(b) *Secondary credit*. The interest rates for secondary credit provided to depository institutions under § 201.4(b) are:

Federal Reserve Bank	Rate	Effective
Boston	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
New York	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
Philadelphia	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
Cleveland	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
Richmond	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
Atlanta	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
Chicago	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
St. Louis	4.00	Feb. 3, 2005.
Minneapolis	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
Kansas City	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
Dallas	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.
San Francisco	4.00	Feb. 2, 2005.

#### \* \* \* \*

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 3, 2005.

# Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 05–2463 Filed 2–8–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–02–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

## 21 CFR Part 522

#### Implantation or Injectable Dosage Form New Animal Drugs; Zeranol

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

## ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the animal drug regulations to reflect approval of two supplemental new animal drug applications (NADAs) filed by Schering-Plough Animal Health Corp. The supplemental NADAs provide for the addition of statements to labeling of subcutaneous implants containing zeranol warning against the use of these products in calves to be processed for veal.

**DATES:** This rule is effective February 9, 2005.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric

S. Dubbin, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV–126), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301–827–0232, email: *edubbin@cvm.fda.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Schering-Plough Animal Health Corp., 1095 Morris Ave., Union, NJ 07083, filed a supplement to NADA 38–233 for RALGRO (zeranol) and to NADA 141– 192 for RALGRO LA (zeranol), two subcutaneous implants/products used in certain classes of cattle or in sheep for improved feed efficiency and/or increased rate of weight gain. The supplemental NADAs provide for the addition of statements to labeling warning against the use of these products in calves to be processed for veal. The supplemental applications are approved as of January 14, 2005, and the regulations are amended in 21 CFR 522.2680 to reflect the approval. The basis of approval is discussed in the freedom of information summaries.

In accordance with the freedom of information provisions of 21 CFR part 20 and 21 CFR 514.11(e)(2)(ii), summaries of safety and effectiveness data and information submitted to support approval of these applications may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.33(a)(1) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

This rule does not meet the definition of "rule" in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of "particular applicability." Therefore, it is not subject to the congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801–808.

#### List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 522

Animal drugs.

■ Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 522 is amended as follows:

#### PART 522—IMPLANTATION OR INJECTABLE DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

■ 1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 522 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 360b.

 2. Section 522.2680 is amended by revising paragraphs (d)(1)(iii), (d)(2)(iii), (d)(3)(iii), and (d)(4)(iii) to read as follows:

## § 522.2680 Zeranol.

- \* \*
- (d) \* \* \* (1) \* \* \*
- (iii) *Limitations*. Implant

subcutaneously in ear only. Do not use in bulls intended for reproduction or in dairy animals. Do not use before 1 month of age or after weaning in heifers intended for reproduction. Safety and effectiveness have not been established in veal calves. A withdrawal period has not been established for this product in preruminating calves. Do not use in calves to be processed for veal.

(2) \* \* \* 1

(iii) *Limitations*. Implant subcutaneously in ear only. Do not use in breeding animals. Do not implant animals within 40 days of slaughter. Safety and effectiveness have not been established in veal calves. A withdrawal period has not been established for this product in preruminating calves. Do not use in calves to be processed for veal. (3) \* \* \*

(iii) *Limitations*. Implant subcutaneously in ear only. Safety and effectiveness have not been established in veal calves. A withdrawal period has not been established for this product in preruminating calves. Do not use in calves to be processed for veal.

(4) \* \* \* (iii) *Limitations*. Implant subcutaneously in ear only. Safety and effectiveness have not been established in veal calves. A withdrawal period has not been established for this product in preruminating calves. Do not use in calves to be processed for veal.

Dated: January 27, 2005.

## Steven D. Vaughn,

Director, Office of New Animal Drug Evaluation, Center for Veterinary Medicine. [FR Doc. 05–2451 Filed 2–8–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

#### **POSTAL SERVICE**

## 39 CFR Part 551

#### Semipostal Stamp Program

**AGENCY:** Postal Service. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule clarifies regulations relating to the determination of costs to be offset from differential revenue.

**DATES:** The final rule is effective February 9, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cindy Tackett, (703) 292–3980.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Semipostal stamps are intended to raise funds for specified causes. The difference between the sales price of a semipostal stamp and its postage value (the differential) constitutes a contribution to a specified cause. The Postal Service is permitted to retain an amount from the differential to cover its reasonable administrative costs.