

**ACTION:** Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) has made a Finding Of No Significant Impact with respect to a request from North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation for financing assistance from RUS to finance the construction of a 336 megawatt (MW), simple-cycle combustion turbine electric generating facility in Anson County North Carolina, and a 280 MW simple-cycle combustion turbine electric generation facility in Richmond County, North Carolina.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lawrence Wolfe, Engineering and Environmental Staff, RUS, Stop 1571, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-1571, telephone (202) 720-5093, e-mail [larry.wolfe@usda.gov](mailto:larry.wolfe@usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation proposes to construct and operate two simple-cycle combustion turbine electric generation projects. A 336 MW facility is proposed at a site located approximately 4 miles east of Lilesville, just to the north of Blewett Falls Road (SR 1745) and south of McCoy Creek in Anson County, North Carolina. Approximately 20 acres of the 178 acre site will be needed for the generation facility. The other project consists of a 280 MW facility proposed at a site approximately 2.54 miles southwest of Hamlet west of Airport Road and south of Marks Creek in Richmond County, North Carolina. Approximately 20 acres of the 258 acre site will be needed for the generation facility. This facility will also require the construction of 7.8 miles of 230 kV transmission line between the Rockingham Substation and the Richmond Substation. The transmission line will be constructed and operated by Progress Energy. North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation is expected to finance the cost of the project through an RUS guarantee. Specific information on the facilities to be constructed and their locations are provided in the environmental assessment.

Copies of the Finding of No Significant Impact are available from RUS at the address provided herein or from June Small, North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation, P.O. Box 27306, Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7306. Ms. Small may be contacted by telephone at (919) 872-0800 or e-mail at [june.small@ncemcs.com](mailto:june.small@ncemcs.com).

Dated: February 4, 2005.

**James R. Newby,**

*Assistant Administrator, Electric Program.*

[FR Doc. 05-2515 Filed 2-8-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-475-818]

#### Notice of Final Results of the Seventh Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy and Determination to Revoke in Part

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 6, 2004, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results and partial rescission of the seventh administrative review and revocation of the antidumping duty order in part, for the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy. The review covers eight manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise: (1) Barilla Alimentare, S.p.A. (Barilla), (2) Corticella Molini e Pastifici S.p.A. (Corticella) and its affiliate Pasta Combattenti S.p.A. (Combattenti) (collectively, Corticella/Combattenti), (3) Pastificio Guido Ferrara S.r.l. (Ferrara), (4) Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A. (Indalco) and its affiliate Fusco S.r.l. (Fusco) (collectively Indalco), (5) Pasta Lensi S.r.l. (Lensi), (6) PAM S.p.A. (PAM), (7) Pastificio Riscossa F. Illi Mastromauro, S.r.l. (Riscossa), and (8) Pastificio Carmine Russo S.p.A./Pastificio Di Nola S.p.A. (Russo). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. For our final results, we have found that during the POR, Barilla, Corticella/Combattenti, Indalco, PAM, Riscossa, and Russo sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). We have also found that Ferrara and Lensi did not make sales of the subject merchandise at less than NV (*i.e.*, they have “zero” or *de minimis* dumping margins). We have also determined to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to subject merchandise produced and also exported by Ferrara and Lensi because each company sold the subject merchandise at not less than NV for a period of at least three consecutive years. See 19 CFR 351.222(b)(2) and the “Revocation”

section of this notice. The final results are listed in the “Final Results of Review” section below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 9, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Young, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6397.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 6, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of the seventh administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy. See *Notice of Preliminary Results, Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order in Part: For the Seventh Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy*, 69 FR 47880 (August 6, 2004) (*Preliminary Results*). Although the Department initiated the review of fifteen companies, we rescinded the reviews of N. Puglisi & F. Industria Pasta Alimentari S.p.A. (Puglisi), La Molisana Industrie Alimentari S.p.a. (La Molisana), Molino e Pastificio Tomasello S.r.l. (Tomasello), Pastificio Antonio Pallante S.r.l. (Pallante) and Industrie Alimentari Molisane S.r.l. (IAM) (collectively Pallante/IAM), Pastificio Fratelli Pagani S.p.A. (Pagani), Rummo S.p.A. Molino e Pastificio (Rummo), and Pastificio Lucio Garofalo S.p.A. (Garofalo). See the “Background” and “Partial Rescission” section of the *Preliminary Results*, 69 FR at 47880, 47881. The review covers the remaining eight manufacturers/exporters: Barilla, Corticella/Combattenti, Ferrara, Indalco, Lensi, PAM, Riscossa, and Russo.

We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. Petitioners<sup>1</sup> filed case briefs on September 7, 2004, regarding Barilla, Indalco, and Riscossa. Barilla, Indalco, PAM, Russo, Riscossa, and Lensi each filed case briefs on September 7, 2004. On September 13, 2004, petitioners submitted rebuttal briefs concerning Barilla and Indalco, and Barilla, Riscossa, and Indalco submitted rebuttal briefs. On October 6, 2004, a public hearing was held at the Department of Commerce with respect to Barilla. On November 4, 2004, the Department published the notice of extension of final results of the antidumping administrative review of pasta from Italy, extending the date for

<sup>1</sup> Petitioners are New World Pasta Company, Dakota Growers Pasta Company, Borden Foods Corporation and American Italian Pasta Company.

these final results to February 2, 2005. See *Certain Pasta From Italy: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 64275.

### Scope of Review

Imports covered by this order are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds four ounces or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastasis, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this order are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. Also excluded are imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by the Istituto Mediterraneo Di Certificazione, by Bioagricoop Scrl, by QC&I International Services, by Ecocert Italia, by Consorzio per il Controllo dei Prodotti Biologici, or by Associazione Italiana per l'Agricoltura Biologica.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

### Assignment of the Same Antidumping Duty Rate to Corticella/Combattenti and CLC

In the *Preliminary Results*, we stated that evidence indicates that Corticella/Combattenti and its toll producer, Coopertive Lomellina Cerealicoltori S.r.l. (CLC), are affiliated, and we noted that the Department recognized, given the nature of their affiliation, that a related issue could arise with respect to whether there is a potential for manipulation of price or production and, if so, whether Corticella/Combattenti and CLC should receive a single same antidumping duty rate. See 69 FR at 47880. We solicited comments on the issue for consideration in the final results of review. We did not receive any comments on the matter.

For purposes of these final results, we continue to find that Corticella/Combattenti and CLC are affiliated. Further, upon further examination, we have revised our approach from the

*Preliminary Results* regarding the antidumping duty rates assigned to Corticella/Combattenti and CLC. Specifically, we find that there is sufficient record evidence to warrant collapsing Corticella/Combattenti and CLC pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f) and that the companies should receive a single weighted-average antidumping duty margin. For further discussion of this issue, see the February 2, 2005 memorandum from the Team to Melissa G. Skinner, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, entitled, "The relationship of Coopertive Lomellina Cerealicoltori S.r.l. (CLC) with Corticella Molini e Pastifici S.p.A. (Corticella) and its affiliate Pasta Combattenti S.p.A. (Combattenti, collectively Corticella/Combattenti)," a proprietary document, the public version of which is available in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Department building.

### Revocation

In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily determined to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to subject merchandise produced and exported by Ferrara. See 69 FR at 47887. We did not receive any comments from interested parties concerning our revocation with respect to Ferrara. For the reasons set forth in the *Preliminary Results*, 69 FR at 47886, we continue to find that revocation is appropriate with respect to Ferrara and, thus, we revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to subject merchandise produced and exported by Ferrara.

Regarding Lensi, in the *Preliminary Results*, we found that the company made sales of subject merchandise at less than NV. See 69 FR at 47886. As a result, we preliminarily determined not to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to Lensi. However, as explained in Comments 13 through 20 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum that accompanies the final results of review, we have corrected certain inadvertent errors in Lensi's margin program and, as a result, find that Lensi did not sell subject merchandise at less than NV. As noted in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, petitioners did not comment on any of the issues raised by Lensi, including the revocation issue. As explained in the February 2, 2005, memorandum to Melissa G. Skinner, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, from the Team, we find that Lensi has satisfied all requirements under 19 CFR 351.222(e)(1) and 19 CFR 351.222(b)(2) entitling it to revocation, and, thus, we revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to subject merchandise produced and exported by Lensi. In

accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(f)(3), we will instruct CBP to terminate the suspension of liquidation for merchandise produced and exported by Ferrara or produced and exported by Lensi, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the first day after the period under review, and to refund any cash deposit.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal brief by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised, and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Barilla .....	7.25
Corticella/Combattenti ..	4.00
Ferrara .....	de minimis
Indalco .....	6.03
Lensi .....	de minimis
PAM .....	4.78
Riscossa .....	1.05
Russo .....	7.36
All Others .....	11.26

### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated exporter/importer-specific duty assessment rates by aggregating the dumping margins for the examined U.S. sales for each importer and dividing the amount by the total entered value of the sales for that importer. In situations in which the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on that importer's entries of subject merchandise. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of

this notice of final results of the administrative review for all shipments of certain pasta from Italy entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above, except where the margin is *de minimis* or zero we will instruct CBP not to collect cash deposits; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 11.26 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta from Italy*, 61 FR 38547 (July 24, 1996). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO are sanctionable violations.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 2, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**  
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum

###### List of Comments:

##### Barilla Alimentare, S.p.A. (Barilla)

Comment 1: Double Counting of the Cost of Semolina Purchases  
 Comment 2: Treatment of Subject Merchandise Produced by Other Italian Manufacturers  
 Comment 3: Overstatement of Constructed Export Price (CEP) Profit  
 Comment 4: CEP Offset  
 Comment 5: Use of Facts Available for Financial Discount  
 Comment 6: Reclassification of Rebate Payments as Selling Expense  
 Comment 7: Margin Calculation Methodology  
 Comment 8: Application of Case Discount

##### Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A. and Fusco S.r.l. (collectively Indalco)

Comment 9: Liquidation Instructions  
 Comment 10: Margin Calculation Methodology  
 Comment 11: Selling, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expenses  
 Comment 12: DIFMER Adjustment

##### Pasta Lensi S.r.l. (Lensi)

Comment 13: Credit and purchase order adjustments to the Gross Unit Price in the Net U.S. Price Calculation  
 Comment 14: Credit Adjustment to Gross Unit Price in Calculating Normal Value  
 Comment 15: Commission Offset for CEP Sales  
 Comment 16: CEP Offset  
 Comment 17: Imputed Credit Expenses  
 Comment 18: Wheat Classifications  
 Comment 19: CEP Profit  
 Comment 20: Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order for Lensi

##### PAM S.p.A. (PAM)

Comment 21: Collapsing PAM's wheat types 1 and 2

##### Pasta Riscossa F. Illi Mastromauro, S.r.l. (Riscossa)

Comment 22: Use of a Constant Factor for Inland Freight Expense  
 Comment 23: Correction of the Home Market Warranties field  
 Comment 24: Inclusion of Purchased Pasta in Comparison Market Program

Comment 25: Adjustment of Semolina Costs

Comment 26: Revision of Riscossa's Reported Interest Rate

##### Pastificio Carmine Russo S.p.A./ Pastificio Di Nola S.p.A. (Russo)

Comment 27: U.S. Price Calculation [FR Doc. E5-534 Filed 2-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-489-805)

#### Certain Pasta from Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 6, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. This review covers two exporters/producers of subject merchandise, Filiz Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Filiz) and Tat Konserve A.S. (Tat), successor-in-interest to Pastavilla Makarnacilik San. V. Tic. A.S., (Pastavilla). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. For our final results, we have found that during the POR, Tat and Filiz sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). The final results are listed in the "Final Results of Review" section below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 9, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lyman Armstrong or Eric Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3601 or (202) 482-6071, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 6, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pasta from Turkey. *See Certain Pasta from Turkey: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 47876 (August 6, 2004)