

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the tool, fastener, and home product warehousing/distribution facility of Black & Decker Corporation, located in Fort Mill, South Carolina (Subzone 38E), at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of February, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-3811 Filed 2-25-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-828]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Taiwan: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 23, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Malcolm A. Burke or Howard Smith at (202) 482-3584 or (202) 482-5193, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 30, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a timely request from Carpenter Technology Corporation (Carpenter), the sole petitioner in the instant proceeding, to conduct an administrative review of sales made by Walsin Lihwa Corporation (Walsin) and any of its affiliated parties. In that request, Carpenter specifically identified Outokumpu Stainless

(Outokumpu) as an affiliate of Walsin. On October 22, 2004, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Taiwan for the period September 1, 2003, through August 31, 2004, and published a notice in the **Federal Register**. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 69 FR 62022 (October 22, 2004). On November 4, 2004, Carpenter informed the Department that in that mis-identified Outokumpu on November 12, 2004. On December 23, 2004, Carpenter withdrew its request for an administrative review of Walsin.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation thereof. Because Carpenter withdrew its review request within the 90-day time limit, the Department is rescinding this review and will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days of publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: February 18, 2005.

Gary Taverman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 05-3835 Filed 2-25-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation") has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) entitled Senior Corps Grant Application, formerly National Senior Service Corps Grant Application to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, Ms. Angela Roberts, at (202) 606-5000, extension 111. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDD) may call (202) 606-5256 between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Ms. Katherine Astrich, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service, by any of the following two methods listed in the address section, within 30 days from the date of publication in this **Federal Register**.

(1) By fax to: (202) 395-6974, Attention: Ms. Katherine Astrich, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service; and

(2) Electronically by e-mail to: Katherine_T_Astrich@omb.eop.gov.

Supplementary Information: The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the Corporation's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments

A 60-day public comment Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 5, 2004. The summary of comments received is as follows: A total of 17 written responses were received that represented a total of 39 individuals. One letter was written on behalf of an Association including the collective comments of 23 individuals. Each written response contained numerous separate comments or statements. In summary:

(a) Two comments were received about adding the DUNS number to Part I—Application Facesheet. The Corporation agrees and will do so.

(b) Two comments requested that the questions contained in both the Part III—Narrative and Part IV—Work Plans be clarified and contain more detail. The revised application includes these changes.

(c) Five comments were received about Part II—Budget, of which 3 were incorporated. The three reflected in the revised information collection are to clarify the types of items to enter on each budget line item; to provide clearer instruction; and to more thoroughly define the category on the budget form called “xcess.” Two comments were not included: A request to update formulas in a spreadsheet template, because the spreadsheet is no longer used; and a request to list the types of required documentation needed to respond to an audit. This last request is beyond the scope of the grant application’s purpose. The information requested by the respondent can be found in Program Regulations.

(d) Two commenters thought the estimated burden was too low. The Corporation believes that burden estimate is adequate, particularly with upcoming improvements to the eGrants system, and has retained the original annualized time estimates.

(e) There was one comment each about the Volunteer Station Roster, requesting a standard user-friendly format; and one comment about sorting the list of Service Categories in the grant

application in the same order as is used in the Progress Report. Both suggestions were incorporated.

(f) From a total of 9 general comments, 7 were beyond the scope of this information collection. Comments included two pleas to provide more federal funds to grantees; a request to change the method used to capture outcomes and outcome-based programming; a request to standardize at least one programmatic outcome for all RSVP grantees nationwide; one request to restrict the number of new data elements as much as possible. One general comment addressed the Corporation’s ongoing system of “grading” its grantees on outcomes, which is factually incorrect, as the Corporation does not grade its grantees in this manner. Another comment asked the Corporation to lower the age and income eligibility for its Foster Grandparent and Senior Companion Programs, which was established and can only be changed through a legislative change. Two general comments asked for copies of the revised application package, which were provided.

(g) The 43 remaining comments, comprising the majority of all comments, requested changes to the Corporation’s electronic grants management system, eGrants. While beyond the scope of this information collection, Senior Corps will share these comments with the Corporation’s eGrants team. Also, Senior Corps will prepare an update for its grantees about the soon-to-debut version of eGrants Phase II, including the problems reported by the public that it will solve.

Description: The Corporation is seeking approval of the Senior Corps Grant Application which is used by current and prospective grantees to apply for sponsorship of projects under the Retired and Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP); the Foster Grandparent Program (FGP); the Senior Companion Program (SCP); the Senior Demonstration Program (SDP); and the Special Volunteer Program—Homeland Security (SVP). Completion of the Grant Application is required to be considered for sponsorship and the receipt of associated federal funds and benefits. Changes proposed include:

- Additional instructions to clarify budget, narrative, work plan, and performance measures sections;
- Updated the list of Service Categories used by applicants to identify the types of needs the national service participants meet;
- Use of a standard format for the supplemental Station Roster that is both

user-friendly and that collects consistent information.

- New fields adopted by the eGovernment Initiative, including the required DUNS number; and
- Accurate correlation to the flow and references contained in the Corporation’s electronic grants management system, eGrants, that is used by its grantees.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: Senior Corps Grant Application.

OMB Number: 3045–0035.

Agency Number: CNCS Form 424–NSSC.

Affected Public: Sponsors of National Senior Service Corps (Senior Corps) grants.

Total Respondents: 1,317.

Frequency: Annual.

Average Time Per Respondent: 13.5 for current grantees, and 16.5 for first time applicants.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 17,121.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): None.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): \$4,600.

Dated: February 22, 2005.

Tess Scannell,

Director, Senior Corps.

[FR Doc. 05–3716 Filed 2–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050–\$–P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the “Corporation”), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. section 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirement on respondents can be properly assessed.