Information on the proposed action will also be posted on the forest Web site, http://www.fs.fed.us/r5.shastatrinity/ projects, and advertised in the Mt. Shasta Herald. A field trip will be held for interested parties in May of 2005. This notice of intent intimates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Comments submitted during this scoping process should be in writing and should be specific to the proposed action. The comments should describe as clearly and completely as possible any issue the commenter has with the proposal. The scoping process includes:

(a) Identifying potential issues.

(b) Identifying issues to be analyzed in depth.

(c) Eliminating non-significant issues or those previously covered by a relevant previous environmental analysis.

(d) Exploring additional alternatives.

(e) Identifying potential environmental effects of the proposed action and alternatives.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register. The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. (Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978)). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be dismissed by the courts. (City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period thus ensuring substantive comments and objections are available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and

respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: January 24, 2005.

J. Sharon Heywood,

Forest Supervisor, Shasta-Trinity National Forest.

[FR Doc. 05–2767 Filed 2–11–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Southwest Mississippi Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. ACTION: Meeting notice for the Southwest Mississippi Resource Advisory Committee under Section 205 of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–393).

SUMMARY: This notice is published in accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Meeting notice is hereby given for the Southwest Mississippi Resource Advisory Committee pursuant to Section 205 of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000, Public Law 106–393. Topics to be discussed include: general information, possible Title II projects, and the next meeting dates and agendas.

DATES: The meeting will be held on March 22, 2005, from 6 p.m. and end at approximately 9 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Franklin County Public Library, 381 First Street, Meadville, Mississippi.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Bell Lunsford, Public Affairs Officer, USDA, Homochitto National Forest, 1200 Hwy. 184 East, Meadville, MS 39653 (601–384–5876)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff, Committee members and elected officials. However, persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. A public input session will be provided and individuals who made written requests by March 11, 2005, will have the opportunity to address the committee at that session. Individuals wishing to speak or propose agenda items must send their names and proposals to Tim Reed, District Ranger, DFÔ, 1200 Hwy. 184 East, Meadville, MS 39653.

Dated: February 4, 2005.

Tim Reed,

Designated Federal Officer. [FR Doc. 05–2748 Filed 2–11–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–52–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-812]

Furfuryl Alcohol from Thailand: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of 2003–2004 Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 14, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Smith at (202) 482–1276, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Background

On August 30, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on furfuryl alcohol from Thailand covering the period July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 69 FR 52857 (August 30, 2004). The preliminary results for this review are currently due no later than April 4, 2005.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

We are currently analyzing complicated sales and cost information that has required numerous supplemental questionnaire responses. In particular, our analysis of input costs, general and administrative expenses, and interest expenses requires additional time and makes it impracticable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the originally anticipated time limit (i.e., April 4, 2005). Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to no later than May 4, 2005, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 8, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–599 Filed 2–11–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE: 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-507-502]

Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain In-Shell Raw Pistachios From Iran

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On August 9, 2004, the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping order covering certain in-shell raw pistachios from Iran. See Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain In-Shell Raw Pistachios from Iran, 69 FR 48197 (August 9, 2004) (Preliminary *Results*). The product covered by this order is certain in-shell raw pistachios (pistachios) from Iran as described in the "Scope of the Review" section of the **Federal Register** notice. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. We invited parties to comment on our Preliminary Results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the *Preliminary Results.* The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm and the producer of the merchandise is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 14, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angelica Mendoza at (202) 482–3019, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers sales of pistachios to the United States made by Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc., trading as Nima Trading Company (Nima).

In response to our request for written comments and any additional documentary evidence regarding whether or not, Nima's supplier of pistachios, Razi Domghan Agricultural and Animal Husbandry Company (Razi) did or did not have knowledge that the goods in question were destined for the United States at the time of the sale, on August 23, 2004, we received comments from only one party, Nima. On September 3, 2004, in a memorandum to the file, the Department discussed several inadvertent calculation errors in its preliminary margin calculation that it intended to correct for purposes of these final results. See Memorandum to the File through Richard O. Weible, Director, Intended Correction to the Preliminary Margin Calculation, dated September 3, 2004 (Prelim Correction Memo).

On September 8, 2004, the California Pistachio Commission (CPC or petitioner) and Cal Pure Pistachios, Inc. (Cal Pure), an interested party to the instant proceeding, requested a public hearing. On September 16, 2004, in response to our *Preliminary Results*, we received case briefs from Nima, CPC, and Cal Pure. All parties submitted rebuttal briefs on September 22, 2004. We held a public hearing on October 1, 2004. *See* Hearing Transcript, Pistachios from Iran, dated October 1, 2004. On November 26, 2004, the Department extended fully the time limit, from December 7, 2004, until no later than February 7, 2005, for the final results of the instant administrative review. See Certain In-Shell Raw Pistachios from Iran: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 69 FR 70123 (December 2, 2004).

Scope of the Review

The product covered by the antidumping duty order is raw, in-shell pistachio nuts from which the hulls have been removed, leaving the inner hard shells, and edible meats from Iran. This merchandise is currently provided for in subheading 0802.50.20.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).* Although the *HTSUS* subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in case and rebuttal briefs submitted by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated February 7, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B–099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made changes to Nima's margin calculation. The changes are listed below:

1. We applied a profit rate to the producer's, Razi's, cost of production based on Razi's actual profit rate for home market sales during the POR. For purposes of calculating a profit margin for Nima, we used the profit rate from an Iranian pistachio trader, *i.e.*, Fallah, which resold pistachios in Iran during a prior proceeding (*i.e.*, Nima's new shipper review). *See* Memorandum from