the subject line the following document identifier: "Sockeye captive propagation permits assessment". The documents are also available on the Internet at *www.nwr.noaa.gov/1sustfsh/10permits/*. Comments received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours by calling (208) 378–5614.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Herb Pollard, Boise, Idaho, at phone number (208) 378–5614 or e-mail: herbert.pollard@noaa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is relevant to the following species and evolutionarily significant unit (ESU):

Sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*): endangered Snake River.

## Background

NEPA requires Federal agencies to conduct an environmental analysis of their proposed actions to determine if the actions may affect the human environment. NMFS expects to take action on two ESA section 10(a)(1)(A) submittals received from the applicants. Therefore, the Service is seeking public input on the scope of the required NEPA analysis, including the range of reasonable alternatives and associated impacts of any alternatives.

The Idaho Department of Fish and Game and the Northwest Fisheries Science Center have each submitted an application for a section 10(a)(1)(A) research/enhancement permit for continued operation of the Redfish Lake Sockeye Salmon Captive Propagation program. The two permits would authorize activities that are part of the same project.

The objectives of the proposal are to increase the abundance of the listed population through artificial propagation and to serve as a safety net to prevent extinction of the Snake River Sockeye Salmon Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU), which is listed as endangered under the ESA. The artificial propagation action would include maintenance of the Snake River sockeye salmon broodstock in captivity in several locations, collection and spawning of adult sockeye salmon returning to the Snake River basin, rearing of the juveniles, and use of the juvenile and adult fish in carefully designed release strategies that include captive propagation, smolt releases, and natural spawning releases. The action would include conditions to minimize adverse effects on the ESU, including use of prudent fish husbandry practices and standard hatchery protocols to ensure health and survival of the program fish, selection of eggs and

juveniles in a manner designed to represent to the greatest extent possible the entire genetic spectrum of the founding population, and the conduct of spawning ground surveys to estimate natural spawning escapement and to determine the affects of captive-reared fish on spawner distribution and behavior.

## **Environmental Assessment (EA)**

The EA package includes a draft EA evaluating whether the potential effects of issuing the new take permits is a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, with the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended. Three Federal action alternatives have been analyzed in the draft EA: (1) The no action alternative; (2) issue a permit with conditions; and (3) issue a permit without conditions. NEPA requires Federal agencies to conduct an environmental analysis of their proposed action to determine if the action may affect the human environment. NMFS expects to take action on the ESA section 10(a)(1)(A) submittals received from the applicants. Therefore, NMFS is seeking public input on the scope of the required NEPA analysis, including the range of reasonable alternatives and associated impacts of any alternatives. The general effects on the environment considered include the impacts on the physical, biological, and socioeconomic environments of the Snake River Basin, particularly in the Stanley Basin lakes in which the program is located.

This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the ESA and the NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6). NMFS will evaluate the applications, associated documents, and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the application meets the requirements of the NEPA regulations and section 10(a) of the ESA. If it is determined that the requirements are met, the permits will be issued for take of ESA-listed anadromous salmonids under the jurisdiction of NMFS. The final NEPA and permit determinations will not be completed until after the end of the 30day comment period, and will fully consider all public comments received during the comment period. NMFS will publish a record of its final action in the Federal Register.

Dated: January 11, 2005. **Phil Williams,**  *Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.* [FR Doc. 05–928 Filed 1–14–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510-22-S** 

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

## Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected costs and burden; it includes the actual data collection instruments (if any).

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before February 17, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lawrence B. Patent, Division of Clearing and Intermediary Oversight, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581, (202) 418–5439; FAX: (202) 418–5536; e-mail: *lpatent@cftc.gov* and refer to OMB Control No. 3038–0026;

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Gross Collection of Exchange-Set Margins for Omnibus Accounts (OMB Control No. 3038–0026). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* Commission Regulation 1.58 requires futures commission merchants to carry omnibus accounts on a gross, rather than a net, basis. This rule is promulgated pursuant to the Commission's rulemaking authority contained in sections 5 and 5a of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. 7 and 7a (2000).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for the CFTC's regulations were published on December 30, 1981. *See* 46 FR 63035 (Dec. 30, 1981). The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on November 9, 2004 (69 FR 64917).

Burden statement: The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to average .08 hours per response. These estimates include the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: 150. Estimated number of responses: 48 Estimated total annual burden on respondents: 600 hours.

*Frequency of collection:* On occasion. Send comments regarding the burden estimated or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the addresses listed below. Please refer to OMB Control No. 3038–0026 in any correspondence.

Lawrence B. Patent, Division of Clearing and Intermediary Oversight, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581 and Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for CFTC, 725 17th Street, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: January 11, 2005

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc. 05–910 Filed 1–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6351–01–M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Office of the Secretary

## **Defense Science Board**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Science Board Task Force on Munitions System Reliability will meet in closed session on January 14, 2005, at SAIC, 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA, and February 2–4, 2005, at Naval Air Warfare Center, China Lake, CA. This Task Force will review the efforts thus far to improve the reliability of munitions systems and identify additional steps to be taken to reduce the amount of unexploded ordnance resulting from munitions failures. The Task Force will: Conduct a methodologically sound assessment of the failure rates of U.S. munitions in actual combat use; review ongoing efforts to reduce the amount of unexploded ordnance resulting from munitions systems failures, and evaluate whether there are ways to improve or accelerate these efforts; and identify other feasible measures the U.S. can take to reduce the threat that failed munitions pose to friendly forces and noncombatants.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board Task Force will: conduct a methodologically sound assessment of the failure rates of U.S. munitions in actual combat use; review ongoing efforts to reduce the amount of unexploded ordnance resulting from munitions systems failures, and evaluate whether there are ways to improve or accelerate these efforts; and identify other feasible measures the U.S. can take to reduce the threat that failed munitions pose to friendly forces and noncombatants

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 2), it has been determined that these Defense Science Board Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and that, accordingly, these meetings will be closed to the public.

Dated: January 6, 2005.

## L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 05–867 Filed 1–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

## Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

## Intent To Prepare a Joint Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report for the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project Dredged Material Transfer Facility, Marin County, CA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality

regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and Public Law 102–484 section 2834, as amended by Public Law 104–106 section 2867, the Department of the Army and the California State Coastal Conservancy (SCC) hereby give notice of intent to prepare a joint Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (SEIS/EIR) for the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project (HWRP), Marin County, California to consider alternative methods to transfer dredged material collected from various navigational dredging projects within San Francisco Bay to the HWRP site for beneficial re-use in the construction of tidal and seasonal wetlands. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is the lead agency for this project under NEPA. The SCC is the lead agency for this project under CEQA.

A pubic scoping meeting will be held to solicit comments on the environmental scope of the project and the appropriate scope of the SEIS/EIR. **DATES:** The public scoping meeting will be held on the 26th of January 2005 from 7 to 9 p.m. at the Bay Model, 2100 Bridgeway, Sausalito, Marin County, CA.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposed action and SEIS/EIR can be answered by: Eric Jolliffe, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, 333 Market St., 7th floor, San Francisco, CA 94105, *ejolliffe@spd02.usace.army.mil*, (415) 977–8543.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The HWRP is located on the former Hamilton Army Airfield approximately 25 miles north of San Francisco in Marin County, CA. The original EIS/EIR was prepared for the HWRP in 1998. This project would involve the beneficial re-use of an estimated 10.6 million cubic yards (MCY) of dredged material to restore the 988-acre site to tidal and seasonal wetland, which is critical habitat for several local endangered species. Site preparation construction required prior to dredged material placement has begun. The first SEIS/EIR, which described expanding the project to include the Bel Marin Keys V (BMK–V) property, was completed in 2003. Pending congressional authorization, the adjacent BMK-V site of approximately 1610 acres will be restored as a part of the HWRP using approximately 14 MCY of additional dredged material. The present notice announces the intent to prepare a second SEIS/EIR on the HWRP, which evaluates alternative methods for delivering dredged material