

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

North Bridgers Grazing Allotment Management Plan Update; Bozeman Ranger District; Gallatin National Forest; Gallatin County, MT

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; intent to prepare environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The USDA, Forest Service, will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) to update the allotment management plans for eleven cattle and horse grazing allotments. The allotments are located in the northern end of the Bridger Mountain Range approximately 20 miles north of Bozeman Montana.

DATES: Initial comments concerning this project must be received 45 days after publication of this Notice of Intent. The draft environmental impact statement is expected April 2006 and the final environmental impact statement is expected September 2006.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to John Councilman, 3710 Fallon Street Suite C, Bozeman, Montana 59718. Send e-mail comments to: *comments-northern-gallatin@fs.fed.us*. Please include the name of the project on the e-mail subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Councilman, Resource Assistant, Bozeman Ranger District, Gallatin National Forest, USDA Forest Service (406) 522-2533 (see **ADDRESSES** above).

Responsible Official: José Castro, District Ranger, Bozeman Ranger District, 3710 Fallon Street Suite C, Bozeman, Montana 59718.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Gallatin National Forest includes approximately 1.9 million acres of public land adjacent the northern boundary of Yellowstone National Park. Local communities include Bozeman, Big Sky, West Yellowstone, Livingston, Big Timber, Gardiner, and Cooke City,

Montana. The eleven allotments scheduled for review include approximately 63,000 acres of National Forest and private lands within boundaries of the allotments.

The purpose and need of this proposal, in part, is to comply with Public Law 104-19, Section 504(a): Establish and adhere to a schedule for the completion of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) analysis and decisions on all grazing allotments within the National Forest System unit for which NEPA is needed (Pub. L. 104-19, General Provision 1995). Upon completion of the NEPA analysis and decisions for the allotments, the terms and conditions of existing grazing permits will be modified, as necessary, to conform to the NEPA analysis. In addition, the purpose of the action is to improve conditions of riparian plant communities, reduce stream-side trampling by livestock, and achieve desirable vegetative conditions on those areas grazed by livestock within the project area.

The proposed action is to continue grazing the current numbers of livestock. Current permitted numbers include about 921 cow/calf pairs and 4 horses on National Forest Land plus 1126 cow/calf pairs, 10 yearlings and 6 horses grazed on the private land portions within the allotments. Adaptive management strategies would be implemented. Adaptive management allows flexibility in how the livestock are grazed and would allow managers to make adjustments and corrections to management based on monitoring. Also, changes in the current riparian grazing standards are proposed. Modification, additions or removal or allotment improvements such as fences and water developments may be proposed.

No Grazing and No Action alternatives will be analyzed during the NEPA process. The No Grazing alternative would eliminate domestic livestock grazing on the allotments. The No Action alternative would allow continued livestock grazing as it is currently being managed. Other alternatives, arising from issues identified through scoping, could be analyzed as well.

Comments from the public and other agencies on this proposal will be used in preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). More

specifically, comments will be used to modify and refine the alternatives and identify potential resource issues (environmental effects) that should be considered in the analysis.

The Draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review in April in 2006. At that time, the EPA will publish a Notice of Availability of the Draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. The Final EIS is scheduled for completion in September 2006.

The notice of intent initiated the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Substantive comments and objections to the proposed action will be considered during this analysis.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 533 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*. 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate during comment periods provided so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when they can meaningfully consider them. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues, comments should be specific to concerns associated with the management of livestock grazing within the northern Bridger Mountains of the

Gallatin National Forest. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in structuring comments.

I am the responsible official and the deciding officer for the North Bridgers Grazing Allotment Management Plan Update. My address is District Ranger, Bozeman Ranger District, 3710 Fallon Street Suite C, Bozeman, MT 59718.

Dated: December 8, 2004.

José Castro

District Ranger

[FR Doc. 05-280 Filed 1-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Lower Granite Area Mining Projects; Umatilla National Forest, Grant County, OR

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service published a document in the **Federal Register** on March 9, 2000, providing notice that the Umatilla National Forest was intending to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposed action to approve Proposed Plans of Operation on mining claims located in the Granite Area, within the Granite Creek Watershed, a tributary of the North Fork John Day River. The project area is located on the North Fork John Day Ranger District, approximately 35 air miles southeast of Ukiah, Oregon. The notice of availability for the Draft EIS for this project was published in the **Federal Register** on July 26, 2002. The decision has been made to issue a supplemental EIS for this project. The supplemental draft EIS will include on additional Plan of Operation and will update the analysis; including the cumulative effects analysis.

DATES: The formal scoping period opened with publication of the original Notice of Intent to produce an EIS first appeared in the **Federal Register** on March 9, 2000. Notification of the Draft EIS was printed in the **Federal Register** on July 26, 2002. The comment period was extended to October 21, 2002 in an amended notice published in the **Federal Register** September 20, 2002. Comments regarding the draft EIS should have been received by October 21, 2002. The Forest Service expects to

file the supplemental draft impact statement with the Environmental Protection Agency and make it available for public comment by February 2005 and the final environmental impact statement is expected May 2005.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments and suggestions to: District Ranger, North Fork John Day Ranger District, P.O. Box 158, Ukiah, OR 97880.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ralph Hartman, Project Team Leader, North Fork John Day Ranger District. Phone: (541) 427-5336.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The formal scoping period opened with publication of the Notice of Intent to produce an EIS, which first appeared in the **Federal Register** on March 9, 2000 (Vol. 65, No. 47, pages 12503-12505). Notification of the draft EIS was printed in the **Federal Register** on July 26, 2002 (Vol. 67, No. 144, page 48895). The comment period was extended to October 21, 2002 in an Amended Notice published in the **Federal Register** on September 20, 2002 (Vol. 67, No. 183, page 59285). Since publication of the draft EIS several changes have occurred. First, one additional new mining proposal has been received within the analysis area and will be added to the proposed action. The name of the EIS has been changed from Granite Area Mining Projects to Lower Granite Area Mining Projects; and in response to comments received during the draft EIS comment period, a more detailed cumulative effects analysis will be completed. In response to the proposed changes, the decision has been made to issue a supplemental draft EIS. The scope of the project has not changed; therefore, this revised Notice of Intent does not initiate a second scoping period for the proposal. The supplemental draft EIS is expected to be released in February 2005 and the final EIS is expected to be released in May 2005.

Responsible Official: The responsible official for this EIS is Craig Smith Dixon, District Ranger, North Fork John Day Ranger District, Umatilla National Forest.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent

Environmental Review: A supplemental draft EIS will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the supplemental draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency published the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the

environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDS*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). also environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: December 22, 2004.

Jeff D. Blackwood,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 05-273 Filed 1-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Oregon Coast Provincial Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Oregon Coast Province Advisory Committee will meet in