

DGMQ will review a permit application within 3–5 business days of receiving the application and apply the criteria in **Federal Register** notice published at 79 FR 39403 (July 20, 2014). If the application is approved, a permit will be emailed to the dog's owner. The owner must present the permit to the Customs and Border Protection (CBP) officer at the first arriving port of entry into the United States. The permit will be collected by the CBP officer and sent to CDC.

If the permit application is denied, DGMQ will email the reasons for the denial to the dog's owner within 3–5 business days of receiving the application. The email will include instructions on whom to contact, including name, address, and telephone number, if the dog's owner has any questions, as well as information on how to submit an appeal. In accordance with current procedures, individuals who wish to contest CDC's determination will have five business days after receiving the denial to submit a written appeal. The individual must submit the appeal via email to cdcanimalimports@cdc.gov, state the reasons for the appeal, and show that there is a genuine and substantial issue of fact in dispute. CDC will issue a response via email, which will constitute final agency action. The appeal will be reviewed and decided upon by a CDC senior management official who is senior to the employee who denied the initial permit application. In keeping with current practice, a successful appeal of a denial only permits the owner to import the dog into the United States at a later date under the requirements set forth in a dog import permit. The appeal does not entitle the owner to recover any costs related to returning a dog that has been denied entry to its country of origin and reimporting the dog into the United States. An owner or owner's agent will not be allowed to board a dog or arrange for its confinement at a port of entry pending a determination regarding the importer's application to import an inadequately immunized dog. Accordingly, inadequately immunized dogs arriving at a port of entry without an approved permit will be denied entry into the United States and re-exported to its country of origin at the owner's expense.

III. Paperwork Reduction Act

This change does not institute a new collection of information. The collection of information, has been previously approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork

Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507) and assigned the following OMB control number: Foreign Quarantine: OMB Control No. 0920–0134, expiration date 5/31/2019.

Dated: June 12, 2017.

Sandra Cashman,

Executive Secretary, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2017–12439 Filed 6–16–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day–17–17ACE; Docket No. CDC–2017–0043]

Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice with comment period.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), as part of its continuing efforts to reduce public burden and maximize the utility of government information, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This notice invites comment on a proposed information collection entitled “Evaluation of Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) for Opioid use disorder.” CDC will use the collection to conduct an epidemiologic study to assess the type of MAT (methadone maintenance; buprenorphine; naltrexone; or, counseling, no MAT), and the contextual, provider, and individual factors that influence implementation and improved patient wellbeing over a two-year follow up period.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before August 18, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CDC–2017–0043 by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Leroy A. Richardson, Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., MS–D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and

Docket Number. All relevant comments received will be posted without change to Regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to Regulations.gov.

Please note: All public comment should be submitted through the Federal eRulemaking portal (Regulations.gov) or by U.S. mail to the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, contact Leroy A. Richardson, Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road, NE., MS–D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329; phone: 404–639–7570; Email: omb@cdc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. In addition, the PRA also requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each new proposed collection, each proposed extension of existing collection of information, and each reinstatement of previously approved information collection before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, we are publishing this notice of a proposed data collection as described below.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install and utilize technology

and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to a collection of information, to search data sources, to complete and review the collection of information; and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Proposed Project

Evaluation of Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) for Opioid use disorder—New—National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (NCIPC), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

CDC seeks a three-year OMB approval to collect evaluation information for Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) for Opioid use disorder.

About 2.4 million people aged 18 or older have opioid use disorders (OUDs)

in the United States. At any given time, only half of these people receive some form of treatment, which may include medication-assisted treatment (MAT) or abstinence-based psychotherapy or self-help treatments (*i.e.*, counseling without medication [COUN]). The rise in opioid overdose deaths, up from 2014–2015 due partly to a 72% rise in synthetic opioid overdose deaths alone, shows that engaging and retaining clients in OUD treatment is an urgent public health need. Only a few studies are available to help clients and providers make informed decisions about the risks and benefits associated with the different types of MATs. This information is crucial because even though each MAT drug helps prevent withdrawal symptoms and decreases cravings, differences in treatment approach and settings influence how people respond to the medication and, thus, their long-term treatment success.

The purpose of this evaluation is to conduct an epidemiologic, mixed-methods evaluation of OUD treatment in

real-world outpatient settings. The study aims to have 3,000 participants from real-world outpatient settings to better understand the relationship between type of MAT and individual, provider, and contextual characteristics related to retention in treatment and abstinence from opioid use. The sites will be located across 10 diverse metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) with four sites in each MSA. At each site, about 75 participants are expected to participate for a total of 300 per MSA. Across all MSAs, the study will aim to have 750 client participants in each of the four treatment conditions (MMT, BUP, NAL, and COUN).

The study will use a mixed-method approach using quantitative methods such as multilevel latent growth models, propensity score matching, latent class analysis and advance mediation analysis and qualitative methods such as interactive coding and analysis for common themes. The only cost to respondents will be time spent responding to the survey/screener.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

| Type of respondents | Instrument name | Number of respondents | Number of responses per respondent | Average burden per response (hours) | Total burden (hours) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Provider site staff | Client Permission Form | 15 | 100 | 5/60 | 125 |
| | Visit Form | 15 | 525 | 10/60 | 1,313 |
| | Site Director Questionnaire | 15 | 2 | 1 | 30 |
| | Focus Groups | 27 | 1 | 90/60 | 41 |
| Client respondents | Client Screener | 1,333 | 1 | 5/60 | 111 |
| | Client Check-in | 1,000 | 2 | 15/60 | 500 |
| | Client Questionnaire | 2,412 | 1 | 49/60 | 1,978 |
| | Focus Groups | 27 | 1 | 90/60 | 41 |
| Total | | | | | 4,139 |

Leroy A. Richardson,
Chief, Information Collection Review Office, Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the Associate Director for Science, Office of the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2017–12736 Filed 6–16–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

Title: Administration for Native Americans Objective Work Plan (OWP) and Objective Progress Report (OPR).

OMB No.: 0970–0452.

Description: Content and formatting changes are being made to the

Administration for Native Americans’ (ANA) Objective Work Plan (OWP) and Objective Progress Report (OPR). The OWP is used by applicants when they submit their proposals and then by grantees to monitor their projects once the award is made by ANA. Slight content changes are proposed for the OWP approved under information collection OMB No. 0970–0452, Expiration Date 6/30/2018. An extension of expiration date is also requested. This will streamline the information collection and reduce the number of elements.

OWP: The following are proposed content changes to the document: ANA proposes to eliminate Problem Statement and Results and Benefits and Criteria for Evaluation of results and benefits from the OWP. These elements will no longer be required by applicants for ANA discretionary grants. ANA will

consolidate staffing into one field for both lead and support staff.

ANA will require applicants to differentiate between administrative activities and milestone activities. Administrative activities are those directly related to grant administration, such as reporting and attending post-award training. Milestone activities are key activities needed to complete project objectives. These activities may result in a single output; therefore ANA will require applicants to identify outputs related to milestone activities as necessary.

OPR: Currently, ANA requires grantees to report on the status of results and benefits in the OPR. This section will be deleted as ANA no longer requires grantees to identify results or benefits from their project, just outcomes. Outcomes will be reported annually in a separate OMB approved form.