi Foton Motor Co., Ltd., continues to export infringing tractors to the United States. Complainant also requested that the Commission modify the limited exclusion order by replacing it with a general exclusion order and various cease and desist orders in order to prevent alleged circumvention of the limited exclusion order.

On November 19, 2004, the Commission instituted formal enforcement proceedings to determine whether Beiqi Foton Motor Co. Ltd. and Shandong Worldbest Shantou Co., Ltd., an allegedly related company, are in violation of the Commission's limited exclusion order issued in the investigation, and what if any enforcement measures are appropriate. The Commission set a seven-month deadline for issuance of the enforcement initial determination by the ALJ and named the following entities as parties to the enforcement proceeding: (1) Complainant CNH America LLC; (2) respondent Beiqi Foton Motor Co., Ltd.; (3) respondent Shandong Worldbest Shantou Co., Ltd.; and (4) a Commission investigative attorney (IA) to be designated by OUII. 69 FR 67757 (Nov. 19, 2004). The Commission subsequently set a target date of November 21, 2005 for completion of the investigation.

The complaint was mailed to the two respondents on November 17, 2004, and they were notified in the letter accompanying the complaint that they could be found in default if they failed to respond. When they did not respond, the ALJ issued a show cause order (Order 1E) on December 29, 2004, which required the two respondents to show cause why they should not be found in default. A deadline of January 18, 2005, was set for the respondents to respond to that order. While respondents did not respond to the show cause order, complainant and the IA responded on January 18, 2005, and urged the ALJ to find the two respondents in default.

The ALJ issued the subject ID (Order 2E) on February 4, 2005. The ALJ found in the ID that the two respondents did

not respond to the complaint, notice of investigation, or the order to show cause. Consequently, the ALJ found the respondents in default, and pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16(b)(3), to have waived their right to appear, be served with documents, or contest the allegations in the enforcement complaint. The ALJ also ordered the complainant to file a brief by February 23, 2005, addressing the appropriate enforcement remedy. The ALJ indicated that the IA should file a response to complainant's brief by March 4, 2005. No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of § 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and § 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: February 23, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-3905 Filed 2-28-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-1074 and 1075 (Final)]

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Quality Line Pipe From Korea and Mexico

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Termination of investigations.

SUMMARY: On February 17, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce published notice of the termination of its antidumping duty investigations on certain circular welded carbon quality line pipe from Korea and Mexico (70 FR 8071). Accordingly, the Commission gives notice that its antidumping duty investigations concerning such line pipe from Korea and Mexico (Investigations Nos. 731-TA-1074 and 1075 (Final)) are terminated.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 17, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Ruggles (202-205-3187 or via e-mail fred.ruggles@usitc.gov), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special

assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

Authority: These investigations are being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.40 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR 207.40).

Issued: February 24, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-3904 Filed 2-28-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-469 (Second Review)]

Electroluminescent Flat Panel Displays From Japan

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of a five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on electroluminescent flat panel displays from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on electroluminescent flat panel displays from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission; ¹ to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is April 20, 2005. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by May 16, 2005. For further information concerning the conduct of this review

¹ No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117-0016/USITC No. 05-5-113, expiration date June 30, 2005. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 7 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436.

and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 1, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On September 4, 1991, the Department of Commerce issued an antidumping duty order on imports of electroluminescent flat panel displays from Japan (56 FR 43741). Following five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective April 11, 2000, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty order on imports of electroluminescent flat panel displays from Japan (65 FR 19360). The Commission is now conducting a second review to determine whether revocation of the order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct a full review or an expedited review. The Commission's determination in any expedited review will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions. The following definitions apply to this review:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year review, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Country* in this review is Japan.

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original